

REASONS BEHIND THE JUDGEMENT

On the morning of 2 November 2007, at different times, the owners of the dwelling located at Via Sperandio 5 - bis of Perugia rinvenivano two phones within the private garden of the building, and so they turned to the Postal Police of the capital for report the incident (including with respect to the singular coincidence that you have received, in the hours before, a strange phone call threatening that he feared the presence of explosive devices in the bathroom, later revealed as the result of the imagination of a kid). After performing the checks on the first of these phones, the users of its *sim card* that appeared to belong to Filomena Romanelli, headquarters on Via della Pergola 7: a crew of the Postal Police is therefore carried at the address found, but - instead of ROMANELLI - you responded to the presence of two other young men, the American MARIE AMANDA KNOX (which resulted occupy another of the rooms of the house) and her boyfriend Raffaele Sollecito. They claimed to have already called the Police due to some circumstances that had aroused their concern: true, acquisitions subsequent investigation led to verify that the alleged phone call to "112" (actually there were two, immediately after the first call that the REMINDER made to her sister, Officer of the Force in service elsewhere) followed, not preceded, the arrival of the agents, but must immediately made clear that this judgment will not, except to the extent strictly necessary examination position GUEDE RUDI HERMANN, the circumstantial evidence collected in respect of co-accused. As a starting point of the line of argument followed also in this decision, as well as historical figures are substantially (being an act now well known even to the defense of the accused in the headnote) will play later in the ordinance *under art. 299 cpp* issued by this Office the outcome of the preliminary hearing against ordinary co-defendants, but nothing more: for example, therefore, we will refrain from rebuilding the alleged movements of KNOX during the morning, for what you have said to investigators, that these questions are - do you want deemed reliable reconstruction of the biased, either in the opposing view - remain entirely neutral with regard to the evaluation of the data concerning the instructors GUEDE. As shown ISP.BATTISTELLI, the KNOX and PROMPT therefore they had detected the presence of blood stains in some of the rooms of the apartment, in particular in one of the bathrooms, as well as traces of an apparent robbery (although neither of them reported that they had noticed that something was actually stolen) right in Romanelli's room, where there was a broken glass and a large stone in the ground. In the second bathroom there were feces, with the toilet did not flush downloaded.

Also, the door of one of the rooms, one occupied by the British student Meredith Kercher, was closed, so that the REMINDER - not the girl answering the phone calls of KNOX - claimed to have tried unsuccessfully to force: on the handle, and still in the vicinity of the door, there were more traces of blood. Meanwhile, two other young men arrived on site (ALTIERI and Zaroli MARCO LUCA, the latter appearing as boyfriend ROMANELLI): The Zaroli explained that it had received a call from his girlfriend, felt by KNOX on the state of the apartment, so that she - who had spent the night with him in another place, but it was already out with a friend - had taken care to send him to take a look, at which point, the Zaroli had prayed the ALTIERI passing it to take. Within a few more minutes, also arrived the same ROMANELLI and BIG PAOLA, girlfriend dell'ALTIERI: and it was the ROMANELLI, after stating that the phone with the card to

her letterhead belonged in fact to KERCHER (had they donated her own because the fourth girl with their partner, MEZZETTI LAURA, had similar gift card to a different KNOX), to insist that were thrown down the door of MEREDITH. Despite KNOX - as later reported by the two pairs of young people - had indicated to those present in the habit of KERCHER to close the door even to go to the bathroom to take a shower, however, that statement seemed to conflict with the previous attempt of REMINDER to force the door, the alarm ROMANELLI revealed itself immediately before the discovery of a behavior that is not recognized as customary of his English friend, above all, the Italian emphasized that MEREDITH never left his cell, in particular the one with *sim card* British who used frequently to keep in touch with his mother, and that very cell was found to be the second found in the garden at Via Sperandio. Faced with the cautions of the Agents of the Postal Police, who felt that they could cause damage to private property only on the basis of what has been shown, it was the ALTIERI to take the trouble to break down the door to kick, but it just made a step to ' interior of the room, drew back in horror noticing blood in abundance and a bare foot - clearly a lifeless body, lying on the floor - stick out from under a blanket. It was, as soon after established, the corpse of KERCHER, which had a visible wound on the neck stab. The Isp. BATTISTELLI, at that point, inhibited all those present to enter the room, and did intervene colleagues of the Flying Squad.

This resulted, in the following days and by personnel of the Scientific Police Service, a widespread activity survey, which showed that:

3

- The entrance of the apartment, where there was a gate metal and a wooden door, did not have break-ins, the latch of the lock of the tax appeared locked, but this (as verified on the basis of testimony, *primarily* by the statements of ROMANELLI and MEZZETTI) depended on action by the occupants themselves, due to the malfunctioning of the same lock - after a small hallway before the threshold was reached in a room used as a living room-kitchen, where you repertavano some footprints shoe soles and small traces of blood - the right of the living room there was a door to the room occupied by MEZZETTI, in which not noted anything significant, while in the first bathroom, adjacent to the chamber, the *water* revealed itself not downloaded, with inside stool and toilet paper, - on the opposite side of the room was accessed instead of ROMANELLI, which had doors and drawers ransacked, with clothing and other objects thrown together on the bed and on the floor, on the inspection report of 2 November 2007, 14:00, gave acknowledged that: *"The room is lit by a window, located in the middle third of the anterior wall overlooking the driveway to the house. The same is protected externally by a Persian Florentine wood green, actually found half-open and free from break-ins. The door to the right of the shutter, is equipped with a device of closing named 'Espagnolette'. The window consists of two components in white wood with glass panels that open inward, each with inside dark wood color white. The dark tax right, is provided with a small latch closure, in place attached to the respective slot in turn fixed to the tax below, and a second device of closing, called 'Espagnolette' fitted with a latch more larger than the last, in place regularly open (...). The tax has left the broken glass in the lower half and a through hole of irregular shape, measuring cm. In length and 53 cm. 27 wide. The inner and outer sill of the window is covered with glass fragments of various sizes, also present in the room. The inner side of the dark of the tax on the left, in correspondance of the hole in the glass, presents an obvious nick in the wood of irregular shape, of cm. About 2, with fraying of wood fibers and some small shards of glass embedded therein (...). The outer sill of the window is from the ground below mt. 3.78 (...). On the front quadrant of the floor, below the window, at Mt. 0.93 from the right wall and mt. 0.66 from the front wall and mt. 2.31 from the back wall, is a paper bag of medium size (...), containing clothing and a stone the size cm. X 20 cm. X 15 cm. About 15, a second fragment of*

stone, small size, rests on the floor outside of the envelope, next to the stone (..) "- on the wall of the middle, taking the left of the threshold of the room and right ROMANELLI that of MEZZETTI, opened a narrow corridor

4

(Which gave access to two more bedrooms and a second bathroom) on the floor of which were found in it other footwear impressions and traces of blood, - in the bathroom, which is in use at the two occupants appurava the last rooms (ie KNOX and the KERCHER), was found the presence of numerous blood stains, as well described in the inspection report noted: *"On the floor, in the space below the sink, we observe a pad of cotton in light blue on the size of cm. 74 x 48. The same, in the posterior portion of the right, is stained with blood substance (..). Above the left front edge of the sink, rests a plastic box containing 'cotton buds', whose cover, lower right corner, has a patch of blood-borne substance (..). Three other drippings are observed on the left edge of the sink; at the same height, on the inner tank, is a blood drop, which develops towards the siphon (..). The faucet in the sink aluminum, on the upper surface, is considerably stained with blood substance (..). Small traces of blood, drop-shaped, are on the toilet lid, the upper surface (..), as well as on the bathroom tiles, in the vicinity of the connection tube of water and ring tubecover aluminum (..). Inside the bidet, we observe a streak of blood substance accompanied by a drop of blood about cm. 2, with trend downward. Another drop blood is on the top edge of the bidet, left side, height of the siphon (..). The plastic tray of the power switch is a drop of blood substance, a second drop is present on the power button (..). On the right of the tax profile of the front door to the bathroom, dripping blood is a total length of cm. 26 (..). "- While in the room in use at the KNOX (first left) is not showed anything significant on the investigation, it was obviously the last room, where was found the lifeless body of KERCHER, which focused the attention of investigators. Starting from the entrance gate, so the tax inspectors describing the condition of the premises: *"The inside handle tax applied, metal brass color, high from ground cm. 99, is greatly smeared, more in the upper part, the substance of blood. Other small bloodstains with pouring are present between the brass plate in the inner handle and the door, as well as on the plate of the lock, brass, housed in the thickness of the charge, and finally on the wood adjacent, in the vicinity of the plate itself (..). Before a wardrobe with two sliding doors, which measure mt. 1 of width, mt. 1.95 of height and mt. 0,60 depth. The door is left open on the right and on the left panel, inside, lower left corner, we see an area of contamination of blood and leaking substance, with streaks of elongated and curved, probably generated by the fingers of the hand, which is cm. 35 from the bottom base. 58 from the rear panel of the cabinet (..). At the same height, in the same panel, the outer side, we observe a blood contamination of irregular shape (..).**

5

Against the wall, left, with the headboard against the wall front, is the single bed with mattress covered with only the bottom sheet, on which rest: a woman's bag in beige leather, two terry socks, a book (..) stained the upper right corner of the cover, the substance of blood (..), a terry towel ivory, plenty of substance smeared blood (..). On the sheet, towel between the predicted and the bag are two blood spots of irregular shape (..) which measure cm. In length and 9.5 cm. Wide and 2 cm. In length and 14 cm. 3 wide. On the left wall, above the bed, at Mt. 0.61 m from the floor. 2.28 from the rear wall, is a small blood stain, of irregular shape, the size of cm. In length and 3 cm. 1 of width, with striations parallel filiform at the top and to the right eye (..). On the bottom panel of the desk, inside, you can see two small drops blood (..). On the front wall, about mt. 1.02 from the left wall and mt. 1.20 from the floor, is an area of contamination of blood substance, streaked with almost mutually parallel, elongated, presumably generated by the fingers of the hand (..) of the measure of cm. In length and 22 cm. 6.5 wide. On the floor, in cm. 39 cm from the back wall. 99 from the left wall is a foot track, a concentric circular marks, left by deposition blood (..). A second trace plantar signs in concentric circular, left for deposition blood, is at Mt. 0.89 from the rear wall and mt. 0.85 from the left wall (..). A third track footbed, a concentric circular marks, left by deposition blood, is at Mt. 0.81 from the rear wall and mt. 1.13 from the left wall (..). On the floor, at Mt. 1.50 from the left wall and mt. 0.78 from the rear wall, we observe a bra in white blood soaked substance, mostly in the suspender right and in the outer part of the upper left cup. The same occurs with the part of non-elastic strap on the left, torn from the ring in plastic fasteners and private strip of cloth where the hooks are attached to the rear end (..). On the floor, at Mt. 1.03 from the rear wall and mt. 1.58 from the left wall, is a

slip for women, rolled, black (...). On the floor, at Mt. 1.10 from the rear wall and mt. 1.08 from the left wall, is a pair of jeans of blue color (...) partially smeared with blood substance in the back, at the height of the right pocket. On the floor, between the cabinet and the table, are visible (...) a hot water bottle in blue and a pair of boots in brown leather, resting (...) on a wide pool of dried substance blood (...). On the floor, on a carpet woven of blue, at Mt. 1.52 from the rear wall and mt. 2.52 from the left wall, is a shoulder bag in beige color cotton (...). On the same carpet, we observe a court in white cotton sock, at the foot of the substance smeared blood (...).

6

On the floor, at Mt. 1.20 from the rear wall and mt. 3.14 from the left wall, there is an area of blood contamination, which together measure cm. In length and 15 cm. 11 wide, enclosing several small patches of irregular shape and hairy formations (...). On the floor, front right quadrant (...), is an area of blood contamination, elongated, with semi-circular and parallel strips, which together measure cm. In length and 69 cm. 40 wide, also containing formations hairy, flanked by a radiused tiny blood splashes, spread to the right and anteriorly, involving both the left third of the right wall that the cabinet doors (...). On the floor, in the space between the wardrobe and the bed, we see the body of Meredith Kercher. The same, on his back, resting his head in the direction of the front wall and left foot towards the back wall. Taking as a point of reference for measuring the umbilical scar, is mt. 1.84 from the rear wall and mt. 1.80 from the left wall. The body is covered by a duvet beige in color, with the exception of the left foot and the upper half of the face, which protrude from the same (...). At about 00:45 hours of 03.11.2007 (...) we proceeded to discover the corpse (...). The trunk, intermediate and extended, resting his back on the floor and the gluteal region, right and left, on a cushion (...). The corpse wearing only a cotton shirt rolled up to the thoracic region, conspicuously substance smeared with blood. During the inspection mortis, following the rotation of the body, we observe on the floor in the second stocking from tennis white cotton, partially smeared substance of blood, a towel of green color in sponge, a sponge towel in ivory color, completely soaked substance of blood, the top sheet of the bed, in white cotton, smeared in several places of blood-borne substance, a shirt with zipper, fabric light blue cuffs and collar and blue in color, substance smeared with blood. Underlying the pillow, at Mt. 1.41 from the right wall and mt.0, 95 from the front wall, rinveniamo the flap of cloth bra that was missing from it, where the hooks are fixed closing. " The first inspection report also dwelt on the lesions found on the victim's body, which, however, would have been much later and analytically described in the autopsy and forensic evidence. Dr. LUCA LALLI first consultant appointed by the Public Ministry in the course of the preliminary investigation, directly participated in the survey noted: in the subsequent processed, after stating that the cotton sweaters worn by the deceased girl were actually two, both raised on the chest to expose the breast, gave place to have detected at 00:50 on November 3 data cronotanatologici of ritual, namely: - "Strictly valid in all muscle groups; - hypostasis red vinous localized to the slopes of the supine position, the pressure impallidenti digital - rectal temperature 22!C, ambient temperature 13!C.

7

Rigor mortis and signs hypostatic were also found a few hours later, proceeding to further operations on the dead body at the morgue of the Hospital of Perugia: in that case, using the dr.GIORGIO EPICOCO as an auxiliary, as a specialist in obstetrics and gynecology, TC indicated that "the examination of the vulvar region shows no injury or elements suggesting that traumatic actions (...).On the inner surface of the labia minora, parallel to the insertion of the hymen, approximately from the border between the middle third and posterior third, we highlight the type lesions ecchimoto, purplish, who head to the fork, both right and left. The mucous membrane of the vaginal canal, in the proximal, appears regularly plied, pale and without signs traumatic (...). At the level of the anorectal line, the border between semimucosa anal mucosa and the interrupter, there are some small discontinuities of the mucosa of dubious origin (...). Later research also focuses on the appearance of small lesions ecchimoto, purple color. " Dr. LALLI PERFORMED in the context of some vaginal and anal swabs, which were delivered to the staff of the Scientific Police. In describing the results of the external examination of the body, the CT riscontrava inter alia: - "Face (...): end petecchiatura appreciable bilateral palpebral and bulbar conjunctiva, which is prevalent on the right.The nostrils soft suffusions ecchymotic prevailing wing and right nasal all'imen noses to the left (...).

The lower lip near the labial commissure little bruising bluish, soft excoriated areas are present on the outside of the lower lip mucosa, prevalent on the left. Numerous ecchymotic areas and excoriated small indorale to the mucosa of the upper lip and lower prevailing left, bruising of the fornix lower gum. The left cheek, cm. And 2 cm inferiorly. 2 before the external acoustic meatus, superficial solution of continuous linear, obliquely placed in front and caudal direction, the length of cm. 2.2, which extends anteriorly with number 2 even more superficial solutions continuous length of cm.0, 6; cm. 2 below it, in correspondence of the horizontal branch of the mandible, in most area ecchymotic oval transverse axis of the dimensions of cm. 2.5 x 1.8, cm. 3.5 before it, always at the anteroinferior margin of the horizontal ramus of the mandible, other area round ecchymotic cm diameter. About 1; cm. 5 prior, below the symphysis left paramedian, other roundish bruising of the maximum diameter of cm. 0.5, cm. 2.5 laterally, in correspondence of the horizontal branch of the right of the jaw, the other bruising roundish cm. 2.2, cm. 3 from the previous year, at the right mandibular angle, another bruising cm diameter. 1. In the submandibular region median, excoriated area of roughly quadrangular in shape most oblique axis in the direction towards the rear left of the corpse, the maximum size of cm. 1.6 x 0.4

8

- Package: in the left lateral cervical region, cm. 8 cm and inferiorly. 1.5 prior to the external acoustic meatus, presence of a large wound with sharp margins of the length of cm. 8, obliquely placed, in the sense caudal and lateral widely diastasata, which exposes the underlying tissues that appear dissected down to the ground osteocartilagineo. The margins have minimal hemorrhagic prevailing at a distance of cm. 3 from the far side where it detects small tang. Minimum bruised rib and the maximum amplitude of ecchymotico cm. 0.2 is present at the extreme front of the top margin. A wound that is followed by a via that approfonda in soft tissues with apparent oblique direction from front to back, from left to right and slightly from below upwards. Near the extreme front of the wound as described above is present, in close continuity with the lower margin area of the maximum amplitude of excoriated cm. 1, immediately below which it detects other wound in net margins but slightly infiltrated with blood, with rib contusion of cm. 0.2 and tang localized to the extreme side. Predicted wound has dimensions of cm. 1.4 x 0.3, presents oblique direction downward and to the rear is disposed in parallel with respect to the previous one, and is followed by a via subcutaneous with oblique direction from below upwards, from right to left and slightly from front to back. The through seems intersect the wound previously described, ending at a distance of about cm. 2 on the upper edge of the wide lesion above. Departs from the front of the wound area tenuous excoriated surface solution with continuous maximum length of cm. 2. In the right lateral cervical region, cm. And 6 cm inferiorly. 5 prior to the external acoustic meatus, ecchymotic area of the maximum diameter of cm. 3 within which insists on the size of the wound linear cm. 1.5 x 0.4, obliquely arranged from top to bottom and from the left, with tiny tang to the front edge. A wound predicted that by following a approfonda with oblique direction from bottom to top, to the right and back to a maximum length of about 4 cm. Below it, superficial excoriation of irregular shape of the length of cm. 0.5. Inches. 0.5 before, other irregularly linear excoriation of the total length of cm. 1.5, which presents a partial obliquity in cranial direction and towards the left. In laterocervical left region, in the vicinity of the basal region of the neck, number three surface abrasions, linear, mutually parallel, obliquely arranged downwards and at the front, from left to right, the top has dimensions of cm. 0.8 x 0.4, the intermediate, post cm. 1.3 from the previous one, has dimensions of cm. 1.5 x 0.2, the lower, post cm. 0.8 from the previous one, has a length of cm. About 1 - Upper limbs: the postero-lateral right elbow, number two ecchymotic areas rounded maximum diameter of cm. 1.2 x 1, placed at mutual distance of cm. 1.5. At the postero-lateral middle third of the forearm, other area ecchymotic slightly oval in most longitudinal axis of the size of cm. 1.8 x 1.4 approx.

9

The palm of the right hand, at the third ray, superficial wound linear traverse the length of cm. 0.6, slightly infiltrated with blood; below it, ecchymotic linear area of the longitudinal dimensions of cm. 2 x 0.3 approximately; in correspondence of the fourth beam, other superficial wound of the dimensions of cm. 0.3. At the tip of the first finger, volar, another flesh wound, linear, transverse, the size of cm. 0.3. At the ulnar side of the first phalanx of the second finger of the left hand, superficial wound linear transverse length cm. 0.6 (..) - lower limbs: the antero-lateral aspect of the left thigh seem to some detectable (No. 3) tenuissime suffusions ecchymotic rounded, scarcely visible, the maximum diameter of cm. Approximately 1, placed along the same line at a distance of about 5 cm. one from the other. The anterior, middle third of the right leg, ecchymotic area round with a diameter of cm. About 2 (..) The medical-legal consultant of the PM, the section of the body, highlighted, inter alia: - which wound through the largest

had a length of 8 cm.; - Which had determined that action detrimental to the full section of the artery superior thyroid right - the fracture of the hyoid bone; - the leakage of fluid from blood vessels of both lungs. By limiting the time for the reconstruction of emergencies preliminary objective data that emerged during inspection and post-mortem examination, beyond the assessments made by different investigators, consultants appointed by and / or experts appointed later, it appeared it was therefore evident that the KERCHER been the subject of multiple aggressive behaviors, the most important of which had been made by a tool tip and cutting aimed at causing a large wound, but only one "tang" (and therefore, in all likelihood, single-ended): it was certainly one derived hemorrhagic *shock*, as a result of the injury to an artery. Another objective observation, according to "subconjunctival petechiae" encountered concerned a phenomenon asphyxia, due to aspiration of blood into the airways. Finally, we recorded a fracture, however, indicative of a violent action. These indications of medico-legal was combined as determined *visually* at the time of the discovery of the corpse: the girl had practically naked, serving as a context of aggression for sexual purposes, and in this regard were to assume significance in the alleged bruising vaginal and perianal area, which had given cognizance dr. LALLI and dr. EPICOCO.

10

Starting the activity of investigation, the Prosecutor and the Judicial Police proceeded to reconstruct the movements of the girl in the last hours of life, also moving from the assumptions made by CT in medico-legal point of time of death, to be placed at a distance of no more than 2 or 3 hours after the last meal eaten, and likely to be understood that occurred around 23:00 on November 1, 2007. It appurava so that Meredith Kercher, in Italy for study, he had a discussion with some of her friends and countrymen in the evening of 31 October (for the *Halloween* party, going to dance in a couple of places with a lot of disguises and masks) and the following night, going to dinner at the home of AMY FROST and ROBYN BUTTERWORTH. The FROST, enforced in the minutes in the afternoon of 2 November and then again at a later date, stated that he had known MEREDITH about two months before, both attending the University for Foreigners of Perugia, and seeing her very often during that period, leaving along with other British girls several times a week. The young recalled that the murdered girl had a romance with an Italian student, named JAMES, who lived downstairs in the same building in Via della Pergola 7. And according to FROST, on the afternoon of 1 MEREDITH had reached around 16:00 / 16:30 in the apartment in Via Bontempi who heads the BUTTERWORTH shared with her and another girl (playing for England in the morning itself), and another friend, SOPHIE Purton, had arrived half an hour before. Here you can chat and were made to prepare something to eat, they had watched a movie on DVD, and more or less at 21:00, and MEREDITH SOPHIE had gone away to return to their homes. The night before, after dinner always in Via Bontempi along with other British girls, the same quartet (MEREDITH, SOPHIE, ROBYN and AMY) had led to the "Merlin", a *disco-pub* in the center of Perugia, to dance and drink in the company: there were withheld from midnight to the two and a half or so, after which it had gone in another room, the "Domus", enjoying themselves in the same way until four and a half or so. At that point they had returned home, with the exception of Purton who had gone later, being accompanied by other friends. The BUTTERWORTH claimed to have arrived in Italy more recently, and have known the KERCHER by AMY, her roommate, she also reported the relationship with JAMES

MEREDITH, stating that the dead girl had spoken of it as a story, as not serious, with a strong *feeling*. The witness confirmed events and times of the evening of 1 November in the same terms as set out by FROST, and stating that during his stay in Via Bontempi MEREDITH had not made nor received calls.

11

SOPHIE Purton, ever since November 2, stated that he had often frequented the KERCHER, with whom he loved to go to the "Merlin" and meet friends, in turn, was said to know the history of her friend with the guy on the floor below, but she had never seen. Even the Purton stood around 21:00 the time they had left together from the house of the other girls, November 1 (confirming that he came around 15:30, before MEREDITH) had walked to Via del Roschetto, and there had been separate since the apartment of Purton was in one of those sleepers. As the young remembered the murdered girl had not revealed any strangeness, unless said or seems a bit 'tired due to the small hours made the day before celebrating *Halloween*. The next day, after a second report in which he described the events of the evening of 31, and dwelt particularly on a Moroccan boy with whom he had entertained, the Purton was called in for the third time, and in that case stated to have been a close friend of MEREDITH, to the point that she felt very intimate confidences do, including that of having smoked some reefer: so, she felt excluded that the KERCHER had been tied or interested in other men, apart GIACOMO, otherwise it would be been informed. As he had done the BUTTERWORTH, exclude the possibility that MEREDITH had received phone calls in the evening of 1, even while they were returning home. Finally, he added that he had learned from the friend that KNOX, sometimes, had brought men to their house, referring to a type "strange" (without going into details) working in an *internet cafe*. The same girl, also to have been the last person to have seen alive KERCHER, was repeatedly heard during the first few days of investigation: 5 provedeva you directly to the PM, who explained that he lived in a house in Via del Lupo (where he had lived for a month also FROST, and it was through her that he had known MEREDITH, September 2) is just two minutes walk from the house in Via della Pergola. The Purton believed to be, together with AMY, the friend with whom he had the closest relationship MEREDITH: for this reason, stated he did not believe there was someone whose KERCHER afraid, otherwise it would have been made aware of. As for the people who attended MEREDITH, the Purton appointed the usual GIACOMO, it appeared that he knew not a South African, but did not exclude anyone who might know the usual basketball players of the pitch in the Piazza Grimana seen driving right on a daily basis. About drugs, the witness stated that the friend I smoked, but only when he was with the boys downstairs and without particularly loved it: however, MEREDITH had received from the young people - who had left the keys home, during their brief absence for the "bridge" of All Saints - the task of watering *cannabis* plants, and did not like it.

12

The Purton then confirmed the detail of the boy of the '*internet cafe* a bit' strange (again, without indicating good because: later, the young man would probably have been identified in some JUBA, who was also working at the *pub* "Le Chic") that Amanda had brought home, as he had told her MEREDITH. She also knew that her friend had had to complain, but without any particular argument or discussion, with the Moroccan which had reported in the previous report, due to a ring of SOPHIE who was held in a sort of pledge. Describing the evening of 31, the Purton recalled that the idea of dressing up for

Halloween came a little 'to all of them, as well as the decision to travel in two rooms already mentioned: here they had seen several boys, someone already met on previous occasions and others not, but the witness pointed out that she had been in direct contact with MEREDITH only to "Merlin", while the "Domus" had a discussion with other people, and her friend had been more closely with company and AMY ROBYN. During the evening, in any case, they had all had enough. On the afternoon of 1, when the KERCHER had reached the house in Via Bontempi, had begun to talk among girls of old flames or anything like that, focusing also on a *former* MEREDITH who was in England, had also commented on the previous evening, but without her friend confided that she had been approached or being hit by anyone in particular. The Purton, as a further demonstration of the confidence with her friend, stated that MEREDITH had told her about having sex with GIACOMO, and had made jokes and comments in the past about a guy who came from Switzerland, however, already left, which does not there was no story. That evening, as already shown by other depositions, MEREDITH had not received any phone calls or SMS, the four girls had eaten a pizza and cake, and then watch a DVD: in practice, they had finished dinner while also concluded the screening of film. Exit the house and AMY ROBYN, the KERCHER and she had dropped the steps at the bottom of Via Bontempi, reaching Via del Roscetto: here we were separated, because Via del Lupo was to the right, while MEREDITH had continued the way along Via Pinturicchio, then down more steps to Via della Pergola (at least, so the heads assumed, this being the usual way and shortest). The Purton was sure I checked the schedule in time to return home, and it was 21:00. On 17 November, the Purton made a new statement to the magistrate proceeding, confirming the first statements without being able to specify further the zone in which the four friends had begun to eat in the house and AMY ROBYN (probably 18:00, or perhaps first): corrected instead the time when it was returned to Via del Lupo, remembering that they were still 20:55. On apparel from MEREDITH, argued that her friend was wearing *jeans* a little 'ripped, a blue jacket and a blue sweatshirt, both "Adidas".

13

He added that part of the afternoon he had been engaged on *the internet* looking photographs of the previous evening (which one of her friends had put on his *blog*), indicating that MEREDITH then, returning home, he had agreed with the Purton on the fact that they were both tired and would go straight to bed, without saying anything about where he was or what he did that night AMANDA. Finally ruled that he had never met any of the usual frequenters of the basketball court before the University for Foreigners. Another of the English girls who had dined at the house in Via Bontempi the evening of October 31 was identified in HAYWARD NATALIE Betina, and the young claimed to have been a close friend of KERCHER: MEREDITH had confided to be very attracted to a guy GIACOMO name, who lived downstairs, up to tell her about kissing him for the first time and then having slept together (without adding, however, if they had made love) had also said, however, that was even more beautiful another of the boys downstairs. As a matter of HAYWARD, MEREDITH did not use drugs, or at least did not care had never spoken, it appeared that he had not received phone calls of harassment, or that some guy from her rejected bothered. RODENHURST SAMANTHA LEE ANNE stated that he was in turn to "Merlin" on the evening of 31, and to have met the KERCHER around midnight, while the heads there was already about an hour later, after the 02: 00, had reviewed MEREDITH also to the "Domus" in the company of FROST and

BUTTERWORTH (while he had not noticed the Purton), and when she was gone - around 3:30 - the girl killed was still there. The RODENHURST ruled that her friend had problems because of someone who importunasse. CHARLOTTE SUSANNE PAGE confirmed that he saw the KERCHER to "Merlin" 31, without specifying the time, claimed that he then went around 01:30 am at the "Domus", where, however, did not remember to have noticed. BIDWELL JADE was in turn to "Merlin" on the evening of 31, and had seen MEREDITH, whom he had met during a *meeting* at the University in September: claimed to have a good friendship with her, so much so that at of another night in the same room, some time before, had told her about an Italian boy with whom you attended. To tell the KERCHER, however, it was not a real boyfriend, because he had been able to see that not always telling the truth (one evening, had called him on the phone knowing that he had to stay at home, and instead he understood that the boy was released) and thought could not be faithful.

14

In the meantime, had been taken from information also ROMANELLI and MEZZETTI (and dall'ALTIERI and the Zaroli, however, reported that only useful data on the circumstances of the discovery of the body of the murdered girl), and both had already made the connection of the word with KERCHER the young student who lived in the apartment below. The ROMANELLI claimed to have personally received a call from MEREDITH, interested to occupy one of the rooms remained free in the apartment that the two Italian had rented, following ads appeared on *the internet* and in areas frequented by students (the KNOX had instead contacted the MEZZETTI): believing that AMANDA and she could be right girls, they soon reached the agreement, and was derived an absolutely peaceful coexistence, with the American and the British - for age and common interests - often came together. The witness did not define MEREDITH "girlfriend" with someone, stating, however, to know that it was seen a few times with GIACOMO, on the other hand, the MEZZETTI claimed to have seen often in the last two weeks, the two young men in the company, with the Italian boy who climbed into their apartment and saw the television with the KERCHER, or you appartava with her in the room. According to him, MEREDITH had told her of being very well with him, without any disagreement. Both Italian MEREDITH argued that, to the best of their knowledge, had never worn boys in the house, with the exception of the aforementioned GIACOMO, while the KNOX had happened: the ROMANELLI reported in a first occasion that something had been said about it by the same KERCHER and MEZZETTI, as well as seeing some guy with AMANDA without specifying the circumstances, while the other was the name of a couple of young men, one of whom presented to the KNOX like her. The same names, in addition to the SOLLECITO, were also made by ROMANELLI in a subsequent verbal. At one point, in fact, more or less from the month of October, according to the relations between ROMANELLI MEREDITH and AMANDA had a little 'gunned down, probably because the KNOX revealed itself on a much more extroverted, both of them, from time to time, made use of joints, which the witness had seen smoke to his roommates during a few evenings in the company of the boys downstairs. With regard to the payment of rent, the ROMANELLI and MEZZETTI stated that the total price was equal to € 1,200.00 per month, which the girls shared between them, 300,00 euro per person: to the best of their knowledge, as they explained below, the KERCHER had already raised the sum required to match its part relating to the month of November, or at least so he had confided to them.

Felt personally by the Public Prosecutor about the state of his room the night of November 1, the ROMANELLI argued that there were certainly valuable items, including a *laptop computer*, a pair of designer sunglasses and some gold jewelry, stored in a drawer: from a cursory glance, it seemed that nothing was missing, except perhaps some article of *makeup*. As for the window, he remembered to have certainly closed the windows, probably while leaving the shutters open: the shutters, though he was not one hundred percent sure, believed to have them shut, but without anchor them both, since the tax met resistance left on the sill due to a swelling of the wood. His memory was no longer accurate, as it considered to have definitely opened the shutters in the morning needing light to change (despite not having slept at home, but at your boyfriend, had passed there and then on to that ALTIERI celebrating his birthday), but was then removed in a hurry because it was already late. Information also taken from the four boys who occupied the apartment below Marche, did not emerge - if not by the statements of Bonassi STEFANO Dalio, which we will return later - items of interest: SILENCE JAMES confirmed to have had an affair with the KERCHER, even having sex with her (the first time in their apartment, subsequent to the girl's house), while it was said MARZAN MARCO aware of that relationship, stating that he thought the most involved in emotional terms was just GIACOMO (which in any case had also told some details about the type of relationship he had with MEREDITH) and LUCIANI RICCARDO - which turned out to be the most isolated of the group, as if to cultivate friendships outside and not frequenting or most of the housemates - he did not know practically nothing useful to say . Cocciaretto GIORGIO, a friend and neighbor of the conductors of the property, which, however, did not live with them, indicated that it had known MEREDITH at the house of the other guys, seeing her on those occasions always natural and sociable, but he had noticed that his behavior changed when was walking around the city or in the various meeting places, to the point that not even greet him and seemed supercilious: added then to have known that in the last two or three weeks it was linked to the KERCHER GIACOMO. Later it turned out that just the Cocciaretto was the only one, in that context, to have had occasional telephone contact with the defendant GUEDE RUDI HERMANN, the KNOX could only say that his attitude was much more outgoing, with many friends and many acquaintances male. In the days immediately following the murder, investigators focused their attention on the version reported by KNOX, considered objectively credible.

Noting a number of contradictions between the assumptions of the girl and those of PROMPT, as well as taking note of the charge brought by the American directly against DIYA Lumumba said PATRICK (his employer at the *pub* "Le Chic", which AMANDA pointed out as responsible for the murder, as in the rather confused), the Public Prosecutor ordered the detention of all three, and the GIP - resulting in the outcome of the validation hearing - he ordered the restriction in prison by order of custody custody. Only later, by comparing in a palmprint database of imprinted in the blood and found on the pillow that was found under the victim's body, instead it was ascertained the presence at the scene of the 21-year old GUEDE RUDI HERMANN, a native of Ivory Coast but actually grew up in Perugia, a city where he had lived for a time with his father and was later entrusted to Umbrian family: the boy had recently returned after a prolonged stay in England, but without a stable, both as to be unavailable at the moment. He could be

reached with a more thorough examination of the 14 fragments of fingerprints papillary, repertati by the Police Scientific and considered useful for comparative purposes, were not attributed to any one of the subjects identified as possible goers Arrival: between these fragments, most part of which had been repertati in different environments KERCHER of the room, the first, labeled as "relief B", it was - with a record of 16 November 2007 Section Judicial Identity - attributed with absolute certainty to GUEDE, which were earlier in the fingerprint 2005, and in the same 2007. On 16 June 2005, in fact, the GUEDE had been subjected to the findings of ritual under the law n. 189/2002, as part of practice concerning his residence permit in Italy, and on 27 October 2007 (*ergo*, just five days before the murder), he had been identified in that of Milan and reported on the loose for theft, receiving stolen property, possession and carrying of weapons. On the latter occasion, in particular, that the appurava prevented had been caught in a nursery school: the director of the institute, arrived in the morning at the venue, there was just the GUEDE, which was justified by claiming to have you slept not having a place to go, the next control maintained by staff of the Commissioner PS "Garibaldi Venice" emerged that the young man was in possession of a *laptop* complete with accessories (result stolen at a law firm in Perugia), as well as a woman's watch, a hammer and a large kitchen knife, the latter of school property and, therefore, had been stolen from GUEDE immediately before.

17

Then analyzing the footprints made by biased when the previous identifications, and comparing them with that on the pillow, it emerged "similarities in the general characteristics on the performance of the track papillary and correspondence of more than 16-17 characteristic points equal in shape and position ". The investigations led to the GUEDE identify him as the young black man who had emerged from some depositions, in particular that of the already mentioned Bonassi: these had in fact reported that one evening, a South African guy nicknamed "The Baron" was entertained in 'apartment in Via della Pergola 7, downstairs, and during the evening were both present KERCHER that KNOX, had also added that the boy in question had revealed a certain attraction for the American, and - once gone in the bathroom, having drunk a bit 'too - had even fallen asleep on the *toilet*. At the turn of those investigations, on 12 and 27 November, two other witnesses had reported particular proved of considerable importance. ANT ALESSANDRA, a 26 year old Strozzacapponi that on the evening of 1 was coming to dinner downtown with her boyfriend, claimed to be arrived around 20:00 at the restaurant programmed in Via Ulisse Rocchi, but it was objected that c ' had to wait an hour or so, so, along with the boy, he took a walk up to 21:00 or so, returning to the local and consuming dinner. Here they had eaten a starter each, the dumplings and a chocolate tartlet, staying the time corresponding to the number of courses and service: just finished, however, had decided to leave because it was cold. Having left the car to the parking lot of St. Anthony, you were so direct walk to Piazza Grimana then taking the steps to reach the shed, but in the act to get off the heads reminded that her boyfriend - Minciotti LUCIO - had been violently struck by a black guy intent to run very fast towards Via Pinturicchio: according to the time indicated above, the ANT episode stood around 22:30 / 22:40. When you reach the parking lot, near the local "Counterpoint" had noticed a car on the back seat where there were a woman and a child, assisted by a tow truck. Later, the heads LOMBARDI GIAMPAOLO, an employee of a body with duties of truck driver recovery, he said he had a call to action with the tow truck right on Via della Pergola,

approximately at 22:40 on November 1, reaching *on-site* at 23:00 and staying engaged in operations for 8-10 minutes: the LOMBARDI remembered having noticed, near the car to which assistance, two men and two women (but identified the owners of the car, it transpired that in fact, there was even more of a minor).

18

Mrs. bolsters NARA, residing at Via del Melo on the first floor of the building that faces the parking lot just mentioned, and therefore a short distance from the house that had been the scene of the crime, reported to the Public Prosecutor to have the windows of his apartment in the direction the building at Via della Pergola 7, of which, however, could see only the roof. The night between 1 and 2 November, he said, the woman had gone to bed early, more or less at 21:30 (as usual, and also to be been recently widowed), but after a lapse time indicate that he did not know, except to say that perhaps "two hour" had sleep, he felt the need to go to the bathroom. At that juncture, while he was already up and stood in front of the door-window access to a terrace, he heard a scream of a woman he called "heartbreaking", so much so that she felt his skin crawl: he had the distinct feeling that the cry came just in front of the house, so she started to look in that direction through the bathroom window, without seeing anything, but while he was portraying had heard a clatter of stones and leaves, at the driveway to the house of Via della Pergola. Shortly thereafter, he heard run, run away someone in particular, there was someone that she thought was running on an iron ladder that territory, which leads to Via del Melo and beyond in Via Pinturicchio, and someone else who "escaped on the side of the path "towards Via del Bulagaio or the area of the University for Foreigners. Later, he had not heard anything, while taking in explaining that I have also gone back to sleep right away because of the agitation that the cry had caused the contrary, it was also raised again to drink a bit 'of water, but never - nor the first time, or since - to notice what time it was. Finally signaled that he had phoned the police only the day before the deposition because of their state of health and the fact that initially he did not feel safe, but still remained firm in the knowledge that he had heard that cry very strong, so that he had reconnected itself the cry of the story of the murder. Returning to GUEDE, its unavailability lasted very little, found that one of the landmarks of the boy in the city was made by the family MANCINI TIBERI, having been the lady TIBERI IVANA his elementary school teacher, investigators turned to the son of this woman (GABRIELE MANCINI) and another childhood friend (GIACOMO BENEDETTI) for groped to find him. The MANCINI, already 18 November 2007, recalled to know and be friends with RUDI for years, precisely because of the emotional bond that the mother had established with him, the boy had lived with his father, that he described as a MANCINI violent (much to point out that he had asked on one occasion at the same RUDI if the father had turned attentions of a sexual nature, feeling say no), while the boy's mother was virtually disappeared immediately after it is brought into the world.

19

Over the years, especially after the father of RUDI had returned to Côte d'Ivoire to return to Italy only sporadically, social services had dealt with several times the young man, before making an award to a family of Ponte San Giovanni, then - for a longer period of time, up to 18 years of GUEDE - CAPORALI to the family, the owner of a rather florid (the "Liomatic"), which also sponsored a basketball team, sport in which the boy was distinguished by yes play precisely into that *team* for a few seasons. And according to the reconstruction of MANCINI, describing the character of his friend as a bit "'rebel," the

coming of age RUDI had moved from an aunt who lived in Lecco, then had moved to Pavia, where he found some work , having also the opportunity to train with the local basketball team. Lost for a while 'contacts with the GUEDE, the witness had tracked down randomly by searching on *the internet* made out of curiosity, from which he showed the existence of a *blog* bearing the name of his friend: so was able to retrieve it, making give a new cellular delivery and ascertaining - at least according to the stories that made him RUDI - who continued to work between Pavia and Milan, and had also engaged to Veronica, an architecture student originally from Potenza. Between the end of 2006 and beginning of 2007, however, one night he called RUDI MANCINI home, saying in despair that he had lost his job and insisting that the lady in VERONICA TIBERI telling his story of problems and dropouts. After another couple of months, during which the GUEDE had failed to obtain a new job, he returned to Perugia, settling near the family of his friend so as to also take up residence: after the same MANCINI had cared for him some formal practices for starting work, RUDI had turned to the CAPORALI, that they offered him a post of assistant gardener in their farm. Albeit with some difficulty, due to the fact that he had not yet obtained a driver's license and did not have a car, so he began to work, temporarily handing their salaries to the parents of MANCINI until the opening of its own current account Zip: improved his situation, the young man had affirmed the intention - revealed since the return in Umbria, also ease the burden on those who was hosting - to live on their own, thus taking rent an apartment in the city center site in Via del Canerino 26. Despite MANCINI parents had him and tried to desist from that idea RUDI, for about two months things had seemed to be fine, at the end of August, however, after a period in which he had not done more to see and had always left off your phone, the GUEDE had finally answered the phone, saying his friend to be in Trieste without even explaining what he was doing, and apart from stating that a little later he would return to Perugia to resume work.

20

A few days later he was actually reappeared, also participating at the reception for the baptism of his niece's MANCINI, but had expressed confusion and restlessness, so that the heads had advised him to consult a friar of the convent of Monteripido who could be able to give psychological help. At that point, RUDI was gone again, and in October the father of MANCINI had gone to look for him at work, ascertaining that for some time he had been fired because he had called in sick, and then not produce any medical certificate for justify the absence. The MANCINI had then tried insistently, even among those who could know and give news of him, and only after a few days had been the GUEDE to be felt again, moving on to find family MANCINI and stop for lunch or more less around the 20th of that month from that time, however, it was gone again, despite the TIBERI lady had given a card to call from payphones. On Monday or Tuesday before deposition (*ergo*, 12 or 13 November, three days before it was ascertained the identity of those who had left the palm print on the pillow at the scene of the crime), the MANCINI claimed to have sailed on *the internet*, and - via the *Messenger* - he realized that someone had connected with 's *account* RUDI: be thinking of his own, had sent some messages were initially unanswered. After a while, a further message with which the MANCINI accused him of being running again, even by him, the GUEDE responded by writing "I can not", to which the witness replied, "What can not you?" And l ' other retorted with the words "You know." The subsequent response of MANCINI "What am I supposed to know", as reiterated twice, there was no reply. Meanwhile, the Judicial Police went to the apartment

of Via del Canerino by contacting the owner (MARANI RINA, a resident of Foligno): the woman spontaneously delivered the keys of the property, noting that a few days had not heard of the conductor, who had said even before the middle of October the need to go abroad, and had not complied with the request of the lady to show his new employment contract (if you want the MARANI be reassured about the possibilities of GUEDE to cope to the payment of the fee). For this reason, it was passed at the home along with a friend, and she was to enter noting that there was no one, despite the messy kitchen. GIACOMO BENEDETTI, another childhood friend of RUDI, was invited by the investigators to assume the role of auxiliary PG for searching the *web* prevented: in fact, the young man came in contact with him on November 19 using the program *Skype*, ascertaining that he was in Germany, and it followed a long conversation during which the GUEDE represented its innocence to the crime and intent to return to Italy.

21

It will come back later, illustrating the deposition of BENEDETTI to the Public Prosecutor in April 2008, the contents of that conversation, since - albeit *incidentally*, in a decision on the personal freedom of today accused, but with arguments that must certainly *condividersi* - the Court of Perugia appears to have declared the uselessness of the medium containing the relevant registration: the fact is that the GUEDE, convinced by BENEDETTI about the opportunity to catch a train that would take him back to Milan, with the idea to join him at the station of the capital, was put in effect on the road, then being arrested by German police before crossing the border. On 20 November 2007, the Judge issued a European arrest warrant against him, in addition to the order for custody in the meantime the PM had already sought and obtained. Due to his provisional arrest, he was invited by the Judicial Koblenz to undergo questioning, and claimed to have made contact with the BENEDETTI being in an *internet cafe* in Dusseldorf, receiving information from him on the allegations concerning him, according to what they had published the newspapers or had heard on TV. The GUEDE refuted the allegations, stating that you have known the KERCHER in an unspecified occasion in which the girl was in the company of a couple of young people with whom he had played basketball a few times: shortly before, at the "Le Chic", had instead met KNOX. The defendant claimed to have met MEREDITH the evening of 31 October, while he was out with some Spanish friends, and on that occasion had "flirted", giving himself an appointment for the next evening at 20:30. On November 1, at around 19:30 (without being able to be more accurate because claimed to not have a watch), the GUEDE had passed earlier by a friend named ALEX, then went to the home of KERCHER, not finding, in then it was gone from the boys basketball fans, without evidence of young people if it were downstairs, but he had not even found them. So, it was moved up to the center, buying *kebab*, then return in Via della Pergola: here had waited a few minutes, after which they had come MEREDITH, who had opened the door making it into the house. After a brief interview, also because of what had happened between them the night before, the two boys had kissed, and then not come to have sexual relations before, however, it happened that the KERCHER had discovered the lack of money to a drawer next to her bed, and went into the room AMANDA - that was not there - to check if the money was there. We were derived accusations about MEREDITH against the American, especially the first complaining that the other smoked drugs (especially the GUEDE confirmed, because he happened to see the KNOX smoke on a previous occasion he had been there).

Without adding further details, the biased reporting that at some point he had gone into the bathroom, and from there - despite having put the headphones of 'i-pod, he had heard that someone had knocked on the door and entered: after about five minutes (still remembered to have heard two or three songs, one of which showed Financo titles), he had heard the cries that had exceeded the volume quite high, headphones, so that - without even pulling up his pants - he was out, and found a man shoulders in front of the front door of the house, slightly lower than him. The GUEDE stated not to have recognized that person, but seeing MEREDITH bleeding on the floor, then grabbed the man, asking him what he had done, but the other had turned violently trying to hit him with a knife he had in a hand, probably the left, and in fact causing him a wound on his right hand (by some photographic surveys cared for by the German police, were really small cut injuries on the hand of biased). Trying to dodge the blows, the GUEDE had backed, however, falling to the ground in order not to have pulled out all of the pants: here he took a chair trying to defend himself, and the assailant had escaped in a few moment by saying, before leaving the apartment, the phrase "Negro found, found guilty," without, however, that the accused understood if those words were addressed to a third person present. Noticing blood in great abundance in Meredith's room, and above all a stab wound to the girl's neck from which blood gushed out, RUDI had tried to help her, taking in her bath towel to stanch the bleeding: within a few seconds, However, it was soaked in turn, so it had taken a second. On those occasions, according to statements by GUEDE, the KERCHER was still alive and moving, trying also to speak, but he understood only a word or part of a word, namely "af", so as to have tried to write those two letters on the wall. The boy, however, claimed that he found in a state of total confusion, without the clarity needed to call for help or request the assistance of a doctor, so that - perhaps he had the impression of hearing noises from downstairs - it was removed without closing the door, probably after touching almost everything inside the room of the victim. She was unable to remember if, when he had gone out, MEREDITH was still conscious, remembering however that even at that time the girl was dressed, with a dark jacket, a white *pullover* and blue *jeans*. Finally stated that when he was come into the house he had not noticed any signs of suspected theft, or broken glass in going away, had crossed the basketball court of Piazza Grimana, where the Chinese were at play.

The alleged escape in Germany, confirmed his confusion, so much so that he had given false information (on the advice of other people of color, not better specified,

they had also suggested to apply for asylum) in the course of checks by the police in Stuttgart; however added that he has acquired the intention of returning to Italy, after talks with the BENEDETTI, however, giving his consent to the procedure simplified extradition order to return to espresso to clarify its position, and nothing objecting in order to arrest and extradition to the same. The GUEDE was delivered to the Italian authorities in the first days of December, and 7 made questioning the GIP Previously, however, were taken information from numerous individuals who had got to know, or visit the biased, particularly with regard to more recent periods: Already on November 13, the name of RUDI had been made by TEMGOUA Ndongmo LOUIS PATRICK, a student-worker Cameroonian who had indicated generically as usual playmate during basketball games at the pitch before the University for Foreigners (where the heads ruled

that he was late in the evening of November 1, being gone no later than 19:00 without, however, having seen the GUEDE which had not played for about a month). Another basketball player, Lithuanian Rakauskas Vykintas, claimed to know the GUEDE from playing together in the team of the "Liomatic", and know that in recent times - having not heard from the phone for about three months nor seen in the last two weeks, though it was usually happen to the field of Piazza Grimana - worked as a gardener at the CAPORALI. The boy pointed to the contact phones in its possession, which were the 329/1819627 (the last one that had been reported by MANCINI, on which he had had recent contacts), the 340/7969958 and 335/5690231. With regard to the habits of RUDI, the Rakauskas claimed to have seen him sometimes "very drunk", stating, however, that he himself used to drink a lot, until about a month before, and on further specific question of PG, was to have stopped seeing because excessive drinking, so much so that the evening was often drunk, he had never known any girlfriends, except that it has heard from him that he had had an affair with a student during his stay in England, but he knew he liked to GUEDE white girls and not those of color. KEISA HEALTH, a Latvian girl, RUDI reported having met on 11 October, during an evening at the *pub* "Castle Rock", and had exchanged cell phone numbers (the GUEDE had left the address 329/1819627, but not having the telephone itself, nor a piece of paper to write it down, he said he would remember the KEISA in memory to her).

The evening was then concluded at the "Domus", and the young RUDI remembered that he had suggested to her and her friend with whom she left to go home by taxi, given the late hour,

24

suggestion, however, that the two girls were not collected. The day after the GUEDE had called to invite her to dinner, but she had not accepted, as it had happened a few more times later: the biased, however, had never bothered, so much so that - despite having also met in subsequent occasions - the KEISA stated to have had a good impression. The American students SAVOY REBECCA and AVITAL BENEDEK, deposing November 22, claimed to know the GUEDE for about a month, to have attended the same group of friends at the nightlife of Perugia: in particular, STATED I saw him for the last time at the "Domus" in the night between 2 and 3 November, when he was asked for a minute of silence to commemorate the murdered girl the night before. They had, however, a precise memory on the behavior of young in that circumstance, because they had drunk a bit 'all, being the birthday party of another friend: two Americans, when asked if they had ever seen RUDI drunk, however responded yes . The same day, DAVIS GIULIA, another American in Italy for study, made statements conform completely to the two compatriots, claiming, however, could not remember if he ever saw the GUEDE drunk and that he had found their intent to dance with him at the moment who had been asked by the recollection MEREDITH: at that point, while the other girl had approached him to explain what was happening, he had noticed that the DAVIS RUDI remained impassive. RAW CONCETTA SOFIA, an Australian girl law student, declared the Judicial Police to have met RUDI in October, without being able to specify where and how, he added, however, that the young man was at times to find her younger brother ALEX, and who frequented "Merlin "or the" Castle Rock ". Sometimes the GUEDE was drunk, but still - after the return of RAW from a short stay in Greece to parents, October 26 to 30 - it was a bit 'I did not see him. NIETO MARTIN FERNANDEZ MARTA and espinilla CAROLINA, from Spain and domiciled in Perugia because university students of

Economics, reported that they had known the GUEDE in September, because occupied an apartment on Via del Canerino 26, below the one where they lived: it was derived a series of casual encounters, especially because they had shared laundry, and sometimes they went out, particularly on 29 and 31 October. In the latter case, coinciding with the celebration of *Halloween*, had gone to Via Campo di Battaglia at another girl Iberian ADRIANA that, at a party among countrymen in all, there were about thirty Spaniards, and RUDI had talks with them.

25

Around midnight, the two girls had gone to another Spaniard, named CARLOS, at his home in Piazza Italy, and RUDI had followed staying with them until about one o'clock: then MARTA had returned home, while CAROLINA - in the company the GUEDE - had continued the round of festivities by going to the "Domus", where he was being held up at half past five, without being able to say exactly what time RUDI was gone in turn. The espinilla stated that the young man had talks with Spanish friends, and had only seen dancing with a blonde girl with long straight hair. The night between the 1st and 2nd of November, again according to the story of two Spaniards, had returned to the "Domus" where they had seen once again GUEDE around 04:00, in the company of people who did not know: they were not in able to tell you, that he was present. During the interrogation, warranty, GUEDE argued first of all to know superficially DIYA LUMUMBA, and to have been no more than three or four times at the *pub* "Le Chic"; knew KNOX, seeing her for the first time that he had been in the room PATRICK, where AMANDA had approached him as a maid, and had met her a few times on the road (limited to a greeting of circumstance) and had spent an evening with her and the boys who lived downstairs in Via della Pergola. This episode went up, he said, at the beginning of October, however, to coincide with the birthday of a friend of his, a certain OWEN: after celebrating the boy with his company of friends, RUDI had met around the KNOX and two of the students on the floor below, who knew from playing basketball in the usual pitch, and those two were to invite him to go to their house. AMANDA had risen in his apartment, and it was at this juncture that the GUEDE had some praise on her (but the other guys had participated with their comments, rather heavy), while filming some "cane" from there to the little American had fallen, and was coming off a few laughs because it was the person they were talking about. The defendant also reminded that the KNOX had smoked, then stated that he felt a bit 'tired, both for drinking a lot of that due to the smoke in the house, and went to the bathroom to a need to come back from the others (excluding the falling asleep on the *toilet* and claiming to have pulled the toilet regularly). After a short time had fallen MEREDITH, the GUEDE to be stressed already been informed of the presence of an English girl in the upstairs, but no one had ever seen: sentendone accent, he understood to be her, and we had exchanged a few words, appreciating the beauty.

IPv6

Meanwhile, the KERCHER had started to smoke, but it was she who AMANDA urge to go to sleep at their house both of them, since it was late; RUDI, because of fatigue, had then asked the boys downstairs if he could sleep on the couch, and he had been allowed. The next day he woke up, due to the presence of a cat that had risen to lick your face, said goodbye to one of those young and had gone away, added to be back in that apartment a subsequent Sunday without meeting MEREDITH, at the last Grand Prix of the Formula One season, following the performance on TV with them. In a different circumstance,

however, had talked again with the KERCHER, also met occasionally to "Merlin" in or around the city: what had happened the night of the match England - South African *rugby*, which he had witnessed at the *pub* "Shamrock" in the center of Perugia, noting among those present also MEREDITH. The GUEDE, along with his friend greek ALEX, Austrian and Dutch PHILIP FREDERICK, were there to cheer South Africa, while MEREDITH was obviously part of a group of compatriots, and they were followed some nice teasing each other, until a few jokes final at the time of the defeat of the British. During the game, RUDI had often conversed with her, but without rendezvous or agree to see each other later in the evening: October 31, on the occasion of *Halloween*, had instead seen again, and this time things had gone differently. The GUEDE claimed he went to a party of Spanish friends, in a house behind the film "Peacock", and they were all masked: at one point had approached a girl dressed as a vampire, who had spoken to her without even that he realized that was exactly MEREDITH. Once recognized, had jokingly asked if he wanted to suck his blood, mo 'revenge for the defeat in *rugby*, and then we were entertained to speak: the accused also described the place of the interview, with an intermediate room between two bathrooms, and stated that he kissed the girl, telling her that he wanted to see her again the next day. So, you were given an appointment for the next evening, at eight and a half. Out of the house of the Spaniards to 02:00, the GUEDE clarified that he went to the "Domus", because more or less everyone had revealed its intention to move to that place where there was a costume party: in describing the displacement and expectations, the biased said they were "a lot of people," but stated that you have not noticed MEREDITH, who hoped to revise the "Domus". However, they had not revised: in the room, according to RUDI, there were so many people, but believed that there was KERCHER.

27

Then examining some of the photographs already paid in the case, depicting the victim with the disguise for the party of 31, the accused did not recognize in the environments portrayed therein the house where he had held with the KERCHER, it retained its own version of the facts . Stated, however, taking note of the statements of the Spanish girls already enforced, that in fact he had spent the first part of the evening in two different homes, more and countrymen of the latter (and had met MEREDITH in the second) as he understood or remembered, the hosts were both called CARLOS. Coming to the evening of 1 November, RUDI remembered being out of the house as he began the regional TG3, then at 19:30: was passed in Via della Pergola, but still knocking did not answer anyone, either on the ground or to the girls one below. At that point, the GUEDE had decided to go find his friend ALEX, who lived not far from there: once played, waited 5 minutes before opening the door to see each other, because the other guy was in the shower, then had exchanged a few words with him reaching the agreement to meet again later, again at the home of ALEX. RUDI then went to buy a *kebab* near the cinema "Turreno", encountering along those moving the other friend PHILIP: at first he had not stopped, since PHILIP was talking to a girl, then we had entertained for some while, after telling him that they would meet again by ALEX, and that in the meantime had plans to see a person. PHILIP asked him if it was a man or a woman, and he had replied that it was a girl. Back in Via della Pergola, the GUEDE had knocked again, and once again he received no reply, within a few minutes, however, was actually arrived KERCHER, who had asked him how long he was waiting. RUDI had replied that he was there for only a minute, even if it had passed a few more, the girl smiled at him, he took the key from the

bag and both were entered into the house. More or less, were 21:00. MEREDITH had said in English "I am here," to warn of its entrance, but no one had said, and indeed it was felt that there were other girls, because the rooms were closed and there were no lights or noises. The defendant, because of a little *kebab* 'spicy, had asked permission to drink, and KERCHER had told him to do as if he were at home, so that he had taken from the fridge a bit' of water and of fruit juice. While he was in the kitchen, he heard the young complain and curse, and was therefore directed towards her: MEREDITH, in his room, he found an open drawer and said that there was no more money that he had placed there, without specifying the size of the sum but making it clear that it was a substantial figure, and if it was taken immediately with AMANDA, describing it as a drug addict.

28

The GUEDE had tried to calm her, or at least tell her not to blame so KNOX, without knowing how things went, and with her had made a tour through the house, in order to verify if there were signs of forced entry, without any finding fossils, the KERCHER, for its part, had wanted to check out a drawer in the room of the American, without finding the money. A bit 'to calm her down a bit' groped for an approach, the accused had approached with the greatest tenderness, starting to talk about himself and his family at a later time, was stated to be also entered in confidence with MEREDITH, who had spoken of a sentimental story in England (not even a boyfriend or a guy in Italy). So, while they were in the living room / kitchen, he told her that he liked, starting frolicking and kissing, until they touch each other in the private parts. The GUEDE stated that it had partially penetrated the girl with just your fingers, and have them also touched her breasts (and bra) from under the shirt. At that point, after about ten minutes, MEREDITH was to ask him if he had any condoms as he had said no, and both retreated realizing that he had gone a bit 'in there. So, you were reassembled (not having been stripped completely, but just having unfastened and lowered both the pants) and RUDI had told of having to go to the bathroom because the *kebab* had given him in the stomach. He went into the bathroom with the door next to the refrigerator, on the recommendation of the girl, had loaded the 'i-pod with the usual 25 songs he most listened to and was sitting on the *toilet* after cleaning the tablet, as usual: as indicated also the usual conduct of do his ear with headphones, hearing music. The young man also recalled the sequence of the first three tracks listened to, being the usual one, and while it was midway through the third - in spite of the very high volume - had heard a scream: dried up in a hurry, without even closing the belt tight, it was directed towards the chamber MEREDITH, finding the door (but just inside the room) a man's back was turned. At that point, the GUEDE had placed his hand on the shoulder of that individual, seeing the same instant the girl's body on earth: the other had suddenly turned, vibrating blows to his address with a knife he held in his left hand, of which he knew indicate length or other features. He described the person in question as a little shorter than he, of similar build, with light *jeans*, a black jacket brand "Napapijri" of which he had noticed the logo, a white cap bearing a red stripe in the middle and the hair - which could be seen below - pale brown: it was not able to describe them better just because of the attack in progress, which prompted him to take care not to be hurt, even if the man had drawn him to smear the hand right. Moreover, the lighting was quite low, because there was only turned on a *bedside lamp* in the room of the victim.

29

In retreating backwards, the first fall was prevented by a clothesline on the floor and then came back into the kitchen, falling between the table and the refrigerator because of the invasion of his antagonist, he described him save him as a "fiercely" managed to grab a chair, pushing it against the other man, and these - after a brief moment of hesitation - he had fled, saying in perfect Italian words "is black, Negro found, found guilty, let's go." RUDI went on to explain that the story, feeling the gap in front of the house man's steps that she walked away, she heard the noise of the way of most people, receiving the distinct feeling that in addition to that subject there was someone else: sportosi the window of the room that looked out towards the street (ie the room of Romanelli), had tried to see someone on the yard or in the street, but to no avail. Back in the room MEREDITH, describing not a mess, he realized that the girl was bleeding from the neck, across the shoulder with "soggy" was wearing *jeans* and a shirt of white wool, with the breast covered. The GUEDE had then tried to lend her aid, taking a towel from the bathroom, but it turned out to be too small and left immediately soaked in blood, so he took another and resumed blot the wound at the time, the KERCHER had given him the 'impression of wanting to say something, in spite of the blood that flowed from his mouth also, and RUDI - thinking in order to distinguish the letters "a" and "f" - had taken the trouble to write on the wall with your finger, having the bloody hand. Asked by the judge why he had not thought to call someone for help, the accused replied that it did not have a phone (or had seen in the house), having been seized the cell in Milan a few days ago, in addition, because of the fear had failed to keep polished, also thinking that no one would believe him in the condition he was in, all bloody. Then reiterated that he had touched more or less everywhere in the room, even with the blood on his hands, but does not explain why his stamp lay exactly on the pillow under the corpse, when he remembered the pillow regularly over the bed, where they were the jacket and the bag that she had put into the house. The bed was, according to his description, covered with a duvet red or *beige* (but insisted much more on the first color): the pillow was out of the quilt. Feeling perhaps a noise coming from the apartment below, the GUEDE was even more scared and decided to run away, leaving the door open to both chamber MEREDITH that the door of the house (and all the glass intact) was passed through Piazza Grimana where someone was playing on the basketball court, and headed towards Via del Canerino, trying to avoid Corso Garibaldi to meet people who could not see his clothes stained with blood.

30

As for your clothing, remember that you are wearing, among other things, a sweatshirt and shoes brand "Adidas", the latter - he said - remained clean. When I got home, had washed the clothes, then - still in the grip of a strong agitation - had changed and was out again, heading for the house of ALEX: come here, there was also another friend PHILIP, beginning to exchange a few word but still feeling almost in *a trance*, making great efforts to remain calm and give an impression of normality. The defendant indicated approximately 23:30 in the time he came to the boy's home, where he was held until midnight and a half or so, and then take a stroll downtown, meet another American friend and go to the usual "Domus" (but perhaps not even ALEX, who had disagreements with the staff of the *pub*): here remained more or less up to 02:30 / 03:00, and from there they went to "Velvet", since one of his friends had to talk to someone of the *staff* of the restaurant. Going with the times back, also according to the distances covered on foot and the duration of his stay in Via del Canerino to clean up, assumed to be out of the house on

Via della Pergola around 22:30, or a few minutes later. It indicated, however, in more than five or six minutes elapsed from the time when he heard the cry of the girl at the time when the unknown assailant had been away from home, wanting to emphasize that the struggle was prolonged. In a subsequent question, he remembered also to have heard, when he was still in the bathroom, the sound of the bell on the front door, as he had already stated in the first interrogation in Germany. Faced with the photos of the crime scene, the GUEDE denied that the position of the body of the girl was the one where he had left her, insisting especially the fact that she was dressed and not half-naked; exclude the possibility that, when he appeared at the window of the room ROMANELLI to see groped toward the street, the glass was broken, indicating that the interior shutters were open like the shutters. Coming to the days that followed, the defendant remembered being in the house on Nov. 2, and to be released in the afternoon to go back to ALEX: first, through the oft-mentioned pitch, basketball court, he had heard some guys talk about a student killed, and it was returned to the topic with the owner of a pizzeria nearby. A house ALEX had found his sister, as well as PHILIP, and had been the girl to talk about the crime: the whole thing was limited to a hint, without any comment from the GUEDE. Noting that RAW SOFIA CONCETTA had already declared not to have seen the end of October, the biased reiterated, however, to have met that evening. He admitted later that he was the "Domus" even in the night between 2 and 3, but - belying the supposed "impassibility" had mentioned the DAVIS - remarked that he had observed a minute's silence invoked by the *DJ* for the death of MEREDITH .

31

After another day pretty much locked up at home, had left Perugia on Saturday (while noting that Saturday was the 3rd, then on the day immediately following the last episode narrated): proceeding in a train journey a bit 'in stages, had arrived before in Florence, then in Bologna. From here he went to Milan, but without a ticket because he had little money (as a result, he also sold the *'i-pod*): surprised by the parties of Modena, had been sent down, but at the first opportunity he had taken another train coming in Milan after midnight. Here he entered a room that was already familiar with, just to let time go by, and about five o'clock he returned to the station, and was also checked by the police: he happened to get on a train to Germany, without even understanding the destination, and had carried away. After various adventures in different cities, meeting other people of color had finally told of what had happened to him, speak words of understanding and feeling that no one in Italy would have been willing to believe him, had arrived in Dusseldorf: from there he took contacts with GIACOMO BENEDETTI, being informed that the police were looking for him. She had told him his own truth, and revealed its intention to return, that his friend has been advocating: on the way to Milan, however, to the first control on the train had been found without a ticket and without documents, and - proven its generality - was snapped shut down. The Judicial Police PERFORMED immediacy certain investigations to stop the declarations of GUEDE, and already on December 8, assumed to record the deposition of RAW ALEX, brother of SOFIA CONCETTA. The boy, aged 18, reported to have met RUDI "around", without being able to indicate well on what occasion: it was still a friendship and an attendance, with the other calling him often enough and went to see him at home. To tell the RAW, at least for what was made up, the defendant did not use drugs or drink excessively. About the KERCHER, ALEX confirmed the detail of the game of *rugby* view the "Shamrock" was just RUDI, after the murder, saying that the girl killed was the one who had attended the

meeting when there were too .However, the young man pointed out that he had never seen the GUEDE talk MEREDITH, neither had received by his confidences about the fact that this girl could please him or that he had scheduled appointments with her. When asked about how he had spent the evening of November 1, the witness replied quote: "I do not remember what I did on November 1 or the evening of that day, though I am sure that RUDI did not come to me that night, even late in the night. "

32

The RAW remembered however that the day of the murder on TV news (ie 2) RUDI had gone from him, and it was at that moment that was coming off the underlining of the identity of the subject with the girl of "Shamrock "on the same occasion, the GUEDE had announced the intention to go a few days in Milan, just to dance. The meeting - took place in the afternoon, with the defendant that was left for half an hour - this was the sister of the heads, but not others: the next evening, which ALEX could not say where he had spent, RUDI had not been his company. After learning of his involvement in the crime, the RAW had been thinking about the behavior of RUDI November 2, reaching the impression that in fact it had seemed a little quiet at the moment, however, he had not noticed anything in particular, nor was aware of any injuries on the hands of GUEDE. The young man concluded his testimony stating that the GUEDE had told him earlier to feel alone, and that he would like to find a girl. EGBARIA MOHAMMAD, Israeli student of Agricultural and boyfriend SOFIA RAW, claimed to know RUDI, but had last seen on October 24 or so, remembering that he had received a telephone call from a different number than usual on 27 October, with the GUEDE to tell him that he was in Milan and that he would be back the next day. He added also that on November 1 he had spent in the company of his girlfriend and ALEX, but could not remember what they had done. The Austrian MALY PHILIP MICHAEL claimed to have known the GUEDE around the 20th October, at the steps of the cathedral of Perugia, because he was in the company of ALEX mutual friend, and since then, never exchanged phone numbers, I had seen other 6 or 7 times, always in the company of the group (ALEX, sister, MOHAMMAD and others) and on one occasion had all had dinner at the home of RUDI. He also confirmed that he had followed the "Shamrock" England-South Africa, and that he had learned only after the murder - by some American friends - that night would have been present KERCHER: RUDI excluded, however, that, during the evening in question, we had spoken. To tell the MALY, the GUEDE had never said to be attracted by MEREDITH or had appointments with her, being a girl who did not know the young Austrian: instead had heard from RUDI he liked a certain Alessia, Germany. Asked what he had done on November 1, and when he saw the defendant the last time, the MALY replied: "The day on November 1 us have been all day in the house and maybe even in the evening, they are certain, however, had not seen RUDI either the day of or the evening of November 1 November 1 (...). I'm pretty sure that he saw for the last time RUDI 4 or 5 days before *Halloween* to take in a local *kebab* in this Way U. Rocchi. "The witness then stated that he had learned from the RAW GUEDE had passed since the Australian home the day after the murder.

33

SALIM ZAFER, Kuwaiti student and *dee-jay* in the premises of the historical center, MEREDITH claimed to have known since the early days of her arrival in Italy, have seen her several times "Merlin" or "Domus", always and only in the company of his English friends; RUDI also knew, but did not remember having seen at "Domus" on the evening

of November 2. He had noticed instead to "Merlin" a few days before, he said, the GUEDE sometimes began to dance on the tables of the "Merlin" showing on those occasions to have had a little 'too. On 11 December 2007 it was then the turn of BARROW Abukar MOHAMED, nicknamed "Momi", a young Somali basketball player: the deposition of that you will have to come back later, since he was also heard as a witness during the preliminary investigation conducted in preliminary hearing . In the meantime, they were re-enforced both ROMANELLI and MEZZETTI, that the English friends of KERCHER. Between the two Italian, while the ROMANELLI claimed that he had never seen nor known the GUEDE, the other referred to the Public Prosecutor that someone among the boys downstairs had told her that one evening RUDI was at their house and, being a little 'drunk, had fallen asleep on the *toilet*: the same MEZZETTI he then saw the defendant in person, on a Sunday that had passed a moment to find the guys downstairs, and it was the day of the last race of the Ferrari. Among the compatriots MEREDITH, HAYWARD simply claimed not to know the GUEDE, saying he was certain that even the KERCHER knew him, the RODENHURST, returning to the *Halloween* party, exclude the possibility that some black guy had approached the girl who was killed during their stay to "Merlin" or "Domus", indicating a certain Farsad, Iran, the only foreigner that maybe we had exchanged a few words (however, stated that she had been at the "Domus" only for a short time, while MEREDITH and other friends were still withheld). SOPHIE Purton, after repeating the movements of the group of girls on the evening of 31, stated that during that evening MEREDITH had not received phone calls, and could not rule out that he had sms: during the stay at the "Merlin" were always together , so remember that the only guy approached the KERCHER was an Italian early 20s, with blue eyes and a little 'short in stature, he could not say anything useful about what happened later to the "Domus", because - as he had previously stated - had not remained constantly at the side of her friend. How to GUEDE, stated to have seen the picture in the newspapers after the arrest, but he had never seen before: MEREDITH excluded him that he had spoken of as a friend or acquaintance, and according to her probably did not know him at all.

34

On the evening of 1, while returning after having dinner in their homes by AMY ROBYN and the Purton claimed to have told the KERCHER of being tired, and probably the other had said the same thing: to be sure, had not spoken of appointments that they had plans or the possibility of seeing someone, even to point out that because of fatigue would not have wanted to. The behavior in general of his friend, SOPHIE held in explaining that I had never seen her kissing a guy in public, remembered in this regard also some criticism that he had had addressed towards KNOX, which said that the men wore at home to sleep together. AMY FROST recalled that on the evening of 31, in his apartment in Via Bontempi, she and MEREDITH had finished with makeup for *Halloween*, both having chosen a vampire costume: in the "Merlin" had happened that sometimes drifted apart, even at Due to the amount of people there, but still had not noticed black guys close friend. Indicated in some acquaintance with Italian youth which had been maintained, albeit recognizing that he saw the GUEDE for the first time in the pictures in the papers that he had never felt excluded KERCHER pronounce the name, or speak generally of a boy with its characteristics. The 31 had absolutely seen RUDI talk or even flirting with MEREDITH, nor to "Merlin" or the "Domus". The next evening, seeing her leave about nine o'clock, he had the feeling that - like all others, for that matter - was tired he was late

the night before, and therefore intended to go to sleep. He knew, however, that the BUTTERWORTH MEREDITH had served in a history book, and thought that her friend read it meant to return it as soon as possible. As for the relationship with GIACOMO, the FROST merely point out that the history between him and MEREDITH had just begun, although they may be considered boyfriends: however, reported that her friend had told her that Knox had been saying that the boy also liked her, but (almost did a concession) had no objection to the KERCHER you put. ROBYN BUTTERWORTH finally confirmed that MEREDITH had spoken of the story with GIACOMO, started by a couple of weeks, and he knew that weekend the boy would go elsewhere, at home or skiing; confirmed also, by the evening of 31, the particular makeup of the completed house. In both places where they were, except for short interruptions because one or the other had gone to the *toilet*, had remained always together: both the "Merlin" that the "Domus" they were very busy, but no one in particular had approached to talk to the KERCHER, while showing the heads for about an hour in the "Domus", had not been close (unlike, however, AMY, which had always been with MEREDITH).

35

BUTTERWORTH also claimed that he had never seen, not even randomly on the street, the GUEDE, and their ability to recognize only because of the images appeared in newspapers or on TV: therefore ruled out ever having seen him in the company of MEREDITH, and denied that the ' friend had ever talked about some or RUDI - apart GIACOMO - a guy that he felt attracted to or who had revealed interest, while stating that he would certainly described as a black male, but simply as one who had known. The witness referred to turn the speeches made by KERCHER the behavior of AMANDA for the men who carried home, and dwelt on dress of the evening MEREDITH 1, described in: a sweatshirt blue brand "Adidas" with the stripes blue on the sleeves, a cotton shirt long-sleeved *beige* and another or maybe two more t-shirts below, with a few drawings, as well as a pair of faded *jeans* and a pair of shoes, "Puma". On 7 January 2008, meanwhile, showed up at the Flying Squad in Perugia TRAMONTANO CHRISTIAN and Aouad MUSTAPHA. The first indicated that it had suffered a robbery at his home on 1 or 2 previous September, the work of a black boy who - following the publication in the press of many photos of GUEDE - believed to recognize in today accused. In particular, the man reported that at six in the morning of that day was awakened by some noises coming from the room below the environment of the bedroom, willing to mezzanine: looking out, like his partner, who was in his company he had seen a young black man, intent to rummage through his things, so that had fallen out of bed in a hurry to try to send him away. The other, at that point, had begun to descend the spiral staircase which communicated with the downstairs, but - found the door closed - it was facing the TRAMONTANO who had followed him, before grabbing a chair to wield against him, then pulling out a switchblade: the complainant, frightened, went back upstairs, while his girlfriend called the "113", and in the meantime the thief - he had said a few words in perfect Italian, and his breath winy - could escape. Having verified the extent of what had been stolen, the man noticed the lack of a few cash and three credit cards, and the very next evening, going to the disco "Domus", it had seemed to revise the boy in question between the patrons of the restaurant. A distance of time, seeing the photos of GUEDE the newspapers, the TRAMONTANO had the impression that it was really the author of the theft.

The Aouad, owner of a bar and a restaurant quite popular in the city center, referring instead to the PG that he had often seen the KERCHER in its establishment, always

36

company of British girls with whom she used to go out (only once had noticed in a group which also included men, and there was also the couple KNOX-PROMPT), and seemed like a pretty reserved, which did not give confidence. Also claimed to know RUDI, but only by sight, and that he had never noticed attend MEREDITH or other girls in Britain. The investigations were continuing with the acquisition of the first results of biological tests: having been reconstructed the genetic profile of GUEDE through various samples (in particular, samples of saliva on her toothbrush, found as a result of the seizure of the apartment in Via del Canerino), it appurava identity with those isolated on some of the findings, and in particular on one of the vaginal swabs taken from the victim's body and on a piece of toilet paper in the bathroom where they had found did not download. About the vaginal swab, in any case, was not found to have sperm, and you could make the comparison of the two profiles only in order all'aplotipo Y, characterizing the individual male and any of its ascending or descending in a straight line. On 26 March 2008, the GUEDE made a new interrogation the prosecutor. On this occasion, belied the outset that some of his acquaintances he had never called "Baron" and confirmed that in March 2007 he returned to Perugia from Lecco, going to find the family MANCINI for help in finding a job, which almost now he had found at the farm of CAPORALI, in August, however, had left that occupation, and it was dedicated to some occasional work in the catering industry, looking for new opportunities through the other friend GIACOMO BENEDETTI. Even during periods of commitment as a gardener or something else, had continued to practice basketball, going to play very often to the field of Piazza Grimana: here was more often than Chinese boys, a Cameroonian named PATRICK (probably TEMGOUA) and Lithuanian VIKI, but sometimes you capitavano also a couple of young Italians who lived in the house of KNOX and KERCHER. Repeated in the same terms the circumstances in which he had met at the *pub* AMANDA DIYA, RUDI is reported once again with the memory of the evening spent at the home of the young men, when he had met them (together with KNOX) after the party birthday OWEN: according to him, it was the 12 or 14 October. Confirmed that, once AMANDA climb to his house, and before he returned, everyone had said it was a beautiful girl, advancing fantasies more or less amateurish then - redescent the American - had turned a "cane", that the same KNOX had taken to smoking in a way that had seemed exaggerated.

After a while ', was also dropped the KERCHER, and GUEDE stressed again that this was the day that they had taken, but added that it has already

37

view in some local having sitting before him, had talked long enough between them, while AMANDA exchanged jokes with the other guys, but not that any of them will demonstrate that - either with her or with English - greater confidence in or even linked by some relationship. Unlike KNOX, MEREDITH had smoked only doing a "Kick", and it was she who first said he intended to go to sleep, and was followed immediately by AMANDA. The defendant insisted that he had slept in the house, feeling a little 'tired and disoriented from the smoke (and tipsy from drinking a lot in previous party), as well as going to the toilet, but recovered regularly where he was sitting. A home of the Marches was back for the second and last time on the afternoon of the Grand Prix, and on that occasion he had seen one of the Italian roommates KERCHER, which had fallen from

those guys and had bought the "smoke" for 5.00 euro. He then revised MEREDITH at the *rugby* match, where he took the opportunity to approach her again because she had loved: the "Shamrock" had come before him, in the company of ALEX, and PHILIP FREDERICK, then I ' He had seen her come in and sit in the row right behind. To tell the GUEDE, ALEX also had greeted her because they already knew. Later, during the month of October, he met again KERCHER many times, the "Merlin": here the girl would often, always in the company of his English friends, with whom however RUDI claimed not to have had opportunities of knowledge. On the afternoon of 31, as he remembered, the GUEDE had played a little 'basketball, perhaps with the usual Chinese, and then he knew he had by the time the program go to a party of young Spaniards (in the house of some CARLOS THOMAS and , who had met a few days before) with the girls who lived in the apartment above her, and there was indeed gone, the phone before asking directions to the place, which was in Via Campo di Battaglia, and here he had seen a game football, he ate, drank and joked a bit ', then - with the rest of the party - had gone to another apartment of Spaniards, behind Via Bonazzi. Here, too, had found a lot of people, and during the party had snapped several photographs, some of which had been recovered by the defense and prevented the investigators were produced: indeed, in four or five of the images stood out the figure of GUEDE. In the reconstruction of the events, at that point, the biased claimed to have done previously some confusion, having deemed to have seen MEREDITH where in fact there was while excluding that there was where he had seen really: in fact, boys' house the Iberian KERCHER was not coming at all, but he had seen - and kissed her, as he had said by placing the encounter elsewhere - the "Domus". Around 02:00, with the same group of Spaniards, RUDI had in fact left the second house and headed towards that place, and found it chock full of

38

young people through the crowd, when he was at the bar for drinks, turning to a bow and a room, he found himself in front MEREDITH dressed as a vampire, and he made the joke about the fear that would suck the blood because the his team had lost the game of *rugby*. Again described the condition of the premises, the defendant stated that he had spoken with the girl for about ten minutes, the outcome of which had asked her to see her again the next day, and she had consented: without specifying whether they had made an appointment or if it were one's own conviction on the time that can pass from you, the GUEDE added that the program was to see her again the next night at half past eight, even at the 1 had a further appointment with our Spanish friends, to nine or ten o'clock and by CARLOS THOMAS, in Via Campo di Battaglia. The defenders also produced some photographs taken inside the "Domus" on the evening in question, which showed that the same guys portrayed in the other photos of the house of the Spaniards found themselves with the same clothing and attitude even in that space, designed to meet the statements of their client. The first, according to the version of the biased, had slept until 18:00, and in conjunction with the start of the regional news on RAI 3 came out of the house in a few minutes, even less than ten, had arrived in Via della Pergola but had found no one, nor seen lights on (even downstairs). Then, as already told, had gone from ALEX where he had stopped for a short time, confirming the particular fact that the Australian had to wait a while ', finding himself in the bathroom, so he had taken leave from RAW, saying generally that would be seen later, and rose to the Duomo. Reiterated that he saw PHILIP who spoke with a girl, and she had not disturbed, then we had exchanged a few jokes when he was already in the hands of the sandwich with the *kebab*, and even he had

said that you could see later, because first was to meet with a girl, perhaps the Austrian had also asked the time, and it was just before eight and a half. Stated that MEREDITH had not given him the cell phone number and he had not felt the need to ask him, because so much is encountered in the usual places, either because they did not have more than one phone since he was kidnapped on October 27 in Milan. The GUEDE claimed to be returned in Via della Pergola around the time assumed for the meeting, noting also a subcompact car type just outside the gate of the house and a Moroccan, a kind of scruffy vagabond, not far away. He knocked, after finding the gate open, and had not been answered in the retrace his steps toward the street, he noticed the onset KERCHER, just remembered as the car was no longer there.

39

In a few moments to talk with the girl out of the house, RUDI remembered hearing someone who talked on the outside of the car park above: instead of seeing excluded in Piazza Grimana buses to the clubs or bums who were stationed on some bench: MEREDITH observing him, asked him what he did, and he had replied that the past, taking care to point out that it was waiting for long. In any case, the girl was not at all scared trovandoselo before, and had invited him to enter, and the biased confirmed that the KERCHER had said aloud to be back, as well as the particular drinking water and fruit juice from the fridge. After that had happened that MEREDITH had noticed the alleged theft of money. More or less, could be 21:20. We were derived accusations and invective against AMANDA word of which he had already done, and among other things he had heard that he was sick of the guys who brought home the KNOX and his way of doing: seeing the strong anger, RUDI had understood that the missing money had to be not just English. The result had calmed down, making her a compliment that was a beautiful girl, and the angry wrinkles would come: at that point, had begun to speak, still a little 'of the difficulties that MEREDITH had with the U.S. (the who said that it did not take even cleaned the bathroom), then the most intimate and personal things. The GUEDE had told her not to have a mother, however, had the good fortune in life music together with others, and KERCHER had seized an opportunity to talk about her, telling him she was sick (although the defendant had been able to understand, a kidney cancer) and that he was afraid of losing it. Then the girl, when asked if she was engaged, had spoken of "someone special" in England, and he had made the conclusion that in Italy no ties. In that context, there was the approach, all natural: RUDI had kissed her on the lips, then had caressed each other, even on the genitals, and after a while 'had come out of the qualms about the lack of MEREDITH a condom, so they stopped and picked up his pants. All this was done in the living room, with the two young people sitting on chairs nearby. Feeling disturbed stomach, GUEDE had gone to the bathroom, the girl asking permission to do so and indicate the closest feeling, not just come in, with MEREDITH who was heading towards his room but it was still in that kind of living room, someone rang the bell. RUDI had heard the KERCHER ask who he was, after which - without having heard the answer of who was playing - he heard her say in English and altered tone the words "we need to talk" or something like that, ie "we need to talk."

40

The answer, this time, was incorporated by the accused (who still had the bathroom door open), because the person in the meantime entered the house, with a female voice that he considered to recognize that AMANDA KNOX, had replied, still in English, "what's happening?" that is, "what happens?" or "what is the problem?". The GUEDE had

decided not to worry, and that they were not his business: thus, he began to listen to the music of *'i-pod*, while doing his needs. As already reported in the first interrogation, he had heard during the third song a cry louder than the volume in the headphones, and had then tried to go to see what was happening with a rush that will not heal to dress well, nor to flush the *toilet*. RUDI stated in the remainder of the record that, even with headphones on his head, he kept hearing a rumor among women, always considering this MEREDITH and AMANDA, but not in terms as to make him think that there was something serious in place. Out of the bathroom, the young man was surprised to find the lights off in the kitchen, since shortly before it was turned on, then it was directed towards the corridor that led to the chamber MEREDITH, and repeated the story on the individual who had seen behind the door, and that he had shot shooting with a knife in his left hand, as soon as the GUEDE had come close to him and he saw the body of the injured girl on the floor. Compared to the previous interrogation, he added that the man had pronounced cheekbones and a kind of double chin: not wearing glasses, and could have been about the same age. RUDI, who also claimed to have heard the voice of KNOX, AMANDA had not seen in the house, which is just in front of the subject with the knife, which had forced him to back up to fall to the ground when he came back into the living room, so as to have taken tirargliela against a chair and try to pull it away from: corrected the first, a little 'hasty and far-fetched, indication at the time that sort of scuffle, defining "lightning" attack in December that he had thought it lasted five minutes or more. Again, the alleged phrase that was repeated the young man had said, at someone else, about having found a "black" and "guilty", which had been followed by the additional phrase "let's go" and - soon - the footsteps of several persons in the breach: the novelty, however, was that, in the interrogation to the Public Prosecutor, the GUEDE claimed to have seen from the bedroom window of ROMANELLI a female figure with her hair down, in which had recognized the silhouette of AMANDA KNOX. The girl, next to which there was no one else, was - according to RUDI - up to the gate to the driveway, practically already on the way: at the same time, the sound of footsteps toward the back of the house had made him think that someone was heading towards the entrance of the house below.

41

There were no changes in version instead about the ways in which he tried to rescue MEREDITH, nor about the conditions in which he found the victim or the room: confirmed, among other things, the impression that the duvet on the bed was red, and insisted that at that time there was the whole mess which then had found in the photographs of the visit. As for his shoes, confirmed to have worn the "Adidas" green. He explained that you have not yet seen the KERCHER use the phone, or cellular seen around the house, adding that if he had noticed one certainly would have called the "118". Repeated the detail of the alleged noise heard coming from the floor below, which prompted him to run away, the GUEDE was stated to be left passing through the stairs next to the basketball court, where he noticed that someone was playing: ruled that he had collided with someone, as well as being denied never crossed ladders of metal from the car park in Via Pinturicchio (stating that know how to reach the area of the parking lot without necessarily use those stairs) and confirmed that it was arrived at home avoiding Corso Garibaldi, then it was changed and had come out again. On his subsequent movements, confirmed that it was gone from ALEX, while stating that he was with him, to PHILIP and others (including MJ, initials designed to clarify the boyfriend of the sister

of RAW), but was not sure of being right went to the home of the Australian: ALEX was just to leave the group of friends, after they had gone to the "Domus", because he had had a discussion earlier with the staff of that place, and they had not come. For the rest of the night, RUDI was therefore left with PHILIP and others, including traveling to the "Velvet". Pressed by investigators on the description of the man with the knife, especially in a possible chance that it was Raffaele Sollecito, the GUEDE stated to have been a bit 'put up for seeing pictures and photographs, and have therefore found something (evidently, as regards the assessment of similarity, if not full reconnaissance) of the unsub in people who had ever seen, without referring specifically to REMINDER: thought it preferable to try to make a *sketch*, precisely because of those suggestions, which persisted even in the face of more direct questions. In any case, the accent of the young man in question, it was definitely excluded from Perugia or Northern Italy. Speaking via *Skype* finally had the interview with the BENEDETTI, the defendant claimed to have answered questions of GIACOMO, sometimes a bit 'in a hurry and because conditioned by the situation: when the friend asked him if he had been to kill AMANDA MEREDITH, had said no, because in those moments it clear that his mind was focused only on the male figure with a knife.

42

The GUEDE concluded representing the minutes to feel responsible for not saving the life of a dying girl, but to be completely unrelated to the murder, hoping that it would give the course to all the necessary comparisons. On April 4, GIACOMO BENEDETTI was to make a statement to the public prosecutor: the young man, aged 21, described himself as probably the best friend of the accused, with whom he had attended middle school in Ponte San Giovanni, in the same class, and had also shared the same passion for basketball, while militating in different teams. The witness's personal GUEDE reconstructed events, including in relation to the different periods in which he had been entrusted to some families, referring in particular that, as far as consisted, RUDI had decided to go by his aunt in Lecco because he was no longer in his CAPORALI comfortable with, without, however, that his friend had never said that he was not treated well, just to lifestyles not very compatible. After the transfer in Lombardy, the two had not seen for a year and a half or so, and when he returned in the spring of 2007, they had instead re-established relations, as well as with the group of boys of Ponte San Giovanni, which had tried - helped by their families - to help the GUEDE to look for work. Occupation who had arrived in a very short time, with the offer at the farm of CAPORALI: the BENEDETTI RUDI claimed that at that time he began to work commitment, getting up early in the morning, but during the summer (however, in correspondence with its leave, he had not had many opportunities to hear him) had had some problems, absenting by the company for unspecified health reasons, and - lost his job - he fell into depression. Asked if, as far as I know, RUDI made use of drugs, the young replied that he had never even seen smoking, and also in its presence had never drunk enough to get drunk, but in those months was put a little "'to earth, "do you want for the lack of money you want to make it impossible to do what he really liked, ie being around people and attend environments where there are always new people to meet. Towards September, BENEDETTI had begun to stimulate a bit ', calling the evening to go out and seeing what to do to find him somewhere else, but because of the concurrent study commitments had not been possible to meet with diligence: so that, I' had largely lost sight of it again, and apart from a few phone calls (also indicated as the address of

the friend no. 329/1819627) had not had a chance to really talk. The witness pointed more or less in the October 31, but perhaps for some days before, the last telephone contact with the GUEDE, quite tentative, but do not feel it for a while 'did not entail him cause for concern, given that to disappear every now and then was a feature of RUDI.

43

On 16 November, when the agents of the State Police had come to him, he understood BENEDETTI in a short time the situation: 19, verified by RUDI *Messenger* that was connected, there had been a long talk between them that he had then brought the prevented the determination to return to Italy. The witness reported that in the course of that conversation the GUEDE she had specified that he had nothing to do with the murder, given that there had been arrests of other people, BENEDETTI had asked if they had been AMANDA - having understood RUDI who knew her - or LUMUMBA, and the other had written him not, for the girl using the expression "nothing to do" and that the Congolese enhanced "nothing to do shit." The manager, for what the defendant said, was a young Italian, and when asked if it was the PROMPT (whose picture was in all the newspapers, and certainly downloadable via *internet*) he answered in vague terms, more or less with a phrase like "Dunno, I do not know, I think so", repeating several times, however, in feeling repeat the question, that "I think so". At that point, instead of being written questions and answers on the *chat*, the BENEDETTI had suggested a telephone via *Skype*, sending money with the *money transfer* system and thus allowing them to pay the station to *'internet point* where it was: it was derived a chat so real, especially focused on the decision - which according to the witness had already been acquired by RUDI - to return to clarify its position. Returning to describe what had happened the night of the murder, Guede had said that there had been a meeting with MEREDITH, and they had met at the home of her: after a while, there had been effusions, and RUDI had also touched intimate parts, bangs with your fingers (he had also stated that they would probably find the traces of that penetration), thus excluding a sexual intercourse but stressing that the KERCHER was consenting. So he went to the bathroom and he heard a scream coming out "as a start" without even wipe or flush the *toilet*, and had thus found in front of a man (definitely Italian, although he had not stopped to talk about accents or dialects), with whom he had taken to shoving or something. The prevented had insisted on the particular alleged theft that MEREDITH had suffered before their entry into the house, and on the fact that there was no sign of forced entry or broken glass, in contrast to what had been found by the police: *ergo*, he said someone had mystified the crime scene, suggesting unknown thieves who had smuggled into the house. And according to what he had said RUDI, the girl was still alive when he was out of the house, after trying to blot the wound.

44

Over the next talks in prison, to say the BENEDETTI, the GUEDE had represented that they have produced most of their memories, concluding that he had also seen AMANDA, but the witness stated that it did not have to give too many details, and it invited to speak at greater length with their lawyers: RUDI had dwelt on the kind of life that was KNOX, describing it as a girl who "sfasciava" drug, though not heavy type, stating instead that he had never known the REMINDER. Finally, he also expressed the belief that the false accusation against the American PATRICK could stem from the fact that the Italian surprised by him, having seen a black boy who did not know, had reported the presence in the house, and AMANDA had derived the conclusion that this could be the

LUMUMBA. On May 15, having asked to make new statements to investigators, the GUEDE signed a new record: taking a cue from some passages of the measure *de libertate* in the meantime filed by the Supreme Court against him, he intended to point out that the night of the murder was not wearing a pair of "Adidas", but of "Nike", also corresponding to the model of footwear (*Outbreak 2*, size 11) of which had been found empty box in the house on Via del Canerino. The fact assumed a particular importance, since some sports shoe prints found in it in the house on Via della Pergola, and especially in the vicinity of a corpse, had originally been traced to a different model of "Nike" (*Air Force*) found in the availability the PROMPT, then instead evaluated Incompatible following comparisons carried indeed to bring the issue to footwear impressions indicated by GUEDE, that the defendant claimed to have thrown in a dumpster during their stay in Germany. Then reiterated the version already exhibited recently, returning to describe the young man saw him and stating - and a willingness to respect any - that he made a "conviction seeing the photos in the papers"; finally added that as far as he could remember, the black lamp he had seen in some photographs of the pleadings, placed on the ground in Meredith's room, probably was not there when he had lent her aid, having had the impression that the light beam coming from a different direction. The lamp in question, meanwhile, had been recognized by ROMANELLI and MEZZETTI as part of the budget of furniture in the room KNOX, which was devoid of light points (and in fact, at the time of inspection, were not found other sources of lighting in that room).

45

Meanwhile, the scientific investigations were pursued: on the one hand, was given over to recording evidence for the medico-legal determination of the causes of the death of KERCHER, with simultaneous verification of the fact whether or not the victim had made sexual activity in the run death (and if it had been allowed or violent sexual activity), and second, the biological investigations carried to acquire additional data about traceability to GUEDE of traces found at the crime scene. Returning to continuing the discussion of the results of the debate between experts and consultants part in the trial evidence, as to the analysis of DNA technicians were received by the Scientific Police Service to identify the genotypic profile of the accused - as well as on the vaginal swab of the girl and on residues of toilet paper in the bathroom where they had found did not download, as already mentioned - even traces of biological material on the right side of the bra found at the foot of KERCHER, found on the bag above the bed of the room (in this case, it was a mixture with the DNA of the victim) and the left cuff of the sweatshirt blue that at the time of inspection it was described as a "mesh with zipper," smeared with blood because the substance found near the corpse and partially beneath it. For some time, however, it was found that on the blade of a kitchen knife seized in the home of SOLLECITO there was a trace of biological material attributable to KERCHER, while on the handle of the same knife, near the hairline of the blade and therefore, at the point where those who impugnasse would have to exercise in theory greater pressure, there was a distinct track, from which it was possible to extract DNA of KNOX. On the other hand, on the hooks of the bra clasp, sticking to the strip of cloth torn from the garment and recovered only after removing the body (as shown in the first inspection report), you riscontravano other tracks, bearing mixture of DNA of the victim and PROMPT results, these, held by the respective defenses particularly controversial want for the possible unreliability of research due to the small biological material available, you want to complain risk of contamination of the exhibits (especially grievance relates to the flap of

bra, identified by the Scientific Police already in the night between 2 and 3 November but actually picked up and examined only after a second visit on 18 December 2007). Exercised by the PM with the prosecution request for indictment, before the hearing of 16 September 2007 was filed an application for summary judgment in the interest of GUEDE, affected the enforcement of three witnesses and documentary productions.

46

In addition to Ms. TIBERI and the already mentioned GABRIELE MANCINI, the defense of the accused requested that there be called to testify Kokomani Hekuran, an Albanian national, already heard as a witness several times in the course of the preliminary investigation, it appeared to be the only one to have seen along the GUEDE, the KNOX and PROMPT, just near the house in Via della Pergola and on a day that was either October 31 or the evening of the crime, otherwise in circumstances rather nebulous; document production was closely related with the deposition, in resolving claims of meteorological company on the detection or less of rainfall during the two days aforesaid (having already reported the heads of a rain in place at the time of the encounter with the three). Attached, as an act of investigation *pursuant to* art. 391 - *bis* cpp, the defenders of GUEDE offered in a production record of obtaining information from that ENZO Pula, owner of a farm with a restaurant where the Kokomani had represented to have worked in the past, and in which he would have been seen from GUEDE, occasional customer: in the minutes, the Pula denied that the witness had been in his employment during the period indicated by him, and excluded, however, that had never been used as a job as a waiter. In the preliminary hearing intervened as plaintiffs some of the victim's relatives (parents and siblings), the owner of the property in Via della Pergola and DIYA LUMUMBA, the latter only in respect of KNOX, accused of slander against him ; after certain formal issues and disregarded several exceptions defensive, was admitted to summary proceedings within the terms of the application, subject to decide on the request of texts in rebuttal advanced by the PM, but the same was available, even *art.* 441 co. 5 cpp in the trial of GUEDE, taking the testimony of Dr. PATRIZIA STEFANONI, in service at the Scientific Police of Rome and head of the Investigation Section Forensic Genetics. On 26 September 2008, then took the testimony of TIBERI IVANA, he remembered to have known the accused hearing was the teacher in elementary school, from the second onwards: view his personal situation, since RUDI lived with his father but he spent most of the day alone and also had to manage themselves without saying, the lady had become an active part - together with a colleague and some other moms students - to allow the child to spend the afternoon at his home or with other families. Had thus become, in virtue of which proved to have good education, a friend of many people in the context of Ponte San Giovanni, and those relationships remained even after the passage of the boy in junior high and Hospitality Institute of Assisi, although decreasing in number of opportunities to meet.

47

During the second year of high school, there had been some problems with the father of RUDI, who had gone in the Ivory Coast but still had difficulty or delays in returning to Italy, so that - in agreement with the social services - it was considered appropriate that the young she moved with an aunt in Lecco, the father returned, the situation had not been ironed out, saw that the boy was not happy with him, so much so that it was derived the intervention of the Juvenile Court and RUDI custody of a family of Perugia.

Continuing to attend, the boy confided to *former* teacher school difficulties or personal

problems he had, but at some point it was gone, disappearing without trace itself even GABRIELE (the son of the head) that he had repeatedly tried to phone. Phone reappeared in late 2006, had made it known that lie between Pavia and Milan, and have also found a job and a girl, and after that initial enthusiasm, however, as early as January of 2007, was made to feel that they no longer communicating the work, and that his girlfriend had difficulty host. The TIBERI, with his son, had convinced him of the opportunity to return to Perugia, where it would be easier to find him a job, so RUDI had settled among them, remaining there from 18 February until early July, and getting in Meanwhile a job thanks to the previous foster family. Aware of the aim of consolidating the job with a permanent contract, the young man had also sought a solution independent housing, finding the apartment in Via del Canerino for which the same TIBERI had helped in organizing the move, as well as in the first accommodation: after a couple of months of apparent normality, contacts with RUDI had thinned out again, until the lady's husband had found that the young man had lost his job, apparently because it does not send a medical certificate stating that a tonsillitis had prevented him from going to work. Tracked, and When asked why he had concealed the loss of a job, he had spoken broadly of new employment opportunities, ricapitando to lunch with them in mid-October, after which the witness claimed that he no longer had contact with him. Responding to specific questions, the TIBERI stated that the conduct of the GUEDE towards people he met was quite normal, and never had happened to attend (or to receive news about it) to violent acts of the boy, not even in terms of quarrels among children, indicating that indeed RUDI always showed a sudden polite and considerate. About the short stay of the accused in Germany, the witness stated that he had received a phone call from him on the night of 19 November, then later in the interview he had had with the BENEDETTI, and GUEDE had told her to be waiting for the train that would take him to Italy, after which the TIBERI had passed the phone to his son.

48

About the relationship with the girls, the witness could not remember episodes of difficulty in relationships RUDI, and excluded finally I ever saw him take drugs or have not been able to in any other way that he could Dispense according. The MANCINI, heard in particular on the facts of 2007 and also on the relationship of friendship with the GUEDE matured over the years, about which he had already made extensive deposition in the course of the preliminary investigation, confirmed the statement to investigators, excluding in its Once the prevented had anything to do with drugs and stating that he had recently RUDI job opportunities with various firms, even for occasional jobs. As for the loss of a gardener, the witness explained the failure to communicate the fact to her family as if you arrabbiassero RUDI could fear that, given the commitment to trovarglielo; confirmed finally received the phone call at home on the night of 19 November, stating that the GUEDE had only said to be have been so afraid to run away, so he had paused to describe to him the route he should have done on the train, as agreed with GIACOMO BENEDETTI. The witness then added that the question asked in RUDI years ago, about the possibility that the father might have abused him, was not depended on particular facts or findings, but the only evidence of the difficulty of the relationship between the parent and the child, for example, that he used to get angry a lot when the boy - as often happened - did not meet the times that were imposed: trend, however, that the defendant had maintained for years to come, and sometimes also revealed during the stay at the MANCINI family. Only with regard to non-compliance of these hours or promised,

among other things, the witness stated that he could see that the GUEDE not telling the truth: he said, he had never heard him lie in the strict sense, but only to say that would be home at a certain time and then do otherwise. On personal habits, stated that RUDI was certainly accustomed to flush the *toilet*, and it was also the shower every day; Finally, it argued that the defendant had never had a problem getting along with the girls, being contrary to the one that normally exercised a certain charm. He then gave the course of the enforcement Kokomani Hekuran, which was previously requested to clarify whether or not he had been invited to participate in television after his admission as a witness. On the merits of the facts, Albanian substantially confirmed the essential core of the statements already made to investigators, with some additional integrations or corrective: in practice, he reported that on the evening of a day that maybe it was October 31 or maybe the next day (in the first instance, appearing in Attorney January 19, 2008, had indicated the time in 18:30) had seen a kind of black bag in the middle of the street, right in Via della Pergola and a short distance from the house of the crime.

49

Going slowly to touch that bag with the nose of the "Golf" conducted by him (he braked, but was unable to stop the slippery asphalt, given the rain started), however, had realized that it was a boy and a girl crouched, who immediately stood up and had begun to speak to him in threatening terms, making him think that they wanted to pick on him because he went at them. While the Kokomani faced the two, giving a punch in the face to the guy who approached him on the driver's side of the "Golf", and pulling a handful of olives to the girl (diligent to challenge a knife holding it with both hands from the handle for the blade) through the window on the other side, had come out of a young black man, who was ahead on the same road, almost up to the house at n. 7 where they came from noises: while the heads saying to leave him alone, and to be Albanian (formerly the Kokomani had instead said she had been the girl to warn the newcomer that there was an Albanian), they had replied to be black, not Tunisian, so that he would not stand a chance, and the other had begun to recommend to the young blonde next to her (before he had said that the girl had turned to both cronies) not to show up in face, otherwise man would have been able to recognize. At one point started a conversation with the most cordial African boy (especially the Kokomani had mentioned only in the second deposition to the PM, where, however, reaffirmed the findings that had gone before him on purpose to touch the alleged black bag in the street to see what it was, not to finish us off on him for failing to curb), and they told him to recognize him because he had seen a couple of years ago on a farm where Albanian was a waiter, reminding him well of us exchanged a few words and represented to be called RUDI, as a cousin of Kokomani. It had come out of a huddle for noleggiargli the machine, that is to say the self-styled RUDI could help him the next day to load furniture. In the meantime, had stopped a car whose driver was looking for signs for Cesena, and did not understand exactly if it was a van, a car *breakdown* or directly to an emergency vehicle (the witness had always talked about, until that moment, a tow truck, speaking at the hearing instead of a van); finally seeing the white boy who was returning to him with a knife in his hand, in the rearview mirror, the Kokomani had finally moved away. The same evening, he began to comment with some compatriots in a bar that strange episode, but being urged to give up: some of the patrons, seeing a couple of pictures that the witness had taken with the phone, perhaps he recognized the people there portrayed (particularly, but this, not mentioned above), and had weighed in on the advice mind their own business, ribaditogli by another Albanian two nights later, when

he went to a party and was returned to the subject (party placed however, on November 2, so as to give the impression that the facts had been held on March 31).

50

After a while', however, was coming off the news of the murder, and Kokomani had recognized the three defendants (including GUEDE, which, however, had already recognized sharing with him the memory of the encounter farm) in the photographs that appeared in the newspapers had also been rumored that someone was trying to offer him money, but he had thought it might be a trap to eliminate it, so he took advantage of still having to return to Albania to calm the waters. Back in Italy, he was advised by his lawyer and decided to report the news to those who had been investigating; stated then, and even that was new, you've seen the American girl and her boyfriend Puglia (so he was shown) walk in front of a bar in the area Dell'Elce, early summer, while he was in the company of a mysterious uncle Italian American KNOX, sipping beer. Responding to specific questions, the Kokomani claimed to have been treated in a hospital, on one occasion, due to problems of ulcer, which would have been told to deal with a drug that he thought was aspirin; disputed that, but the particular resulted documented, that he had abused alcohol. With the dissolution of the reserve on the witnesses in rebuttal, he admitted two out of a total demand of six: the already mentioned Bonassi and BARROW, whose examination gave the course on September 27. Bonassi STEFANO had already been heard three times in the course of the preliminary investigation, between 2 and 4 November: only the third occasion, as already mentioned, had reported that his house (downstairs in Via della Pergola 7, which divided with the villagers SILENCE, MARZAN and LUCIANI) was frequented by a guy nicknamed the "baron" of South African origin, remembering that he was in the apartment with his friends one evening when the witness had returned. The Bonassi claimed to have noticed that the "Baron" was very drunk, so that before long he had slept on the *toilet*: he had added that the boy in question "had a physical attraction to Amanda." Spawning at the hearing, the witness recalled having already seen the GUEDE at the basketball court, before the episode narrated, then placed it in the house the night of the presence of RUDI about a week before the murder, stating that occasion - unless error - there were also AMANDA, MEREDITH, the MARZAN and the previously reported Cocciaretto (hereinafter the narrative, but also indicated the Bonassi GIACOMO SILENCE). However corrected the previous version at the point where he reported finding that group into the house: in fact, he was asleep, and was awakened by the noise of their return from the other, so it was overlooking the living room.

51

A specific questions, the witness claimed to not have noticed if the GUEDE entertain you talk to someone in particular, remembering instead of seeing him staggering and sleepy, while he was leaning against a column: I had deduced that he had abused alcohol, while not being able to say with certainty whether he was drunk because he did not know what and how much he had drunk, or having perceived the breath. The others present, however, had given him the impression that he had a little 'elbow, while the witness could not remember if it was filmed a few "cane", as is sometimes admitted that happen. After a while, RUDI had gone to the bathroom, but within a few minutes the MARZAN, probably realizing that the accused had retired there without asking anything to anyone, and therefore wanting to give an account of where he was, he had called the Bonassi, to let him see that the defendant had fallen asleep on the *toilet*: the witness remembered that

the black guy was aroused at that point alone, without the other had awakened or called up, and was back among them, putting to sleep. Always a direct question about whether RUDI had pulled the toilet, the Bonassi said no, having personally seen: however, stated to have reached the conclusion that the GUEDE was drunk also because of the strange behavior of not flush the *toilet*. Later, Marco and Giacomo had told him that the defendant was attracted by AMANDA, using the dialect expression "the taste", probably *pour parler* without even having the MARZAN or SILENCE knew about the engagement of KNOX with PROMPT. After a short time since that night, maybe the next day or later, the Bonassi had reviewed RUDI at home, at the last Grand Prix Formula One, for all he knew, the young man had passed through a greeting, without an invitation, then stopped to watch the race on TV. Describing the behavior and character of MEREDITH, the witness remembered her as a girl very private, self-confident enough but a bit 'closed: believed, as he had known for, which is unlikely she would open the door to let a stranger in the house. The SILENCE, according to the Bonassi, it was well with her, but he did not think that could be a lasting relationship, and nothing he could say instead on the expectations of the girl and the way we understand that relationship, nor on whether the KERCHER could have revised after RUDI the meeting at his home. For all he knew, however, MEREDITH used to go out only with his countrymen. The BARROW, already seek payment from PG on 11 December 2007, ie a few days after the return of the GUEDE from Germany, claimed to know the GUEDE few years, avendoci often played basketball. On that occasion, however, stated he had never shared the way we do, to be RUDI a habitual liar, who prefers to consume alcohol and drugs, as well as to annoy the girls molestandole in public and trying to kiss them.

52

As for the KERCHER, which defined shy and reserved, the BARROW had said to meet the common frequenting nightclubs of downtown, and in fact had noticed the night of *Halloween* at "Domus", where - according to him - certainly not RUDI There was, not even appeared that the accused knew MEREDITH, and according to him it was not true that there had spoken or had met her. At the hearing, the BARROW scaled down their assumptions, saying for example that the GUEDE drank but a bit 'as they used to do all the guys, even though he had often seen drunk, instead of being denied the use of certain drugs by of RUDI, whose word had only heard about. Also in order to harassment, and corrected the shot remembering only once prevented had struck up a conversation with a girl, not knowing that it was indeed the girl BARROW, and had come of a pun: on other occasions, he had seen pull towards some girl while she spoke, however, describing it as a gesture common to many other peers. On the lies of RUDI, the witness merely to say that once the GUEDE had been accused of stealing something from her purse in the disco of a girl, the accused had been denied, but then it was coming off the talk that he certainly was, on the supposed certainty that the GUEDE it was at the "Domus" in the evening of 31 October, he said finally (and in fact could not say anything else, *ab initio*) who had not seen it, without being able to rule out that there really was. The witness, who almost did not bring anything significant to acquire, was then interrupted by the emergence profiles of the offense BARROW, concerning economic negotiations with a journalistic television, against whom he had filed a complaint for trespassing (when had actually received those reporters asking for money for an interview), and then appeared to have put forward new demands of money to replace things. On October 4, finally, we proceeded to a long and detailed examination of Dr. STEFANONI, but it related to most

of the evidence collected against the KNOX and PROMPT. The heads, after a precise indication of the meaning of some technical concepts set out in processed already deposited, stated that there is no immediate correlation between the height of the peak measured in electropherogram and expressed in RFU (relative fluorescence units) and reliability the survey result organic: in line approximation, represented that statistically the given dell'RFU is in most cases directly proportional to the possibility of a certain interpretation of the result of the analysis, however, many existing cases of "high peak" but difficult to read (because of "background noise" of the apparatus, or whatever) and examples of "low peak" objectively irrefutable, with the consequent need to proceed to also examine the data apparently meager and not consider them unreliable *a priori* .

53

The doctor further noted that, by agreement, could be defined as low a value of less than 50 RFU, for example, gave note that the *locus* due to KERCHER and identified in a biological traces on the blade of the knife seized at REMINDER had genetic characteristics with values RFU equal to 41 and 28 (also stating that it has undertaken in that exam to get noticed *visually* a streak on the surface of the blade, and that the *test* on the nature of that track, to ascertain if it was blood, gave negative results: outcome, however, is not definitive, although it has been a particularly sensitive *test*, opening the possibility that the biological material reported was too little in order to make certain the result). After a long examination of the mode of evidence collection and analysis, with the purpose to refute the hypothesis of contamination in advanced developed their consultants, the witness caught hold upon other findings, including the plush blue on the left cuff which had been found DNA of 'defendant: in this regard, Dr. STEFANONI argued that the epithelial cells of disintegration, in principle, can not easily be left on a surface touched, except through a narrow or a pressure rather forceful: touch something would make it impossible, according to the thinking exhibited by the biologist, leave cells of any kind. Prompted later by defense counsel, the specialist agreed that the amount of biological material could be considered attributable to the defendant actually minimal: this is because the DNA found therein as nuclear genetic profile was that of the victim, not a mixture, in the face instead of the simultaneous presence of Y haplotype, featuring the male chromosome. In such situations, where there is a clear disproportion between the quantitative data of two DNA that must be considered, however, coexisting in a track, it is reasonable to infer that the reproduction procedure chemistry (PCR) to amplify the DNA alone is more abundant (assumption shared by Dr. . ssa STEFANONI and ctp, Dr. BARBARIAN) with the conclusion that biological material of KERCHER there was quite a lot, and very little - in proportion - the GUEDE. The heads also stated, however, that the search dell'aplotipo Y is accomplished by analyzing peaks and RFU, which had been given in the present case results fairly high (in a *locus*, 164, 838 in another, in the one with the lowest peak 132). On the bag was found instead is a mixture genetic accused and the victim, with peak overall very high (even more than 1,000, and still higher values at 300), is the same haplotype Y: the biological material had been taken more or less the center of the zipper, on one of the two sides. As to the bra, the track due to GUEDE was present on one side of the garment, to the rear: also in this case, there was the connotation of the male chromosome, with RFU values from 113 to 687. After a schedule of hearings held for discussion is, in their respective conclusions and replicas, this retired Judge in chambers, for the decision.

54

As previously warned, a starting point for evaluating the results of the investigation referred to above may be made of what has been shown in the order of *libertate* issued by this Office together with the completion of the preliminary hearing in the ordinary forms celebrata by the other two defendants, having their defense made requests pursuant to art. 299 cpp. Apart of course from the part of the order devoted to the illustration of the dangers that are believed still discernible, the text of the decision is reproduced below, to be an integral part of this judgment and in order to give cognizance of the arguments followed by the judging of those aspects - partitamente underlined - that are specifically relevant also to the GUEDE, for example with reference to the problem of status, or lack, in its factual context, the conduct of sexual violence. Only when necessary, as a necessary preliminary warning, the development of this judgment will go beyond the ideas that were the subject of discussion at that meeting, being understood otherwise already addressed - and solved the terms already known to the parties - the topics for discussion. Supervision order, the writer observed:

"The first element to be highlighted, and that - in the comparison between the different arguments put forward by counsel for the defendants in these proceedings - *ictu oculi* appears thicker towards Knox and Sollecito to the position of GUEDE, covers the objective of emergency homicidal action carried out by more people, rather than by a single author. On this point, in order of relevance to the data forensic, you must record the initial position of dr. LALLI, CT of the Public Ministry, according to which there would be no findings in support of either hypothesis. The report of the Scientific Police (UACV) filed at the preliminary hearing focuses instead on the presence of typical defensive wounds on the palmar region and on the thumb of the right hand of the victim, apparently came into contact with the blade of the knife, that fact, to reconnect to bruise from withholding, does suggest "an action of violent coercion by multiple attackers, also in order to prevent the woman to oppose the blade of the weapon."

55

The technical note submitted by the Consultants defense KNOX argues instead that the condition of the premises and of the corpse revealed lesions "fully compatible with the action perpetrated by one person": the ones on the face and neck can be produced by an agent that grab the victim "with his bare hands to strangle, suffocate it or acknowledge it, and then (or simultaneously) with the knife wounds it"; those elsewhere (right elbow and forearm on both sides of the pelvis, left thigh and right leg) "are just as peacefully to report a violent contact (face to hold, immobilize) between the victim and aggressor", assuming that the latter had stood higher while supine on the ground, and so could cause her bruising even with body parts (knees, legs) different from his hands. In the opinion of those consultants, "are missing altogether, however, traces of grasping or constriction of the wrists or ankles, potentially indicative of action and immobilizing containment operated by more than one subject." Especially in the face of injury so variously placed, finance at both sides, and with one hand and likely to be to wield the knife, still appears rather bold claim that the attacker is in essence "rose above" the girl, and traces of grasping a pulse, albeit on the basis of data derived from a *different aspect*, it seems that they exist. As is known, on the left cuff of the sweatshirt found in the room of KERCHER, was found a track which showed the DNA of GUEDE: for reasons that will be explained in the judgment concerning him (and also on the basis of arguments which we will return in below), this court does not believe the thesis of contamination of the find, nor do they seem completely unconvinced by the arguments that should be considered that the sweatshirt was not just worn at the time of the assault. It 'been said that if the sweater had been worn, the blood stains on his left hand - when sweatshirt was then the parade, as it was found on the left side of the body - would have to be characterized by striping and signs of slipping, instead are clear: the measurement is not conclusive, since the photographs taken in the immediacy of the hand of the discovery indicate some sharp spots and other more "streaks", but (according to the subsequent report of dr. LALLI which shows only a small wound on the one hand, the ulnar side of the first phalanx of the second finger) certainly do not derive from lesions situated therein, and are therefore attributable to the touch with the blood that was on the left side of the body, for direct casting. *Ergo*, the bloodstains, as objectively showy, it is not produced at the same time aggressive action if not in small part, resulting from subsequent contact of the hand with blood pouring profusely from another source: the problem, then, is to understand when the sweatshirt was the parade, and if this happened immediately after the death blow of blood on the hand still there was, as well as - if it happened later, which is likely - the blood could be dried on a par with what the Police Scientific highlights for the spots on the bust of KERCHER, not riprodottesi lying on the duvet over her.

56

Should also be noted, to the extent that interest for the purpose of this provision, that if the left hand has indeed a possible injury defense (the one cited above), they constitute a wound alone, while on the right hand there are : one in the palm of cm. 0.6, bruise just below, another wound smaller nearby, one on the tip of the first finger, and two bruising at the elbow and forearm, always right. Hence, in all likelihood, that the left upper limb was placed in conditions not

move freely, unlike the other (while logic suggests that a person, sensing physically violent acts taken with the use of a weapon by point-and-cut, door instinctively in his defense both hands and arms, if able). We also note that - will have to decide the issue on which the trial judge - the footprints orthotics would not be worth anything, because the most of the PM advisers speak of probable identity between two of those with the feet of PROMPT and other two with the feet of KNOX , but after very different circumstances on the usefulness of the footprints for positive comparisons: the observation has its own weight, but if - and I will check the Assize Court can be said to be certain, however-whether it's footprints, different from each other enough to bring to relate them to different persons (whether or not the accused), it is interesting to note that more people turned to those rooms barefoot after the crime. Finally, we must not forget the contribution testimony offered by Ms. bolsters (witness, incidentally, that the writer has not considered essential by way of rebuttal as part of the summary judgment requested by GUEDE, but that it would be in a hypothetical special ritual proposed by the other two). The witness, whose statements also offer insights with regard time of the murder, where weighted at those ANT ALESSANDRA that confirm one aspect of the dynamics related to her, she heard a horrifying scream of a woman: after a period of time described by some approximation ("two seconds and a minute"), but the evidence volendone understand the brevity, he perceived the sound of footsteps, certainly more people, on the gravel and leaves the square in front of the house on Via della Pergola. According to the bolsters, someone took the direction of Via del Bulagaio and Piazza Grimana, and someone came up the stairs towards Via del Melo and Via Pinturicchio: not important here to dwell on how many were actually those subjects (she said that he felt one on iron staircases and "someone else", that always only one could be on the other side), but rather to emphasize that we are referring to a person particularly reliable, because - as the Court pointed out in its time for the Review - is a woman who lives there for a long time, and knows how to distinguish the typical sounds that can be produced nearby, and identifying the different paths traveled by stairs or fugitives. And I heard, it is confirmed, at least two.

57

It has been argued by the defense, that the lady of the house is about 70 meters from where the crime was consummated, that it was a cold evening and the bolsters had double glazing, but the perception of the scream - Also in the story that the woman makes the PM concerning the state of turmoil that led to, and you do not see just why should invent some particular - was clear and sharp, it is also said that the reference to more people follows a technique rather insistent of those who questioned her, almost as if wanting to suggest the answers, but it was the lady to say immediately that someone was here and someone there (if anything, the investigators would have liked the clarification on the total number); least, not has no merit the consideration that the poor victim was in a position, with the airway open with a knife and peppered with blood, to anything but cry. A person cries in despair when he sees that someone is about to hit, or even merely to threaten her, maybe punzecchiandola before the definitive lesion, with a large knife: it is indeed extremely reasonable to assume that the blow was struck in deadly precisely because of the scream and cry for help immanent that the cry entailed. The existence of converged data about the plurality of managers is also apparent, *in contrast*, offer dall'inconsistenza of the thesis about the entrance of an alleged thief from the bedroom window in occasional use Filomena Romanelli. According to the defense of PROMPT, the glass of the window was broken by a stone thrown from the embankment in front, located about 3 meters away, inside the window had the blackout, but it was not attached to the leaf, and therefore was chipped due to the blow received by the stone (in fact there are also fragments outside on the window sill, which confirm the rebound floating sull'oscurante).As regards the choice of that input a little 'uncomfortable, but still easier for an athletic person, the thief did not think to come from behind because he did not like the fact the building on the other side, or at least felt that that possibility already did to his case; demonstrating illegal entry, also, are found two fragments of glass inside the house that would never be repertati, one of which would also have a shape similar to a hallmark of many of the footprints left by shoes "Nike" referable to GUEDE. He also makes sense, in this way, the conduct of the latter to not flush the toilet: he would enter from the window, he began to rummage around and then go to the bathroom, so it would be returned the girl and the man in the bathroom would not have downloaded the *water* to not reveal its presence.In truth, this court believes that to get out of that window there really wanted *Spiderman*, as claimed by the Review Tribunal for wanting to dismiss the hypothesis: we wanted a man physically agile, as it certainly was and how the GUEDE certainly are thieves who visit the apartments of people at night.

58

Neither one had to climb with the stone in his hand, being able to actually launch from that sort of parapet (and not from below, as he wanted to argue the PM, with the risk to fall under the head of the pitcher). However, the choice of the window in a certain word revealed itself gambling, where a thief hardly occurs: according to the statements of ROMANELLI, she had left taxes virtually narrowed, even a bound to the sill due to the expansion of the wood over time, and nothing, since there is certainly light inside, might reveal the man with the dark stone in his hand that was not hooked behind the leaf, with the risk of throwing the stone and having it bounce back downstairs. Not to mention that the biggest gamble was to have chosen the window facing towards the street and towards the headlights of passing cars. Assuming then that this unknown thief had to give yourself the name of RUDI GUEDE, he appeared - with the dynamic - a thief even less likely, from his point of view, why not groped to steal first downstairs, where it was likely not to find anyone who knew because he had more confidence with those guys and was aware of them come from other region, so perhaps he had been told (or should have known, however, from them, asking them on purpose) that the parties would return home ? How did you take it for granted that the upstairs would not find anyone or no one would come back during his action, as an American and an Englishman lived in that apartment, certainly not Go home for the

weekend? Going to see in the first instance below, he might even find the easiest access upstairs, admitted that if he had not already realized during at least two occasions (the evening of appreciation of AMANDA and sleep in the bathroom, then the day of the last Grand Prix) it was in the past to find the boys Marche. Totally inconsistent is also the argument that the GUEDE (but the major concern any more or less cunning thief) would have to stay on hold for over half an hour, making portray in bits and pieces from the cameras in the parking lot with the risk - increasing with the passage of time - that Taluno fell, and again, if RUDI came through the window, wanting only to steal, so much so that he began to rummage through the bags ROMANELLI, then go into the bathroom and realize the return of MEREDITH (clearly, not pulling the toilet to not reveal its presence), because it ran away rather than deciding to go and to attack the other side of the house?

The observation, which also has to deal with the blatant contradiction of the assumption defensive (on the one hand it is assumed the thief who enters illegally, on the other hand is

59

denies that there is evidence of sexual violence) means that the GUEDE - except that he met the victim and assaulted in another environment, because there are no signs of a struggle - it would have been taken by some kind of a *rampage* to admit right away the eventuality of killing a girl who had feared until a moment before stealing some money in a drawer, for he was not a stranger to MEREDITH, yet he decided to cross the whole apartment just to touch her (with the idea of a sexual act allowed that, at that point, would go to hell in a hand gallop). And if it is unacceptable - and the case knows several episodes - the idea of a thief who takes the opportunity to rape the lady of the house, what happens when the criminal knows he can not be shown to the police dall'aggregata. The GUEDE, it is confirmed from the bathroom where she was in was very close to the front door and in the same room he had entered, and could not leave without being noticed: even if he tried to walk out the door but found it locked from the inside (because you always had to discharge, according to state witnesses) that problem would have been an athlete like him to escape from the same window he had entered? Considering his stature, leaving dangling from the ledge it would have been easy to jump a couple of feet on the grass. As for the glass fragments, that the subsequent clarification of the PM (but already the time of the shooting stopped in the video frame, ie 1:03:12 on November 3) put in the kitchen and not in the room of KERCHER, they appear much less significant traces enhanced with *luminol* in Romanelli's room, from which it is derived DNA of the victim. A thief or any person who wanted to sneak into the house, once able to do it from there - with some difficulty - or not he would try to steal something and then he would go into the other rooms, he would have committed the murder, but then it would go away from the door, coincidentally found open in the morning from KNOX (to want to give credence to the version of the defendant), who made him do it thrown back in the window, or to brush up that room leaving all valuables? Ergo, the DNA - with all the necessary checks on the precise nature and future of the track, given the multiplicity of substances called *luminol-positive*, in addition to the blood - is now likely to certify with reasonable that those who went into that room when he did MEREDITH was already been affected, and therefore (from within, not from outside) broke the glass. The issue just discussed is related, at this point, that of the alteration of the crime scene, and especially to that - allowed any alteration - of the people who could have an interest in performing this procedure.

The first element of mystification was just mentioned: the glass was broken from the inside, and made him in that room who took the DNA of the girl already killed. The ROMANELLI, in one of its reports containing statements, remember that the windows of

60

window over the clothes were thrown on the ground, which would confirm that the breaking of the glass was next to the activity of those who rummaged in the room, even if the inspection report and photographs from this particular does not seem to be, it is significant to record what was once the perception of the girl. There is no doubt also that objects of a certain value remained quietly in plain sight and in the other rooms (but this figure can be misleading, since a thief planned, became a murderer, well understands that it is not worth take away items through the ownership of which could be traced as responsible for a most serious offense). Then there are logical arguments in support of the cleanup task, given the lack of any fingerprint KNOX throughout the house, as if he lived there (except for one, in a glass found in the kitchen) and despite the defendant has represented that she went around the room that morning, making the shower in spite of the signs of violent conduct that should have noticed. Neither the relief is offset by the fact that 14 fingerprints were found related to non-identified, as if in other words the hypothetical cleaner would clean very little, in particular since the fingerprints in question, concerning the room KERCHER, are reduced to the one on the pillow (later attributed to GUEDE), two out of a plastic bag placed to cover a Chinese calendar (completely irrelevant and certainly out of the ordinary perceptions of a party to remove the marks of his presence by an environment) and a in the vicinity of the door jamb (probably left there by some of those who tried to knock it down, the next morning murder). The same state of the corpse reveals signs of changing the initial. Taking the relationship of Advisors medico-legal defense KNOX, it reads that are found on the body of MEREDITH "point-minute specks to the front face of the chest, undoubtedly originated directly from the source of bleeding in the neck (their sizes suggest that they have been projected for respiratory activity in airway littered with blood). Their appearance (small and round) tells us that they were projected upward to substantially victim supine (face up) to fall back, therefore, on his chest "; similar spots there are in the upper part of the chest, covered evidently T-shirt rolled up, so that "when those spots were produced her bra was no longer worn: there is no shielding wrought by this garment, and droplets smeared the skin areas that originally they were covered." We can not agree with the assumption just mentioned.

The bra, and the finding is objective, rivenuto was a few inches away from the right foot of the girl, in an area for anything drawn from blood, yet the right shoulder resulting thoroughly soaked; also, coincidentally, the cups are seen with clear evidence the same kind of point-like spots found on the bust. This means that the victim had indeed his shirt rolled up towards the neck when it was hit (as

61

see, this is an empirical observation of fundamental importance to give a sexual connotation aggression), otherwise you would not see the spots on either the skin or on the bra, but the latter had regularly wear. The pictures nn. 268 and 770, amply illustrated by the defense of GUEDE, then clearly reveal the signs of that piece of linen (a vertical strip, rather sharp) on both the body of the young man on the floor below: a further demonstration that the bra was removed after the blood had the opportunity to be of interest for an appreciable time the shoulder, turned out to be precisely that soaked the time of discovery. Regardless of the purpose of intimate maneuvers of this kind, it is not fail to see how they were intended, however, and inevitably, to accredit the idea that a stranger had entered, and alteration of the locations useful to make people believe a thief, or however, to admit an unauthorized entry could have an interest in it only those who lived in that house. Excluding the victim, as well as MEZZETTI who was Montefiascone and ROMANELLI who spent the night quietly with her boyfriend, the only party interested in that skit is the KNOX. KNOX shows that even if the meaning of any particular point deduction in the preparation of the crime or even hypothetical aggravating (a little 'lighted up by the PM, while not asserting, in the descriptive to say the least imaginative reconstruction of rituals, feasts of *Halloween*, publications and *manga* opportunities not to be missed, perhaps after a pantomime rehearsal in front of the victim Kokomani), it was still the only person able to know that tonight MEREDITH was alone in the house. The hypothesis you want to organize the best they could and at the last moment a visit to KERCHER to fathom, even if it means resorting to violence, the willingness to sexual practices group is therefore not a mere inference of the Public Prosecutor (if, it is stressed, deprived of the implications comic and decidedly out of place dotted over the indictment and appropriately abandoned in the reply). It seems, in fact, having to encounter in this case serious circumstantial evidence of guilt about the contested sexual abuse, to be considered as absorbed nell'addebito of murder as special aggravating. On the issue of sexual violence, in this process everything has been said and the exact opposite, we have seen the PM Consultants say that perhaps was not there, replaced by others the opposite opinion, until having to detect the strangeness of subjects would be interested to support the configurability (except chiamarne outside their patients) and instead contest the charge as material fact. Never as in this case, perhaps, it is necessary to resort to logic and empirical data, rather than the findings of medical science (that everyone, in the opposite reconstruction, goes round and round in their own use, in spite of a law mistreated for cliché, but probably also more reliable because it is based on common sense.) Particular fury, and in the final discussions already in the recording evidence, it was found on

62

matter concerning an alleged bruising in the genital area and perianal Taluno to become a hypostasis, for tal'altro something different again. Dr. LALLI - also on the basis of indications provided by the gynecologist dr. EPICOCO - refers in his essay that "the kind of purplish spots ecchimotico present on the inner surface of the labia minora have characteristics and location as to suggest a sexual relationship, or attempted, before the female subject had taken the time to properly lubricate the vaginal canal (...) does not have emerged in the course of investigations on the corpse, 'external signs' of traumatic nature which give a sense of rape itself (...) is also no doubt that it is not possible to indicate the' occurrence of a possible psychological coercion (eg., threat) that could have led to the young 'suffer' a relationship not wanted, in this case not opposing a valid physical resistance. " I Experts GIP conclude its report claiming that there is evidence of prior sexual activity and recent than murder, without being able to say that they were not permitted activities, stating, however, that "the objectivity of the victims of genital and anal sexual abuse is frequently devoid of significant findings even when the medical examination is carried out by an expert and in age range than the episode of violence, "as well as" consensual sex can also give rise to cause injury traumatic ano-genital ". In the discussion of expertise in recording evidence, prof. HUMAN Ronchi assumed survey data, however indirect, with respect to Action detrimental with the cutting edge, deeming it "began with a very minor injury that is to be borne by the left cheek, then, this could be a reconstruction, the first lesion almost that you want to threaten someone (...), it would almost seem that there has been an *escalation* in the action of this .. This violent action that may have been preceded by a series of threats. "In this regard, a subsequent intervention of Dr. Liviero (responding to demand defender of civil correlatability on the *escalation* of threats related to sexual activity) clarifies that: "if she asks a question in terms of compatibility, the answer is certainly yes (...), but if your question says, given the technical and biological allows you to tighten this connection, it is certainly not. "That prof. HUMAN Ronchi is obviously a hypothesis, which, however, is supported by logic, what meaning can never have a tagliettino struck on the cheek to a victim already affected in depth and with such violence as to achieve a true bleeding with? If this is true, just as already noted is a measure of the absolute evanescence of the problem, if approached exclusively in terms of medico-legal: it is clear that the typical signs of violence in the genital region there will be when a person has been induced to undergo sexual practices behind the threat of a knife. But, and even before, it is important to solve the problem of whether or not there was a bruising level

63

of the labia minora, maybe not confirmed at a later date by a histological examination carried out in a wrong because it no longer characterized by discoloration (hardly conceivable eventuality, as logically objected at the time of recording evidence by prof. FORTUNI): this is because the lack of bruising is not proof of sexual activity permitted, as well as the presence of bruising is not evidence of violent sexual activity. Professor April, evidentiary hearing of the incident,

had this to confirm that, in the series, in many situations of sexual violence is ascertained they come only to be indicative of general violence (for containment, compression, grasping), then - a question Judge - pointed out that "the data collected indicate that there has been activity in recent sexual content than death. The fact that this activity has been conducted in order to thwart the will of MEREDITH it can be seen, but by all the data available to the general story, and not a specification technical competence organic "signs referring to actions containment of the arts "are indicative of grasping maneuvers, and are frequently found in the dynamics of sexual homicide or sexual offenses." And that was that. It will be in an appropriate forum - namely, in the judgment on the summary procedure defined in relation to the GUEDE - the lack of credibility of the accused in presenting his own sexual encounter with KERCHER allowed, but, in general, is the objective context of the crime scene that gives evidence of violent sexual activity. It 's true that, in principle, three people armed with knife (including two guys in full force) engaged to be right for a girl who declares his willingness to have sex with them, they could easily overcome his resistance, and conjunctions consume the flesh of all sorts, but in the present case, the undeniable sexual connotation of aggression can not be overturned by an abrupt cessation of respect, in all likelihood resulting from the strong cry of despair and MEREDITH, the attackers found it necessary to stop carrying out the murder. Finally, and most importantly, do not forget that girl t shirt was certainly pulled up, until you discover the entire torso and bra (otherwise there would be blood stains punctate) and where you have never seen a thief or a robber who, just to take a look at those who want to steal linen, he begins to undress her victim, if it is still animated by the desire to take advantage of sexually? This is enough to document sexual violence *by documentary*, made by the then GUEDE with the principle of penetration that did remain traces of his DNA in the vaginal swab of KERCHER, so far as relevant for the purposes hereof, it is not fail to see how a girl (standing or kneeling,

64

little changes) and immediately put her back here overwhelmed is in a position that, whether or not wearing pants, but with his shirt pulled up and the belly discovered, makes it easy to take advantage of those who want to disengage *jeans* or simply slip them a hand below to have it both ways. The writer, but the theme will be developed in the judgment on the summary judgment, does not really believe the position kneeling married by the prosecutor to describe a scene suggestive of orgiastic contexts: it was a sexual assault rough and coarse, and the penetration was probably not made with the penis of GUEDE (perpetrator, according to the same section). But it is always of sexual violence. In the development of argumentative face looking serious indications of guilt borne by the biased, one must now deal - having just called the alleged perpetrator of violence - the theme of the competition of KNOX and PROMPT with GUEDE. Clearing the field of misunderstandings, but having already exposed their thoughts nell'ometterne the call between the sources of evidence relevant to the indictment, it must be said that the deposition of Kokomani Hekuran does not contribute either to demonstrate the competition in word, nor to others. In an attempt some 'patched up in a *corner* to save the poor outcome of his testimony, the prosecutor wished to emphasize that the Kokomani do not know how to express in Italian, but for carelessness think you may be able to do it: in any case that says that he would want to resize in order to be deducted as "Supertest", since he was referring to events of October 31 and November 1 (but it was also suggested that the placement of the 31 black bag that comes to life or launch Olive could still prove that the night before the murder the three defendants were doing a kind of inspection). The prosecutors also said that the Kokomani is Albanian, has nothing to do with the story and its characters, would have an interest to remain a little 'shadow also because it has some small previous: he then related a story that is so unique and seemingly incredible that it can not be accused of falsehood, and it is only when he thinks he has become important because they are the newspapers and the TV to emphasize the extent that it becomes investigation leading man, even rejecting the ' using the interpreter and then entering likely confusion between similar concepts type van or tow truck.

Things are not so, because the contradictions in the story of the witness, from the same time slot of the episode, but regardless of the day, go back to the first deposition, in addition, a person who is familiar with cars so as to admit of making trade can - all want to grant - on the wrong notion of the tow truck, but not when he says that we are inside a woman and a child. Finally, aside from the strangeness of a scene in which the protagonist is

65

threatened with a knife and asks for a moment's pause to give directions to Cesena to a passer-by, or in which the olives are used as a weapon, or perhaps a mobile phone with which you take a photo or perhaps a video, or maybe the girl to the boy The Kokomani is also the one who remembers seeing the KNOX and PROMPT in the summer, while he was drinking beer with a mysterious uncle American ready to submit to the boy as the "Apulian boyfriend" of his niece (a few months before the two knew each other) . From the ramblings of Kokomani not extract therefore unremarkable, and you must record that he in fact was the only person to have claimed to have seen along the three defendants: at this point, in a case file that does not show telephone contact arose between the monads KNOX-PROMPT GUEDE on one side and on the other, and showing that the latter had seen the KNOX sometimes (but never her new boyfriend), you should derive the conclusion that the conditions of the Agreement criminal remain fatally devoid of probative examination. Even more so in a context in which - except, however, that the night before there was the "dress rehearsal" - the alleged feast or at least a willingness to go to tease MEREDITH for purposes of lust would be organized to force all ' last moment, given that up at 20:18 (now sms DIYA LUMUMBA) the KNOX knew he had to go to work and up at 20:40 (when Popovic warns him of the change of plans) on REMINDER knew he had to accompany friend Station. However, the problem must be overturned. Starting from the certain fact established by direct evidence against the accused (which will be discussed shortly), and especially of their presence and GUEDE the

crime scene (deduced from the same elements as direct evidence, and by logical data exposed in the introduction about the number and identity of the attackers KERCHER the interest of the persons entitled to alter the scene), the unknown facts which constitute the necessary condition does not necessarily have to be tested with the rigor of an additional direct evidence, but it is enough to logic and common sense. In other words, if you have to give to the KNOX and also stated that the PROMPT were in the house on Via della Pergola (and has been), it is essential to find the call of the appointment agreed with the GUEDE (phone here that could not be there anyway, since RUDI the phone just did not have it), nor the witness who has remembered or photographed the meeting.

Just as highlighted a bit 'late (in reply), but most appropriately by the PM, to acknowledge that these were young people who lived within walking distance of each other, that the GUEDE a few days before had been involved in an evening Via della Pergola crossing the street and the boys KNOX the Marches, which is normal among twentysomethings in a university meeting in the usual places without having to do an act before the notary.

66

It should also be pointed out, logically, that emergencies borne by the GUEDE lay for a denial of the evidence of his behavior, suggestive of the relevant details will be silent. In fact, he is refuted by his friends and PHILIP ALEX about the movements of November 1, before and after the time of the crime: the first just says not to have seen more than a few days, but the second - in the face of 'assumption RUDI, which argues in great detail to seeing him after 19:45 ALEX had just taken a shower, going later in the evening with him in a couple of places for people to talk with the *staff*, as well as the evening of the next day - confirmed they saw him on the evening of 2, not the afternoon or the evening of the day before. It could be argued that those guys wanted to distance itself suspected of aiding and abetting against one they knew to be escaped and involved in matters of blood, but then RAW ALEX could not deny even having seen the 2, but later than that date, as well as could admit to having met him in the afternoon 1 when still nothing had happened, if that was the truth. Although the question has to be rescheduled before the Court of Assizes, you have to wonder why the GUEDE mint also on his travels before 20:30 / 21:00 on November 1. Logic suggests that the reason lies in the fact that he can not afford to tell the truth, and the only truth that can not admit (since it could also simply be said to be left at home without seeing anyone) is precisely that he had met with the other two just in case anything but reasonable and bizarre, as set out above. Reversing the problem, then, starting from the elements and direct against the accused, the most important result - as is now very well known - from the results of scientific studies. On this point, it is necessary to address the issue of the possible unreliability of the results of these analyzes, as well as of the alleged contamination of the exhibits. On the first point, as emerged during the examination of Dr. STEFANONI, must assert that the hypothesis of contamination but not for bogus result for unreliability of the discoveries are very imaginative, if benchmarked to the peculiarities of the case. And 'no doubt that, if the quantity of DNA obtained from a biological trace is insufficient and at risk (perhaps because it is a *Low Copy Number*) it may be that the processes of "photocopying" or other scans to yield results of the survey to be taken with the springs, and come out a DNA actually wrong.

But, in general, the result should be compared with the autonomous and further results of the investigation: if the DNA resulting in a murder case in Rome is a criminal Milan, it may be that we should trust to a certain point that result, unless you have other evidence to suggest the presence in England of that person on a given day. In the present case, the possibility that the DNA came out

67

REMINDER on the hook (coincidentally, the boyfriend of a roommate of the owner of the bra) or that of Meredith Kercher on the knife (coincidentally, the roommate's girlfriend of the owner) was statistically identical to that which proves the DNA of the Judge or the President of the Republic, and then the objection - founded in a scientific conference - loses much of its weight in a criminal trial. Coming to the contamination, is significantly discussing finds absolutely unrelated to each other: the knife n. 36 was at the home of PROMPT (where MEREDITH had never entered, as reminiscent of the MEZZETTI) and the bra clasp n. 165 was in the room of the victim (in which the PROMPT had nothing to do, so much so that its DNA, in the rest of the house, it recovers only in a cigarette butt found in the kitchen). So, there is certainly the risk of decay of a relic (here amplified by the gross neglect of the flap of cloth with the hook, while the outcome of a survey and analytical activities *repertazione*), but affects in the first instance on the progressive difficulty to derive useful traces from a finding that otherwise would have given, certainly not on the prospect of getting a DNA for another. If we assume instead contamination, you should imagine that the DNA of the PROMPT (from another source and does not suspect) have "touched" the hook in the chamber MEREDITH, and that the latter has contaminated the knife home of the accused; but, as mentioned, neither the one nor the other had to do with each other's environments. It makes no sense to imagine that there was contamination during *repertazione*, or even while waiting (which, unfortunately, should not be there as the hook) between the inspection of November 2 and that of 18 December: even if you can think of that in lean times disposable gloves are reused, gloves or boots were definitely changed, moving from one house to another, and in any case the inspections were treated at different times and by different subjects. Analysing the documents, it is clear that the searches carried out by different personnel from the Scientific Police in succession (in truth, at the same time) between Via della Pergola 7 and Corso Garibaldi 110 there were only two, November 6, 2007: at 09:40 that the murder house, and tax inspectors are Profazio, NAPOLEONI, Bigini, Gubbins, and Barbadori Zugarini, at 10:00 at the home of PROMPT, edited by CHATS, FINZI, SPARROWS, Ranauro, CAMARDA, RED and Sisani. Where is the source, or even the suspicion of contamination?

It should be remarked that surely forget the flap of cloth for 46 days, however, before a scene with evidence of sexual assault and after reported bra, constituted a serious light, but with just as much significance should be remembered that the risk could be resulting in the loss of traces found there, not the discovery of traces arisen somehow.

68

It is not correct to say that there are things that flow out of the room of the victim, they returned after being placed elsewhere, perhaps in places where they could be (somehow, then) biological traces of REMINDER: It is true that the black lamp (belonging at KNOX, and see if this chamber MEREDITH) on November 2, was close to the bed, with cable and plug the door, like with the plug turned inward, you want the outside he found himself on December 18 the desk, and the wire was going to end just below the mat, next to the famous strip of cloth with the hook, but - seeing the same images - it is understood that the cable was a few inches from the hook, not above or in contact. How could that happen that cable supported for physical reasons only on some parts of the floor, not being morphologically uniform, was able to make from paper towels DNA of the accused? It 'true that the two cabinet doors were moved, supported and given out inside the room, but - and, once again, just look at the pictures-this happens entirely in the second survey of 18 December, as attested times at the bottom of the video. Watch case, also, the time in which the doors are shown inside - constituting, therefore, a factor of potential contamination, since there is some contamination in the bearing off - is conclusive, after which the operations had lasted over an hour, while the hook had been found, and found in it already for thirty minutes. Or again, as you can imagine that in a shirt box, where it was found in it more or less in a workmanlike manner the knife, went to the very end of the DNA KERCHER? About finally the correctness of results, which certainly the Assize Court may make any investigation of the case, you must take note of a profile circumstantial undoubtedly serious, however arising dall'aplotipo Y highlighted by the Scientific Police: in the course of the dialectic between Consultants, was made an impressive theory of objections on the pairs of alleles, discussing *stutters*, peak areas and other issues to be initiated, while there has been limited to pointing out that the investigation of the male chromosome - and it is the result of a different and further analysis - could lead to misleading results for possible common ancestry between two different subjects. Subjects who do not know if they exist, where they live and if you have never set foot in Perugia not only, but also in Italy and in Europe. Another topic on which also the Justice of the hearing will be called to take decisions but that the state becomes the serious circumstantial element, is the identification of the previously mentioned knife as the murder weapon: said that the DNA of the victim (and that of KNOX, in a position which coincides precisely with the side of the handle where it exerts more force) is there, and to say the least far-fetched that it was a mistake because if the track was unreliable could become second genetic profile than anyone else, and stated that the small size of the track may well justify the negative results of the *test*

69

carried out to determine the nature of blood, in relation to the problem of the length of by prof. CINGOLANI noted at the hearing: "the only problem is that the blade of the knife is 17.5 cm long, here is long by 8 and stops, because we do not know on the other hand, is not an area of strength in the which has stopped, however, it can be stopped by the action of the agent or for any reason or another because the weapon was retracted ", the second lesion coincides because at 2 cm. from the tip of the knife is 1.5 cm wide., exactly as the width of the wound (precisely 2 cm deep.), and the third apparently leads to different results because the via is 4 cm., and the knife at that distance from the tip has a width of 3, but the injury is more than 1.5 cm., though - always say the Perito - "realized through the path that the blade is inside the body, and we will evaluate in a static situation, whereas when there is penetration of the weapon was in a dynamic situation (..), there may have been a compression of the skin to the depth, there may have been a twist of the neck in a certain manner, for which it is not possible this data indicate that at first glance it would seem as incompatible as of absolute incompatibility ". The Professor puts down the phone and dials another number. HUMAN Ronchi precise correctly (by the way escoriative superficial lesions greater than the wound), "a knife that maybe .. he had a back more often and had irregularities such as to cause these formations, here, perhaps it would be more appropriate to the situation. " Exposed to such data, prof. TORRE stated that it shared the possibility of compression of the skin, the wound greater, then observed that "the bottom of the wound (..) is a kind of maciullamento deep tissue processing as a blade back and forth with persistent and prolonged action always within the same lesion "on the possibility that we have moved the victim's neck, he added:" Yes, but you have to be moved so many times to do an injury so mangled ", specifying instead by Professor April that "it takes two movements." Thus, the inferred "maciullamento", prof. HUMAN Ronchi added: "This radial (..) of small erythematous areas escoriative I. .. convinced me, confirmed my belief that it could be due to the fact that the blade is introduced in this way, with the coast say adhering to the skin, by movements of the wrist of the aggressor or the victim's reactions, can be explained without going to think about a different weapon ". This is the "state of the art" and logic, once again, requires the detection of: certainly that the blade of the knife assailant lingered in the neck of the victim, given the width of the wound - really unusual, even in the eyes of those who has seen several - who had to procure, and that certainly the neck of the poor MEREDITH move, as it could not defend himself with his hands, at least not with both. Barely significant, all want to grant, is the contribution of Advisors medico-legal defense REMINDER about the imprint of a knife on

70

mattress, which those experts considered a double impression, almost parallel: a part of the relief that the blade of the knife elusive would be about 13-14 cm., also well above the means, the hypothesis that was supported twice in almost parallel is unrealistic to say the least (it would have been more reasonable to be confronted with a knife placed therein and then crawled or bumped up to take a different position), as well as difficult to explain by the action of having

placed it and a sort of "rebound" for having Taluno immediately after sitting on the mattress. In addition to scientific data, already more than significant quite apart from the need to deepen the theme of plantar footprints, there is then the other. Not that the other findings referred to in biological surveys in use in the bathroom to the victim and KNOX: the possible contemporaneity affixing of the tracks can not be proved with certainty, since it is certainly an environment rich in diverse biological substances, nor is it important to take note that there is blood on the switch wish to rule by force that the light was turned on in the morning (can not be excluded, because the bathroom has no window). Not that the issues on the misconduct of the defendants outside the house (a mere suggestion), that they knew that MEREDITH had been slaughtered (certainly constituted a chat or at least guess) or about being the PROMPT went to report the alleged disappearance stool (quite insignificant). Nor are eavesdropping, with the KNOX to say that it was there but not being able to effectively state that meant the house on Via della Pergola rather than that of her boyfriend. It's important, however, the contribution of witness Antonio Curatolo: he (and there is no reason to think it *outright* unreliable, for the mere fact that he lives as a tramp) claims to have seen the two defendants together in Piazza Grimana to 23:00 - 23:30 PM and the second one would be on 31 October, when he saw the buses leaving for the nightclubs. To tell you the truth, between the verbal summary and transcript there is some discrepancy: the first speaks of masks and witches, but the transcript shows that the witch was not spoken at all, only with masks and people who joked. With respect to this particular a little 'hazy, and taking into account that on the evening of 31 is the REMINDER *aliunde* and KNOX were elsewhere, it must however be noted that according to the CURATOLO it was the night before the murder, because it reminds us that the day after the Police were in the streets to ask questions about who had seen something useful about the crime (and it is a detail that is certainly more impressed with a *Halloween* mask).

If, then, was the evening of November 1 (incidentally, in the case of summary proceedings requested by the other two defendants would have this court ordered the testimony of CURATOLO even *ex officio*, to resolve the doubt), the presence of Knox and Sollecito in Piazza Grimana later than murder would assume great importance: on the one hand, it was one of the directions in which the bolsters heard

71

head people fleeing the other, the same CURATOLO specification have not seen the boys come from his left, and - taking into account that had the entire square in front - infers that were not passed in front of him, that is, coming from Via Pinturicchio, Arc Etrusco Palace Gallenga or from above but from below. Similarly, after noticing the boy the couple who occasionally looked towards Via della Pergola, remember not seeing them again without having realized their removal, and derives from it the conclusion that they were *riscesi* the same side from where they came. This confirms the hypothesis that the two defendants had escaped ran from the house after the murder, because they are forced to do so because of the scream MEREDITH, remained in the area - or they returned after a sufficient time to erase any traces on their people - to check if the police arrived or not, in the event alerted by those who had heard the cry instrumental check to see if they were allowed back in the house to make sure they performed during the alteration. The alternative between the hypothesis that wants REMINDER enter the scene immediately (and thus participate in the crime) or just at this time (called by his girlfriend, only to help in the next step, bearing in mind that his DNA on the bra clasp may being left during the activity of alteration saw the marks of 268 and 770 photos remember that document how the bra was removed from the body after an appreciable period of time) must necessarily be resolved in the first direction: not even considering for 'Once again the results of the investigations into the plantar footprints, his cell phone and that of KNOX were in fact inactive for a while', and the data can only be read in the sense that the two parties were together. It should also be taken into account, also in respect of the serious indications of guilt and while *arguing the contrary*, that the two defendants have made versions objectively unsupported by objective evidence or credible. The fact of the failure memory or the state of confusion, maybe invoked with references (of convenience) at pressures suggestive one hand, or fogging for drug use on the other hand, has no real value.

As for Knox, his toying at home and take a shower with all that blood around, the back with the "mop" in tow to worry about wanting to dry out the house of PROMPT before remembering that in Via della Pergola something was wrong, the reassure the Zaroli and ALTIERI on normality of the fact that the door of Meredith's room could be closed (when the ROMANELLI a little later would have said the exact opposite) draw a picture that does not deserve other investigations, even without wanting to consider the significance of his conduct on November 6 for the purpose of slander to the detriment of the DIYA.

72

The PROMPT is refuted by the above Popovic on his walks in the afternoon, and claims to have us believe can not recall whether and at what time the KNOX was out of the house express, even if you did have sex with her or not (and, at 20 years, certain things you do not forget), then, after having long insisted on using *your computer* until late at night, then on the reproduction of the movie *Amélie* from 21:10 (when it was over at that time), has finally reduced its stationed in front of the *monitor* in the range between 21:26 and 21:46, because pledged to watch a cartoon, so finally confirming that the film above was really finished, otherwise he would have watch two different things at the same time. Allegation that, in any case, does not offer an *alibi* real because it shows in concrete interaction with the *pc* at 21:26, and not until the end: this in the face of an hour of death that absolutely can not be specified in the terms that defense derives from the cellular telephone traffic. Given that the only significant finding resulting from the phones is the inoperability of one of the defendants starting at about 20:40 in the evening of November 1 (that of PROMPT is down from earlier times only three times in the entire month of October, the 2 from 19:32, 9 and 22 from 18:58 from 19:32, otherwise often working late into the night, the KNOX was not at all accustomed to quickly turn off the phone to

conserve the battery, since no later the previous night he had used it until 01:04), the call without a prefix to the *Abbey Bank* around 22:00 does not document the KERCHER necessarily that the phone was picked up by those who had no familiarity with international calls. And 'possible, and indeed is more likely that this was an accidental call, highlighting the same CT of the defense that it was the first directory number in the phone memory Alphabetical: accidental phone call that lasted only the time of the recorded communication' inability to take the line and that, very easily, can start from a device to always resulted in a trouser pocket by those who do not intend to break away to keep in touch with his ailing mother (as indicated by the ROMANELLI), when it is attacked and thrown back, much to slam the back of his head, with desire to dominate.

Similarly, the incoming MMS at 22:13, which is the cell phone English in the area of Ponte Rio - Montelaguardia, gives no way demonstrates that the apparatus at that time he was already near the home of Mrs. WOOL BISCARINI: the records of the previous days, as regularly observed by the PM, documenting the contrary that many of the communications relating to that device were to commit the same cell, which means that there was a normal bounce between cells are most closely concerned area Via della Pergola and the cell in question (but speculate, out of logic, which MEREDITH him to go for a walk in Via Sperandio every time I had to call their family members).

73

Finally, it has not even merit a series of arguments made to refute the call of the PROMPT "112" only after the arrival of the Postal Police. Apart from the content of the calls in question, where the PROMPT says that it was not stolen anything, while there was a locked door with the occupant sought but did not answer, in front of which there were blood stains (in theory, he could trust what he was saying the KNOX on the apparent condition of the premises, to suppose that nothing was missing), it is undisputed that he called his sister - Official Carabinieri - at 12:50, so the "112" at 12:51 and at 12:54. The annotation of the Postal Police, signed by the ISP. BATTISTELLI, indicating the arrival of the crew at 12:35, and according to St. Anthony parking lot cameras, bearing a time to round, perhaps even before the agents arrived. E 'has been argued that in the reports of that intervention the police say they have not identified immediately present or that he immediately qualified, but certainly it is inconceivable that a quarter of an hour or more they're gone for a walk or remain in look, then, it was claimed that the BATTISTELLI relates that he went *on the spot* because they were found two mobile phones, but the lady LANA is taken in the minutes on the second phone found only at 12:46, and finally, according to ALTIERI LUCA agents were in the kitchen , with the two phones resting on the table. In support of these assumptions, it is acknowledged that the disclosure of the Postal Police Officer states that Ms. WOOL had come to deliver a second cell and in the light of the findings, it was decided to send staff in Via della Pergola. In fact, the sequence of events is as follows: 10:58 - there is the first complaint collection by dr. BARTOLOZZI (and we read that the first phone is delivered at the same time) 11:38 - checks are performed on membership, which shows the name of the ROMANELLI 11:50 - according to the minutes with the LANA (probably remained in those offices) which shows that for her and her family the ROMANELLI was a perfect stranger; verbalized yet BARTOLOZZI 24:46 - the second complaint, always collected by BARTOLOZZI, with the simultaneous delivery of the other cellular 13:00 - there is the activation of the cell corresponding to the command Postal Police (Road Borghetto Prepo) from the users of the English KERCHER 13:50 - seizure of the two phones, verbalized - from the usual BARTOLOZZI - 14:00 The data now reported, however, must be integrated with the statements made by BISCARINI FIAMMETTA, daughter Ms. WOOL, according to which she was called by her mother to ask if he knew a certain ROMANELLI (before 11:50, it must be considered) and a little later he found the maid with the second cell, at which point, called the BISCARINI now her mother, who at that point was probably more at the Postal Police, not having

74

reason to stay and not imagining the rest of the story, and soon after the Police Headquarters, where he was told that it was necessary to bring the other device. Thus, the BISCARINI went to get his mother near the WFP and went, just before 24:46, at the Police. It follows that the Postal Police knew of the second cell already around noon, under the call of the daughter of LANA, and probably it was decided to send BATTISTELLI in Via della Pergola only upon receiving the news (in fact, the inspector says he brought on the spot because of the discovery of two mobile phones, not to have it done after the formalization of the second complaint or bringing with it both the appliances in question). The only discordant note is then the story of ALTIERI, saying "there were these two police officers always standing at the kitchen table, with the two phones resting on the table, a piece of paper, I always think of the police, with written numbers on cell phones ", but it is possible that cell phones were brought from other staff, or that the BATTISTELLI had placed on the table the first phone-that the name of the ROMANELLI, which certainly could have - and another, perhaps its confusing the heads. So how is it that the ALTIERI was wrong in remembering there were also the phones, and not just the paper, as if cell phones were seized at 13:50 at the Command - by tax inspectors different ISP. BATTISTELLI - you may never moved from there, because the people who were in Via della Pergola had more to think about than to worry about bringing back the phones to proceed to a formal seizure. "

It must be acknowledged that in reproducing the order they have been maintained the parties relating to the evidence of guilt more specifically charged to the defendants, but only for the sake of completeness and in the terms already announced in the introduction, being still the objections raised to GUEDE disputed in competition shape. Conversely, it

should be noted that some of the above considerations have also taken account of allegations that the other parties have introduced as part of the process after the accused had exercised the option in the epigraph for summary judgment.

This refers in particular to technical consultancies respectively filed by the defenses of Knox and Sollecito in terms of reconstruction of the dynamics of the homicidal and data processing of cellular telephone traffic, as well as on the alleged presence of traces due to the use of a knife characteristics differ from the seizure in: however, must also clearly establish that enter into the body of this judgment on the arguments made by the Judge does not involve any violation of the rights of defense of the GUEDE. As shown evidence showed, also because of the peculiarities of a process where the other defendants - in more or less explicit - have intended to defend themselves by accusing the

75

third, and vice versa, those elements were highlighted in order to indicate their primary GUEDE in the sole and actual murderer, and - as oriented in that direction - were all deemed inconclusive. Thus moving from the data that must be understood already analyzed and developed, will not escape just remembered that occasion he had anticipated the need to address the central problem of the credibility of RUDI GUEDE: his presence in the house on Via della Pergola when the KERCHER was attacked and killed is out of the question, and was also admitted by him, and we must therefore understand whether he told the truth or not when intended justify it. At the outset, it must also be clear that admit to being in the scene of the crime was, for the defendant, however inevitable: already the first investigation that led to its identification, namely the palm print on the pillow, was a test overwhelming, which was followed by the equally unequivocal results of the DNA analysis relating to the vaginal swab, the toilet paper, the bra, the bag and the sweater, and the latest fingerprint comparisons of its model of shoes "Nike" with those imprinted on the floor chamber MEREDITH. The GUEDE, when he decided to make his own version of the fact, already knew that belie his *presence* there would have been to deny the evidence: and, as an additional finding of fact, we can not forget that, from the date of ' murder to that in which he told someone how things had gone, spent nearly twenty days. Finding that, however, lends itself to different interpretations: on the one hand you might think that during that time he was useful to reflect on and develop a story that was as much as possible acconcio to his interest, in order to exclude or resize the significance of 'he found himself in that place, on the other hand could move the objection that a period of reflection (if you really ponder RUDI had intended his sentences, in the awareness of being involved in the crime) would suggest a less imaginative reconstruction and "ramshackle" as its defenders themselves have sought to describe it, but to emphasize the intrinsic authenticity.

In this regard, it should also be noted that the core of that story did not change, comparing what he said via *chat* or *Skype* to BENEDETTI - and that, regardless dall'inutilizzabilità of data media, it was still reported to investigators from his interlocutor - with the content of the interrogations: When RUDI JAMES spoke with his friend, and can not be considered likely that he suspected of being intercepted, it began to concert with him what could be the reconstruction less awkward to offer the Police Authority or judicial, but merely to tell what, in his opinion, had been scanning the facts. It can not be read in terms of the content of the suspect interview, again via *Messenger*, that the GUEDE had had with some GABRIELE MANCINI

76

day before the prosecutor pointed out that the MANCINI was taken on record on November 18, which was Sunday, and only two days before had been informed that RUDI could have something to do with the murder, but even on a Monday or Tuesday before - *ergo*, 12 or 13 - the friend replied "you know" to his question "why do you run away as usual?", However, that response may be understood in line with the attitude of those who want to get away from something they knew to be entered without guilt, especially being able to imagine that they were looking for him in Italy even if the print or TV did not know anything yet. It should also be cleared away some data instructors which, taken separately, they would be worth much less that circumstantial: it has great value to note that, according to the young Lithuanian basketball player, RUDI had a predilection for white girls, or that at home Marche had expressed compliments from the barracks to the KNOX, when it would be rather strange that he did not say anything, because all the kids were put to fantasize sex scenes with her or to comment on (maybe someone sognarsela) availability. Rather, on the subject and you will have reason to return, it is indicative to note that there were witnesses to an appreciation of the accused revealed against AMANDA, while no one - not even his friends, to whom would happen to witness moments of conversation between him and the KERCHER, as at the *rugby* match - never heard him say anything on behalf of English. Still, the fact that TRAMONTANO CHRISTIAN has decided to recognize in GUEDE the young black man surprises during the home burglary, leave things as they are, while not wishing to consider the fact - put forward by the defense, and that can be considered admissible even if undocumented - which has been in turn a witness "mediated" by television: the survey is in fact expressed in terms skeptical, with a repeated "it seems to me," even reportedly already at the time when the TRAMONTANO RUDI would have seen the "Domus" the next night to steal, and even less justifiable when he got comfortable and way of seeing the photograph of all the press and television.

That therefore GUEDE could have confidence with knives, since according to the TRAMONTANO the unknown thief he brandished one, it can not be admitted on the basis of that evidence, the content of which is acceptable to assume the incidence of suggestive readings of news story : to tell the robbed, RUDI would take the knife after threatening him with a chair (and do not understand why a man who knows he has a knife in his pocket should get to pick chairs, far less intimidating power tools and, moreover, awkward to handling), but it would be a strange behavior comparable to that - not already offensive, but the defense - that the defendant

77

claimed to have adopted before the alleged murderer of KERCHER, and which the press had largely given cognizance. Similarly, have little weight in the events of 27 October in Milan, except to demonstrate that in the last days the GUEDE moved a bit 'as a drifter: on that occasion, while the bulky kitchen knife that was seized was not his, but belonged to the structure where he had taken refuge, so that the staff recognized him asylum and if the shooting. Ultimately, apart from the abundant evidence mentioned above, as an element of circumstantial evidence against the accused thickness is added only substantial but minutes of summary information made by the ANT, which saw between 22:30 and 22:40 (but probably later, as soon after he noticed the tow truck, who arrived in Via della Pergola at 23:00) a black man run out the door on the stairs adjacent to the parking lot: the fact that, superimposed on the story of the bolsters, that someone else (do not know if one or more, but is expressed by the term "scappavono") ran towards Via del

Bulagaio, is likely to take an important snapshot of what was happening in the area immediately after the murder. Coming to the story of GUEDE, the comparison between their assessments and the defense has obviously led to the emergence of opinions in opposition: According to the PM, the version of the accused would describe a wrongful-fetched, with an attack of colitis as sudden and unlikely (given the context of effusions that would be realized between RUDI and the girl) as providential, in the interest of the young to disappear from the scene for a suitable period of time to allow someone else to enter and rise to the protagonist in addition, the GUEDE would largely adapted the content of his statements to the parallel and progressive evolution of the investigation, indicating the presence of KNOX in the house on Via della Pergola not before the interrogation of March 26, or admitting only in that case that actually would not have had an appointment with real MEREDITH, finally correcting the shot on the shoes worn only with the last spontaneous presentation. Conversely, its patrons have instead insisted on the credibility of the story, you want based on some topics of common sense, you want to standardizing the conduct which the GUEDE would assume (escape after finding a dying girl, but hopelessly confused once tried to help her) on the peculiar experience of their client. On the first point, apart from some pads contour to the fact that the concrete experience or the same fiction film abounds with cases of people fleeing a while veiled risk of being accused of acts of violence, it was noted that a murderer , intending to escape, he would do it immediately, without going around for 48 hours or so waiting for you do not know what, that behavior, however, prove the good faith of RUDI, which

78

would put on a botched attempt to escape their pursuers just noting that the prophecy addressed to the unknown armed with a knife about having found a culprit began to assume concreteness, as the newspapers did not mention any results of the police in the search for truth murderess. On the other hand, the personal stories of these were surely prevented from an early age, leading him to develop a strong self-preservation instinct: if you correlate the given character to the fact that, of course, would not be normal to expect from someone like the GUEDE a respectful demeanor of formal rules and convenience (think that he would not have even been able to document the employer to have had the flu for a few days, so to be fired), here the evening of November 1 the vast majority of people would call "113" and waited for the police, but not him. As for the interrogation rendered, there was, according to the defendants, no retraction or correction of shooting: the defendant did not admit out of time that an appointment with the KERCHER not had it, but it is limited to simply explain that What could understand - and what can normally be understood in the context of acquaintances among twentysomethings in a college town - claiming that he was in agreement with her on seeing the next evening. His sincerity would be out of the question, because the fact that he had touched the girl bra was peacefully accepted by the accused when he still did not know that on that piece of linen was found his DNA, and the only change was the version in relate to the alleged meeting with MEREDITH Halloween night, that instead of taking place in the house of the Spaniards had taken place at the "Domus": in any case, it had been a mere confusion in memory, and was able to document through photographs have been really into the nightclub.Finally, he would not have even pulled out the name of the late KNOX, since the interrogation guarantee the GIP ends with the apparent availability of RUDI to provide further clarification, and they were only the timing of the prosecutor to make the new program statements four months later. In fact, there is some discrepancy and how,

and it is not true that the omission of references to the KNOX can be explained in the terms put forward by the defense, as it is not possible to argue that placing the kiss given to MEREDITH between a room in the middle of two bathrooms in a private home rather than near the counter pouring of the "Domus", is the result of a trivial confusion. By analyzing the narratives of the accused, as far as we are about to explain it, it must inevitably reach the conclusion that he is not credible because his version: 1) is inherently unreliable, 2) is both radical denials of fundamental aspects, which even on very marginal points and contour.

79

To retain the GUEDE not reliable, in fact, do not apply some of the considerations set out by the Prosecutor of the Republic: it is true that a sudden need to go bad body goes together with the idea of a guy who is kissing and caressing (for the first time) from which a girl is attracted, but just in case *if the former* is not absurd, and not even worth that much argue that a simple *kebab* can determine those effects, as well as the representative of the prosecution argued with a lot of appeal to the ingredients. Apart from the relief that now, in common terminology, we define each cibaria *kebab* served in a room that is a little "ethnic" looking (maybe fake) other than an exercise of groceries or pizzeria, to have disorders of that kind could be enough a frozen drink: and whatever crap there was or was not in the *kebab*, RUDI pointed out that he had downed before a "Fanta", along with the sandwich, then you attacked "a thud" to water bottles and fruit juice, taken from the refrigerator of the house of MEREDITH. There may also be, therefore, that during the outpourings he felt unwell stomach: there is a bit 'less, however, that those kisses and tokens were interrupted suddenly discovering both do not have condoms. As will be discussed shortly, that - in the terms described by the accused - was not a date, and it has great value to argue different or more informal customs of the last generation: an event in which he had managed the situation 24 hours before to tell her how much she liked, giving her a kiss and give the feeling availability to meet again the next evening at eight-thirty. The so he had to imagine, or at least hope, that she was really there, eliciting what could serve as needed: it may be objected, it is true, that RUDI was a boy a little *'sui generis*, ready to improvise evenings without be there so much to think about (for that day, it seems that he still plans to go to and CARLOS THOMAS at nine, and remained to be seen, however, in agreement with ALEX PHILIP and who knows at what time), he had not done much rely on his prospects of seduction, in addition, had no money, and buy a pack of condoms could not be at the top of its priorities. However, according to the story of GUEDE MEREDITH was talking about contraception, not him, and he should therefore draw the conclusion that the girl, if she felt the accused say that condoms had them, would be willing to indulge: *ergo*, it must be assumed that the young Englishman was particularly taken with him. The negative response of RUDI, however, would unexpectedly pulled the curtain. MEREDITH would close its doors without any willingness to continue in the same practices *petting* (taking into account that the two had gone far enough, however, for as described by biased), or assuming to go to peek between the bags of AMANDA, where he knew or could think of to find a species

80

of samples of articles of this kind, though they have - apparently - complained to his countrymen. Here, too, could it be objected that this is perhaps not the type of merchandise that a girl puts herself quietly to steal the purse of a friend, but it should be remembered that just a few minutes before the KERCHER had gone to the address of the

sacramentare KNOX, thinking that he stole the money, so it would be to make them tit for tat, without even the pretense of even the score. But this is still nothing. Taking a step back, and coming to the meeting the night before, the interrogation before the GIP GUEDE said to have exchanged words - and a kiss - with MEREDITH boys in the house of Iberian origin behind the "Peacock", also describing the dislocation of environments ("a bath here, one here, one room") to indicate the place where they kissed, he added that he had made an agreement with the KERCHER to see each other the next day at eight-thirty at her house, confirming also taken on the specific question of the PM, so as to add that the next night he left the house in advance of the agreed time. Later in that same interview, as can be seen from the transcript, he claimed that he went to the "Domus" with the hope of seeing MEREDITH, thinking that she would go too, but she did not see her (".. we went to the two from the house and head to the 'Domus', after which I lost sight "). On March 26, 2008, however, explained to the PM RUDI photographs of the hand that the group invited to the home of the Spanish moved almost en bloc to the "Domus", but it was in the nightclub that he met KERCHER, and not before; also offering in that case a description of the place, he said, "there is a bar for drinks and then there's a room, there is an arch and a room. I'm turning there, that's where I met MEREDITH. " The context of the meeting, and the content of the interview, pointed out: "I started to talk to MEREDITH .. speaking, however, I gave her a kiss .. after which I expressed how much I liked and I said if the next day, in all the confusion, however, if we would meet the next day and she said yes (..), we'd meet in the evening at about eight and a half, like that. " While not having to pursue the matter, essentially irrelevant, if the two had agreed to a specific time or less (having confirmed the indication of 20:30 in both verbal still leaves suggests that the second GUEDE an appointment I ' had), catches the eye the blatant dystonia of the two versions. The context of a room between two bathrooms, in an apartment, is radically different from that of a bar for drinks and a bow, in a *pub*, all want to grant, then, you can not go wrong in place in one rather than in 'other the last time we both ran into a friend, never the first time in which there was an exchange of a kiss with a girl to whom you feel attraction. Mind you, however, that it is the accused himself emotionally strong to describe in terms of its

8

feelings of that time, because, although it intends that kiss as a gesture rather superficial, the GUEDE dwells in his first reconstruction to GIP that he could see again MEREDITH at "Domus" despite harbored the inner expectation that she, too, aggregasse the group in moving from the house of the Spaniards: expectation that disappears by magic in the second version offered to the Public Prosecutor on March 26. How is it that RUDI remember Dec. 7 in the fact that he had confided to review the KERCHER at "Domus", after a kiss at home and CARLOS THOMAS, and therefore sought to have her at the club without success, when in fact it had only met the "Domus" and not before? And, conversely, how is it possible not to feel instead that his change of course - except that he at "Domus" was really gone, as stated by some witnesses beyond the photographs produced - depended on the fact that he realized inability to support the presence of MEREDITH by the Spanish, in denial root of all the girls who had spent the evening with her? Already at this point, it appears that for GUEDE believe we should make a superhuman act of faith: but other data still in fact and for logical reasons combine to make this act of faith, as this court has warned the duty technician and moral to be ready to do it, absolutely impassable. Returning in the evening of 1, once RUDI went into the

bathroom, he would put the *'i-pod*, and with the loud music in the headphones, you would be entertained for a few minutes to do their business. It seems that he was in the habit of behaving like that, and you can also accept though that *'i-pod* were untraceable, having prevented the attachment position of being forced to sell it during the brief disappearance from the fact: there it can not fail, however, to note that from those headphones and the loud music (perfect to make plausible the rest of the story and justify the isolation in which the defendant would be found while someone else killed the girl) were not part of the customs of GUEDE further in the only occasion on which he had witnesses to leave his body, that is to say during the evening the boys Marche.

As it happens, that time there were those who noticed another detail the applicant, or the fact that the young man had pulled the drain, but no one saw the earphones "ball", which is actually impossible that conciliassero with the fact that he fell asleep sitting on the *toilet*. Before you even isolate themselves, in any case, RUDI heard someone ring the doorbell: interrogation warranty, did not say anything to bring the voice he heard that of KNOX, but in the version of the facts exposed to the PM he expressed the conviction that I recognized the voice of the American.

82

At this point, the act of faith should exceed the above announced yet another obstacle to justify why AMANDA he rang the bell, since we lived in that house and had clear keys. The alternatives, in addition to taking the high road that leads to the belief that the GUEDE not tell the truth, they are all quite winding: you should imagine that the KNOX had forgotten the keys somewhere, or felt - because he carried something heavy and unwieldy ? - Not to use them, or that he had found in the lock keys MEREDITH, she had been prevented from opening. Possibility, even this, to say the least remote: it is true that in that lock the latch was defective and had to always give the flow, but precisely because of that need, and the fact that all the girls were unaware of each he should use caution not to leave the keys in the ignition. Perhaps the KERCHER had done that night, knowing that none of the other would be back that night, and thus to ensure greater security than unwelcome intrusion? And how could she be sure that someone did not change the program, admitted that they had communicated their intentions, or had not, however, need to go home early in the morning of the next day? In addition, she had just entered the GUEDE, which - with the reasonable expectation of the moment when he had opened and closed the door behind him - could not appear to her as one who would surely have spent the night with her, and then, at least to him, would reopen. Ultimately, the question "Why KNOX was supposed to play, since he had the keys?" seems fated to remain without a plausible answer. Another, and even more important question, however, is a direct consequence of the relief - empirical and obvious - that the name of KNOX comes out only remembered, according to interrogation (better to say, the third, if you count the one issued in Koblenz) .

On 7 December 2007, before the examining magistrate, he said that he went to the bathroom after the approach taken with MEREDITH, and added: "I heard the doorbell ring, the bell, and though after I put the volume up and I made the my needs ", without any reference to AMANDA, describing the moment when you looked out the window of Romanelli's room to look out, after the escape of the unknown armed with a knife, said, "there was a room, I joined the room because the window was visible, and you could see the courtyard and the street, I saw no one, "and then confirm that you have not seen or even less recognized anyone. One can not say, therefore, that March 26, 2008 statements

about having recognized the voice of AMANDA sull'averne the door and saw the figure at the gate were a kind of completion of the preceding narrative, perhaps

83

because it was particular that there had not been time to ask and that would clear GUEDE even if the second interview he had given during the 8th of December, however, were a radical change of course, of which we must also take into account to comprehensively assess the reliability of the defendant. The question, implicitly announced a few lines ago, in fact, if the truth is, that is to say if the door and the gate was really AMANDA and RUDI recognized her, because he did not say so? To respond to the comments of the defense in order to the natural instinct of self-preservation that characterizes the actions of the GUEDE, and justifying his choice to escape from the house on Via della Pergola without calling for help, it must be observed here that you're just talking about that instinct, of which the defendant has shown blatantly do not know how to use the occasion more important that ever happened. Not the pandects or rules of convenience, but instinct, elementary logic, the same attitude of RUDI when he fled, knowing that the murderess had fled before him leaving him in that room bloody, they should have let him know that the first thing to do, having to explain his presence there and convince his listeners that he never killed anyone, was to say, "yes, I was there and I will not deny it, but I also saw someone else." It was an allegation fundamental to a subject in its position, co-essential to the need to defend (repeats itself, in the sense of defensive instinct for self-preservation, certainly not the case of technique), of at least equal importance compared to that of highlighting the reasons licit of his being in the house with the victim: instead, nothing. A silence all the more inexplicable, if you think that - in the face of difficulties guessed that the defendant would have had, like anyone else, to describe or provide elements of unknown people, where he found himself in front of strangers - he had had the good fortune to see and recognize a girl that not only knew your name, but you knew that already otherwise have been accused of that crime, and he was already in jail for that reason.

The GUEDE had not, therefore, even the natural qualms that a witness felt in cases of uncertainty, knowing that someone put in trouble the day of reckoning may be unconnected with the facts: on the one hand, there was little room to imagine that he wrong person, because he knew and it was - for height measurement - just the girl to which the victim had started to throw insults a few minutes before the other, did not even have the burden of feeling responsible for her involved in investigation, because there was already up to his neck and with the handcuffs, with identity and photo appeared in newspapers around the world and widely accessible via *the Internet*, means by which RUDI had strong confidence.

84

The profiles of non-credibility inherent in the version of GUEDE are not yet finished, because it must be remembered another detail: according to the reconstruction offered by him, it is clear that the attackers acted MEREDITH RUDI not knowing what was in the house, maybe the outcome a quarrel immediately degenerate, then you would be faced with surprise to a black guy out of the bathroom, with the consequent need to deal with it or run away. In this regard, it should be considered that in the first place - except for the entry of thieves or otherwise of persons to which the victim would not have spontaneously opened the door, given that the defendant remembers the sound of the bell, and points out that when he went away there were no signs of forced entry or broken

glass - hardly be a sudden discussion between people who know each other, one of which is a girl, he was able in just ten minutes to be born and grow up to take a turn that lead to the use knives, and it is still more likely to be resolved in an isolated shot to oppression or defense, rather than a prolonged wrongful conduct, such as that forensic doctors have given cognizance. Therefore, even under the profile in question, the act of faith mentioned above should distinguish itself of dogmatic adherence: to believe in the GUEDE should be given for granted that, coincidentally, the KERCHER found nothing better than to pass suddenly from a tender moment and passion with him to a violent quarrel with someone else, come to that place precisely at the moment when you RUDI defilava in the bathroom. In addition, and more importantly, what could be a surprise for murderers, namely its presence in the house, it was certainly not for the other party in the dispute: MEREDITH, unlike those who attacked, was well aware that in *toilet* there was a person that she herself had authorized to enter and ritirarvisi, therefore, faced with someone who had begun to raise their voices, rising to hold her by the arms and ending with brandishing a knife and throw it on the ground, because it would not could warn him immediately saying that there was in the house who could help you?

And why, in particular, could not get right to cry aloud, invoking the name of your RUDI to come through in his help, rather than relying on a chatter among women voices a little 'altered, such as the defendant claims to have perceived without even had a worrying tone? The same data medico-legal findings indicate a more than likely progression of violence, to which the victim certainly tried to react, and then - if it is reasonable to think that a lady residing at 70 meters away could hear only the last and most desperate scream of the girl - it is very difficult to admit that the headphones into the ears of GUEDE, 4-5 feet away, prevented him from hearing other cries, or noises above.

85

The biased, however, did not hear anything until that cry, which brought him out of the bathroom without thinking to compose himself or to flush the toilet, and he would have been a stranger who's back was turned, standing in the doorway of the room KERCHER or just inside. Apart from the improbability of a prolonged struggle with a knife-wielding man who decides to run away when his contender tries his best with a chair or a drying rack, you do not understand what would have been to make that guy on the door of the room: o aggression was still in place, and then the murderess was supposed to be bent over his victim, or it was all over, and the young man with the shirt of "Napapjiri" should have been intent to leave, not stay there before strutting up to be touched by a shoulder GUEDE trying to figure out what happened. The behavior of the alleged assailant, and in particular the phrase that he would turn to the address you do not know who (AMANDA, you should not assume, remained in the shade inside the house but reappeared on the path in the context of escape), is then turn unreasonable: said the imbalance of power between the knife and the chair, and then to escape the strangeness that is who holds the first rather than the second, say something like "negro found, here is the culprit" makes no sense. The only person who could see a meaning in that sentence was, coincidentally, the same RUDI, because he needed to justify his attitude reluctant to summoning assistance, and the subsequent hue and tents in his story, which at this point must considered of convenience, that sentence there is a brush, because - even in terms a bit 'pathetic - it may be to describe and explain his state of mind and his behavior after the fact, but from the point of view of the pronounced was an incomprehensible nonsense. At the most, who was deciding to escape, after he realized the unexpected presence of someone in the

house where he had just committed a murder, he could instinctively tell the accomplice to be careful, because he had found someone, maybe even specifying the color of the skin not knowing how otherwise it: it is one thing to say "there's a nigger, run away!", it is quite another to start planning future strategies ("There's a nigger, we have the guilty"). "Guilty" is not even a common *slang* word, let alone if he uses it who has just been surprised with a lot of knife in hand, and can legitimately think that the person in front of him, black, yellow or turquoise that is, grab a phone and call the Police: anyone, caught in a lie in whatever manner, would have thought instinctively RUDI heavy and annoying as a prosecution witness, never as someone you can easily download the burden of responsibility. That, in effect, would have been the normal and expected behavior: to stay, call for help immediately and tell the police what had happened. A behavior that, picking up the provocation, was also adopted by dr. RICHARD KIMBLE fiction film in which the defense wanted to make

86

reference: that character became "The Fugitive" after being charged and convicted, but the immediacy of the fact - coming home and finding his wife's body - had asked for help and called the police. RUDI, in the face of what he says would have happened, he did not, but if, admitting that he was telling the truth, his escape could still be understandable because he knew he had to make that there may be traces of a sexual contact occurred shortly before, it certainly would not have been understood or predictable, in the eyes of the alleged murderess. Exhausted so the profile of non-credibility *ex if* the reconstruction offered by the biased, can now be analyzed according to the aspects outlined above, namely the existence of a large number of subjects who refute the assumptions. On the basis of the many witness statements, you must take note that the GUEDE told the truth by reporting that he had been at "Domus" the night of 31: from photographs is not it except on the basis of deductive (he is in the company of some boys in the early photos, taken in the house of the Spaniards, and some of those guys also appear in images of the interior of the room, even if it is not given back to the days of the exact number of times), but the espinilla claimed to have seen him in the *pub*, so overcoming the bad memories of SALIM ZAFER and nonsense BARROW. But no one remembers seeing him talk to the KERCHER, nor to the "Domus" or anywhere else, and no one among the friends of the girl refers to have never heard mention RUDI as a friend, acquaintance, or occasional attendance of one evening, just as no Friends of GUEDE remember confidences or appreciation on behalf of MEREDITH (as it did, albeit with others, of AMANDA). It is an indisputable fact, which must be taken into due consideration: can not be regarded in itself impossible that on October 31 the defendant had had an opportunity to strike up a conversation with the girl, who - as linked to SILENCE - was not certainly bound to him by a promise of marriage; simply, no one noticed, and still no one came to know from KERCHER later, although *Halloween* did not end there, and although there was a whole afternoon, from 16:00 to 21:00, at the disposal of the four English friends to comment on the previous evening. The defendants intended to represent that MEREDITH was probably not so reserved and selective in frequent visits, as some would have wanted to describe, and it is likely that they are right, but if he intended to live in fullness and joy his 20 years, it is then natural to assume that for her was normal to know people, and it was just as normal a word to the friends with whom he had confidence. Aside from the previous days, where maybe RUDI could it merely a greeting more or less returned on the evening of 31 at the "Domus" he entertained (to him) with MEREDITH for some

good minutes, not enough time to be taking a photo improvised: that nobody noticed them can be accepted because of the crowd and the fact that perhaps the specially GUEDE advantage of a moment in which the other English

87

were dancing or in the bathroom (but, as it happens, in spite of the confusion was noted by his friend RUDI Spanish only in the company of a blonde girl), is much less likely that the KERCHER could have reasons for reluctance to mention it to AMY, ROBYN or SOPHIE. The four friends were just out to have fun, and - of course - any male acquaintances interesting were the expectation and the outlet of physiological evenings of that type, and yet she felt drawn to the Financo and having kissed a boy would not have led to any MEREDITH comment, in spite of the time spent on the day following looked upon in the photographs poured on the *web*. Mind you, moreover, that there is no reason to imagine that the KERCHER not want to be judged by others because, girlfriend with GIACOMO, had been kissing by the first comer, or even less because they did not want to let people know that the boy was interested in a color. The link with the SILENCE had lived with a commitment, and if both claimed to be good in that history is also common ground that neither of them felt obliged to absolute fidelity, or even prevented in addressing the word to others, and the ROMANELLI the MEZZETTI said, in one of the last few minutes of information made simultaneously, which MEREDITH would be expressed in terms of everything negative about the possibility of betraying a boyfriend or a partner, something he had never done it, but it was a declaration of ' intent, especially considering that on GIACOMO (as indicated by the BIDWELL) had happened to express some reservations. As for the fact that RUDI was of African origin, just take note that the same mother MEREDITH is not white skin, to clear up any suspicion malicious. On the other hand, if he could not claim to be a KERCHER that would open with her friends about everything, you can not assume, for example, the fact that the reserve HAYWARD she had not been informed by an occasional use of *cannabis*, as noted by the defense: that is certainly not something that is said at all, unlike the possible sympathy for a guy met at a party.

The kiss given to RUDI would instead remained a state secret, although the BUTTERWORTH, the Purton and FROST (the latter, according to his testimony and those of the other on *Halloween* night, they would always remain in the company of the MEREDITH " Merlin "and" Domus ", except for a break of a few minutes but only in the first room) they had come the next day to gossip with her on the progress of the party. Likewise, the Purton would greet her friend to 21:00 almost reciprocal with yawning, having both the firm conviction to go to bed as soon as possible, in spite of the fact that he saw MEREDITH already half an hour late on the supposed time of the dreaded meeting with the defendant.

88

Even more important then is the contradiction that is GUEDE by his friends and ALEX PHILIP, as already mentioned in *de libertate* measure which involved the co-defendants: the RAW claimed not to have seen it for a few days, only to receive a visit at home on November 2, coinciding with the news of the murder (without notice if he was hurt at the hands), while the MALY - which, like ALEX confirmed their presence at the KERCHER "Shamrock" to have told some American friends after the murder, but denies that there had spoken RUDI - recalls that the last time he saw the defendant four or five days before *Halloween*. Version, the latter, which is not inconsistent with other findings of the

inquiry: the defense argued that on October 27 the defendant was definitely in Milan, thereby seeking to demonstrate that the Austrian may have been wrong, but five days before 31 October means increased up to 26. Therefore, on the evening of 1 never saw neither one nor the other, with all due respect of the details mentioned by GUEDE about their meetings, for example, in order that the expectation ALEX came out of the bathroom or to the fact that it was PHILIP he had been informed by RUDI be seen with a girl. As already noted, it is not reasonable to charge two friends of the suspects prevented reticence, for wanting to remove some potential charges of aiding and abetting, having attended a person known to have fled to Germany or somewhere: if so, would ALEX RAW easy game to deny having seen the 2, however, when the murder had been there before, and it would cost him nothing to admit to having seen the afternoon of the day before, a crime not yet consumed. Last, but not least, an element that *aliunde* rejects the argument of the defendant is obtained by repeatedly reminded deposition lady bolsters, which heard nothing more after the cry and the simultaneous flight of more people in the space of a minute: the So the woman heard someone walking on gravel once, and all together (even if subsequently directed towards different directions), contradicting the GUEDE who claims to have gone away after an appreciable time from the scene of the young man armed with a knife , that he had spent time doing back and forth from the bathroom with towels.

It 'true that the witness did not say specifically that she had been listening, but pointed out, however, that you have not taken sleep right away, as a result of those noises that had troubled: and something would have had to perceive with senses alert for that reason, when you consider that the alleged agitated and stay in that house RUDI finished within a few minutes, plus the fact that he assumed that he had departed with some haste, frightened by a noise which was intended to come from the floor of below. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that he did not pay too much attention to where you put your feet.

89

The end point of the line of argument, thus completed the analysis of the interrogations of GUEDE, it is therefore only one: the accused can not believe, and can not achieve even those who would do so. He did not even thick concrete observation of its defenders, while commendable in an attempt to provide an interpretation consistent with the assumptions of their client, according to which knowledge demonstrated by RUDI concerning the state of health of the mother of the victim can only be explained by giving for granted that he received the confidences of MEREDITH: the story of the murder had such prominence in the media, around the world, to be given the status notorious fact aspects will also outline, such as the illness of Mrs KERCHER. From the beginning, it was definitely emerged the particular who had been found of its handsets, from which her friends said she did not never separated because anxious about the health of the mother, and these are aspects that journalists are never escape: and it is now well-known fact, especially for people aduse to surf on *the internet*, as the accused, who spoke in those days even that theme (a simple search shows that already November 4th aunt MEREDITH released statements concerned on the need for a kidney transplant for his sister). On closer inspection, one of the few things that have been confirmed, with regard to GUEDE, does not refer to his prayers of familiarity with the victim or the movements *before* and *after delictum* that aimed to describe, but rather to the fact that he wished to exclude as its characteristic of behavior: RUDI not dumped the *water* at the home of MEREDITH, and he had not even in the apartment below a few days before, as witnessed

with the safety Bonassi. Thus, despite he wished to draw a justification to forgetfulness, the night of the murder, with the urgent need to get out of the bathroom to go and see what had happened, does not hold the denial of the same special for the evening spent in the company of the Marches and of two girls: his was a sort of *habit*, and if the first time that had happened not pulled the toilet as bewildered by drunkenness this may be evidence that on the evening of 1 November he was under the same conditions. Conditions that looks just were not unusual for him, since - even wanting to consider the BARROW, who pulled his hand only in the first version and can they felt quite unreliable - many of the people close to him remember that he drank a bit 'too much or I saw him drunk, more or less often. In a peculiar process like this, however, it is the story of RUDI to assume central importance, and not to permit the adoption of any half-measure: dell'incontestabilità said of his presence in the house, and the fact that he had a contact sexual intercourse with the victim, the only alternative is that it is entirely unconnected with the facts (where it has said is true) or that he committed the murder (if he lied). There is no chance, logic and concrete, to assume that the biased he said maybe a part

90

of truth, because it was there and he knows how things went, but had no role in the dynamics of the events that led to the death of KERCHER: this would mean that today he remains firm on its untenable positions to cover someone. But, on the contrary, it was the beginning that he chose not to involve other, finding no explanation otherwise his belated reminder of the presence of KNOX, and evidently changed his attitude when he realized that it was someone else to want to let go alone in front of his destiny. So if the GUEDE committed the murder, and it is not true that MEREDITH brought him into the house by virtue of tenderness or winks the night before, sexual contact mentioned above was not to be correlated to an intimate moment above all 'murderous action, but was on the contrary the latter context, resolving even the obvious objective pursued by those who gave the course (for the reasons already stated in the above mentioned measure *pursuant to Art. 299 cpp*), and it is necessary at this point to reiterate again that the elements instructors lay not already collected for a case of single-party liability, but indicate - as already warned the occasion just mentioned, without the need to repeat arguments thoroughly gutted - that the criminal conduct was put in place in the competition among multiple authors. It 'also reasonable to assume that, after the realization of the murder, he spent a certain amount of time before the activities of alteration of the crime scene, and - yes here - you can not take it for evidence that in this second phase was attended by more people, or that it was the same that had been present at the time of the attack KERCHER. That someone came in the house, taking care to baste the pantomime illegal entry of thieves or other casual hackers, has also been stated, and it is again sufficient to refer supervision order, in that same context, the authors of the hoax also intervened in the victim's room, and maybe they took off from the body of the bra MEREDITH, if they had not already done immediately after the girl had fallen on the ground. The theme is of indirect interest, dealing with here the position of a defendant who is not called to answer for simulation of crime, but it must be addressed because - contrary to the view taken up by the defense of GUEDE - that someone went in and sat Commitment to believe that in that room there was a sexual assault, aiming to falsely accuse the defendant and not content just to even suggest the idea of a robbery resulted in an uncontrolled epilogue lethal. Starting alteration, and then working backward, it is undisputed that the bra was worn at the time

of the blows received, otherwise it will not provide the same punctate spots of blood found on the girl's breast, it is equally

91

evident that the victim was removed when he was past the time, you do not know in what terms to quantify, but still good enough for production, on the back of MEREDITH, signs made manifest in the photographs nos. 268 and 770, corresponding to the shoulder pads. However, with equal undeniability, those spots were able to pinpoint occur just because the bra was the only garment she had on the bust, otherwise they would have drawn the sweatshirt or a mesh, which instead were rolled up under his neck. At the same time, it was simulated that someone had broken into the house through the window of Romanelli's room (probably to steal, but not even seized a *laptop* on the desk, or easily accessible jewelry in a drawer) and performed a more or less rudimentary cleaning activities, enough to get rid of the whole house, except on a glass plate racks in the fingerprints of a girl who spent days and nights. It follows that there was an alteration, they certainly someone's interest to return to the site (which was determined to leave in a hurry) to baste artificial scenarios and get rid of any trace compromising, but not sufficient to produce such results to be imposed alone, the conclusion that there had been sexual violence: the conclusion was already apparent from the context, since it would be found, however, that the girl was half-naked when she was attacked. In addition, taking the considerations of a few pages ago, do not see why the unknown simulators, wanting to converge on that very suspicious black boy who had found their way as a culprit to offer a mo 'sacrificial lamb, should have been straining to set up a pretense of sexual violence: what they knew, their, RUDI who had gone to the bathroom in the throes of colitis after having had an intimate approach with MEREDITH, and therefore the vaginal swab or other investigations would emerge evidence of a *petting* or something between the victim and the student killed, so as to make it necessary to support the argument that it had been sexual contact allowed? Why should not settle for a theft progressed to believe in evil, because their fundamental interest was still directing the investigation to parties unrelated to that house? The activity of the so-called screening, which took place at a later time, it was not oriented on purpose to trap the GUEDE. It 'still likely to hold that the GUEDE did not take part, in accordance with the assumption accusatory: after all, if he had the opportunity and reason to return to that house, you would be concerned with a minimum of caution to get rid of the feces from the *toilet*, which constituted a kind of signature of its presence. And it may seem strange that there is someone back just under the circumstances excited - the cry contextual perceived by Mrs. bolsters, that all those present to be realized potential factor of arrival of curious or law enforcement - which led to the 'removal of the attackers: as repeatedly pointed out, the witness heard the sound of running footsteps on the path, then down the stairs

92

of metal and in the other direction, in a matter of "two seconds, one minute" by the URL that made her skin crawl, a very short time which certainly could not permit the performance of the whole charade in Romanelli's room, or the likely cleanup tasks. It follows that the decision was made to go back in the house when those who had escaped had already separated from the other fugitives, because he had brought in one of those directions, while others had gone in the second: and, if we had gone in the second direction RUDI own, others did not even have a cell phone to warn his address the idea of return, assuming that those who had decided to involve interest. A further consequence

logical-deductive, however, is the inability to place in one rather than another of those moments subtraction of mobile phones and money of the victim: the possibility that what happened when all fled after the cry (and RUDI was part of the group) has the same value as the one that wants the loot stolen in the same context of the cleaning industry, the breaking of the window and the manipulations of the corpse. In practice, indeed, the ultimate thesis is revealed more likely, since the time of the initial flight was necessarily characterized by precipitation, and conduct so thoughtful as to take the phones were not for profit but to make them disappear is difficult to reconcile with haste: the findings of the proceedings to come to believe that impose the GUEDE guilty of conspiring to murder aggravated by sexual violence can not, therefore, be regarded as sufficient to declare the criminal responsibility in order to further crime against property, to which he objects. Neither can prove crucial to this end, the fact of the discovery of the mixture of DNA prevented, along with that of the victim, the bag she: Based on the evidence gathered, it seems that MEREDITH had the custom of keeping at least one of the phones in a pocket pants or wear it anyway, and it's not written anywhere that they were removed from 300,00 EUR hypothetically, in turn, in that bag.

The friends of the girl, in fact, remember it used to go around with a much lower amount of cash, and she had no reason to go out with that kind of money in tow, being able to keep it in the house with greater care. Without neglecting a very important piece, suggested by the questions formulated by Dr. ctp BARBARIAN to Dr. STEFANONI: Unlike the findings for the sweatshirt, where it was identified the Y haplotype of the accused but not a mixture of DNA in the form the nuclear genetic profile, you are both on the bag, and if a PCR can not play two DNAs coexisting when one is in numbers clearly

69
inferior to the other, thus being amplified only the most abundant, this means that on this finding, there was a lot of biological material, also referable to GUEDE. The localization of the track, more or less half of the hinge, is then assumed that the socket energetic most likely of the bag served to lift and to move it (to that, it is not necessary to prove it), as can be done by tightening in the middle of the closure flash rather than grasp the handles, not to open it to delve into, neither inside the bag in question have been found other tracks, or blood stains. Returning to the main charges, also to affirm the responsibility of GUEDE by way of competition - and of course apart from what will be the outcome of the trial against the defendants - the considerations carried out as a precautionary measure. In admitting the testimony of Kokomani, had stated that he had only said that one evening (but then so was not certain) that the three individuals were in the company, and therefore his testimony was the only one to support a prior knowledge among all players in the process, so as to make himself indispensable, even if no one had requested: the witness had mentioned the outcome, and does not deserve more comments than the acknowledgment of the term "rant" has already been adopted to define the contribution of Kokomani, but that does not mean that the challenging *art. 110* of the Criminal remain deprived of the necessary evidentiary support. The source of direct evidence is not there, or if you prefer there but has failed, but the fact uncertain (the meeting of GUEDE with the other two defendants) did not need a rigorous and timely assessment at the point of mode, occasion or time, especially if certain facts which are a physiological consequence of that assumption unknown (the presence of the three to the crime scene) there are in abundance, and all thoroughly tested: the image used in the provision of *libertate*, that in a context of twentysomethings in a university town and the young people meet without

the prior deed, also applies here. Neither would be weary in search of cell phone contacts for that evening, since the GUEDE a phone had not just in those days. RUDI lived a short distance from the house of KERCHER, as well as from Corso Garibaldi, where he lived the PROMPT, and Via Sperandio; had already happened to be invited in Via della Pergola (the evening nap on the *toilet*, after the birthday of OWEN) or pass to take a look (21 October: this meaning also a well-known fact, easily acquired via *the web*, is the date in which was held the last Formula One race of the season, by the way, the match England - South African *rugby* was played the night before, but the 21 - despite the fresh memories of pleasant conversation that he had with MEREDITH - it was not at all to say goodbye, either before or after the Grand Prix). So the possibility of a meeting you were all right, and - as already warned - it is singular record that he has not told the truth in order to

94

his movements in the range of time immediately preceding the murder, having been denied by the root in RAW and MALY, with the only consequence of having to assume that the truth has not been told to hide something that we can afford to let you know . At the same time, it is not credible RUDI along the entire path of reconstruction, including the detail of his entrance into the house with the approval of the KERCHER, which would greet him after *rendez-vous* or maybe just trovandoselo before, because of the tender moment complicity and shared the night before, and if it is not true that he felt extend an invitation to enter by MEREDITH, if signs of forced entry were following the death of the girl, RUDI if he was in that house in the same context in which there was someone who would later expressed an interest in make believe to an input of unknown criminals, the only conclusion is that the GUEDE entered in Via della Pergola 7 because we let him in someone else, the owner of the interest described above (which others can not be if not the KNOX). Coming to the dynamics of the attack, the certain facts, to the extent of interest in relation to the position of the accused, are: the discovery of more blood stains in the area of the desk (where there are two that seem to result from direct casting) and the cabinet (where the traces are much more numerous, for the most part by sketch), the multiplicity of the injuries caused thereby to KERCHER, some of them on his right hand which was evidently, at least for a few moments, brought by the girl in his defense and the presence of DNA GUEDE on the left cuff of his sweatshirt. It is not certain however the alleged bruising in the anogenital region, which is perhaps a hypostasis (if only for the symmetrical distribution of the assumed injury, unusual when you think of a violent action but normal when it is assumed that the signs are derived the posture of the body), because imagine that the levy histological made after a long time has not affected the area ecchymotic is a pure speculation, but this, as already noted, has no value to exclude that there was sexual violence, peacefully achievable behind the threat of a knife and leave you without bruising whatsoever.

The location and characteristics of the blood stains do not appear to testify with absolute certainty to a prone position forward MEREDITH when it was drawn from the knife where the girl had been forced to take by force the kneeling posture, a few more sign in the lower limbs would even be there. E 'instead reasonable to assume that she was still standing, as suggested by the blood stains on the desk sharper, and soon after it was pushed back until it assumed a supine position, as it was recorded at autopsy also to bruise Nape: in the latter position she received the shot

95

lethal on the side of the neck, until he was peppered with the airway of blood, and producing speckles espirarlo out to rain on the chest and on the bra. Sexual assault, however peaceful (affirming) because an occasional thief, surprised by the lady of the house, does not pull any knife with one hand while the other hand starts to pull on the shirt of the victim, is not denied by the dynamic: the objective pursued, with the power to induce the KERCHER to give consent or to submit to sexual practices, could certainly occur with an initial appeal to the knife likely seriously to the girl a superficial wound (but capable of producing the first drops of blood), then give way to an *escalation* of violence no longer controlled before the reaction or the cries of MEREDITH. The one that produced the spots on the desk was not, of course, the fatal stab wound, that if it had been inflicted at that point of the room and the girl standing would cause a rush of blood to much greater distance, not leaking drops of vertical and at that time no one had even begun to toy with T-shirt, with linen or on the genitals of the victim, which had only been made evident, *for facta concludentia*, what was the plan of the evening that his attackers wanted to accomplish. Soon after, the girl on the ground, began the series of touchings, materially from its report to GUEDE, who left biological traces on both the bra into the vagina of KERCHER: and it was, once again, already found that the supine position the taxable person is the most convenient to allow touchings of the genre, including the assumed maneuver to divaricarle legs, documented by the sign of grasping on the left thigh. Therefore, it is pure fantasy that the prosecution indictment in assuming that RUDI was given the task of "preparing" MEREDITH, while others were engaged in reciprocal play games, then the same MEREDITH would have been put on all fours with someone trying to shove the penis or your fingers until someone else would have sunk the blade into the neck: fantasy is not supported by the findings of the proceedings, and in any case unnecessary to reach the affirmation of the criminal responsibility of today accused. He was there, and actively participated in the attack, both by touching it with the methods described that blocking a coat of MEREDITH. The various injuries on his right hand, while on the left there's only one and it is much smaller, documenting that the girl had the right free to move, and with that he tried to defend himself, even for a short time but definitely above He enjoyed the freedom of the left: that until then the right hand was not blocked (there is definitely a bruise here, and it is interpreted as a consequence of further violent act of grasping). As it happens, the DNA of the GUEDE is found right on the left cuff of the sweatshirt,

96

namely at the area where it is most natural to tighten an arm which intend prevent movements: and not validly argue that that garment was not worn by the victim in the time of the attack, nor that to leave traces of biological material would have been enough if toccamento surface, nor, finally, it seems likely that contamination of the specimen. Under the first aspect, the fact that the left hand of MEREDITH proves abundantly stained with blood in the photographic surveys is not in contrast with the assumption that want the sweatshirt worn at the time or at least at the beginning of the aggression: the blood is not derived, as already noted, from wounds on the same hand, but from a subsequent contact with the area most affected limb dall'emorragia, which continued well beyond the death of the young. Before touching all the blood that dripped on by mere force of gravity, the hand had already passed through the cuff and the sleeve of the sweatshirt, at a time when it was still pretty clean: or, just as likely eventuality, the sweatshirt was parade when the blood was already dried on hand, as was the case for the

spots on the bust which is not reproduced on the duvet. It is not correct, in addition, the assumption that the defensive sweatshirt resulted soaked only because in contact with the girl's body: it is true that the most amount of blood spots is detected in a kind of double swiping on the part of the back, as No documents the photo. 241 photo book edited by the Scientific Police, but on both sleeves pictures immediately following - nn. 242 and 243 - also show punctate spots in the diagram or dripping. In order to more or less force of the contact, in order to justify the results of the examinations organic, the hypothesis of a toccamento surface or the so-called "secondary storage" are scientifically decent, but not actually plausible in this case: because this is another way , which is based on the substantial lack of defensive injuries on his left hand, reached the conclusion that that hand was impeded in their movements, then subjected to a vigorous action constrictive, and it just happens in the typical point of a supply of grasping that DNA is found due to the defendant.

In point of actual probabilities, not of abstract academic debates, what is the most reasonable reconstruction, the one who wants RUDI having touched the sweatshirt (I wonder how, in a context of effusions which is certainly not suggested that her wrist was an area erogenous) or the one that refers to it as a participant in the violent? Moreover, if it is true that the biological material on the sweatshirt RUDI - in contrast to the findings for the bag - was not much, or rather was much less than that coexists and related to the victim, keep in mind the amount has not been expressed

97

by Dr. STEFANONI in terms of absolute value, but in proportion to what there was attributable to another DNA genetic profile: therefore, it is one thing to make such a remark on the bag, and found still on the bed where blood MEREDITH there was none at all except the one brought there from the hands of the defendant, it is quite another to maintain that proportion of a garment, especially for staying in contact with the body in the hours after his death, was far more blood-stained. Not to mention that, little or much that was DNA on the track, the RFU useful to identify the profile of the Y chromosome of GUEDE were all greater than 100. The same idea of the contamination, loosely covered also in the interest of the accused in the wake of the attitude taken by other defensive lines, leaving things as they are. It 'been said that the DNA of GUEDE, in that room, there was a lot (according to the reconstruction offered by him), he having touched a little' everywhere, therefore, it is possible that there has been a transfer of biological material for mere contact of the hoodie with other objects or surfaces: as proof, were shown the images of the second inspection of the Scientific Police, December 18, 2007, indicating that the garment in question he was in a laundry basket on one side of the room , along with other clothing, only to be grabbed by operators that do not change the gloves immediately after that the same had lingered considerable traces of blood. In reality, however, the DNA of the accused is not that he's found a lot: as mentioned earlier, there was - as well as on the popular cuff - the girl's body and on the bra (taken out of that room, and then no more contamination factors), on toilet paper (but it was in the bathroom) and on the bag. Ultimately, there is no indication that there was biological material RUDI in abundance, almost as if it might fly into the room you stand inside or on the floor, by the way, there was not even two of the three towels (not the third was found anything useful for scientific purposes, for the decay of the find) who also claimed to have prevented the widespread handled.

So, like what has already been observed through other positions, the thesis of the contamination was sustained with energy worthy of a better cause. Ultimately, the GUEDE participated in terms absolutely active joint action of multiple assailants, even though he was not physically deliver a mortal blow: even though it was described in heading the role actually taken by each of the defendants, it was clarified in a forum for discussion by the PM - and it was already evident, however, based on the findings of the proceedings - that you do not charge him to have held the knife. This finding, incidentally, exempts here

98

from the burden of tackling the problem if its the knife in seizure can be characterized as the murder weapon, because nothing moves the perspective of those who, in all likelihood, he saw other while drawing a weapon evolved, and fell, a plan programmed otherwise. The problem to be addressed, with reference to the position of GUEDE, concerns the impact of the evolution of the criminal plan about whether to maintain the fullness of the competition in the initiatives of the accused from time to time acquired: first the idea of engaging in MEREDITH an extended sexual play, even at the cost of the use of force, therefore, getting heavy play in the sexual violence itself, and finally the murder, before the persistent resistance of the victim. An issue that, in technical terms of substantive law, implies the need to assess whether its factual context is apparent whether or not a case of so-called "competition anomalous" *pursuant to* art. 116 cp. In a murder case resulting in robbery planned, statistically the most frequent in the potential application of the provision just cited, the Court's legitimacy has stated that "the foundation of the special case of the crime of art. 116 cp must be identified in the fact that, while the one who commits the crime alone is able, at all times, to control the development of his conduct and direct the same to the event planned and wanted, instead of the one who is joining with others to engage in criminal activity is forced to rely even the conduct and wishes of the accomplices, regardless of its degree of participation and the role for the fulfillment of the action itself. It follows that in such a situation he should not underestimate the danger that the sharers or some of them do have to deviate from the main action with the take action to deal with any difficulties that have arisen suddenly, thus exceeding the limits of the agreed contest, create an offense other than and more serious than initially wanted "(Court of Cassation, Sec. I, June 25-September 22, 1999, RV 214 113).

More recently, in a different factual context, the Supreme Court held that "the responsibility for competition abnormal is apparent only when the event different and more serious than that desired by the shared constitutes a development which can logically foreseeable consequence of the conduct agreed by a person of normal intelligence and culture media, according to the rules of ordinary consistency of the unfolding of human events, not interrupted by accidental and unpredictable factors. They then need two negative conditions: that the event was not even want different in terms of fraud or possible alternative, because otherwise there would be the responsibility of art. 110 pc, and that the most serious event

99

concretely realized is not the result of exceptional factors, that have occurred, merely occasional and not etiologically explicable by the criminal conduct basic, not predictable by the agent "(Court of Cassation, Sec. I, 24 October to 17 November 2006 RV 235427). In essence, for there to be competition and consequent abnormal reduction of sentence

must not met even the extremes of acceptance, on the part of the subject is assumed that he wanted to compete for a certain fact of crime, the risk that - through a predictable initiative of another competitor - an event occurring further and more serious, but if just the minimal intent, what possible, to discuss having to already full competition *under art. 110 pc*, it is not fail to see how, in this case in point, the will of the guilty GUEDE go beyond those boundaries. Assuming he is to be appended to an initiative more or less improvised by others, rather than have it processed in the first person (if in doubt, moreover, could only optarsi for the most favorable solution to the biased), you may actually assume that RUDI knew nothing of the knife, or maybe paventasse not in any way that there could be a resort to violence to induce the girl not to oppose: at some point in the action, however, the knife came out, and someone else made repeated and prolonged use. The predictability that the weapon were used not to hurt or threaten, but even to kill, was built in the very moment when the knife was revealed, and it became more and more present with the progress of the *'excursus* criminal, characterized by the constant resistance of the victim and together by the ongoing and increasingly insistent illegal activity affecting the perpetrators. Faced with so many injuries, even if largely of defense and containment, and however numerous gunshot wounds and cutting tip, even those who were to participate in the action with one hand to prevent the girl some movements, and committing the other to satisfy his lust, he could not be a mere spectator, unable to realize what was about to happen: the same time, not least, that it was necessary to carry out that activity letifera, *former* must be understood *if* relevant factor for unlikely that a competitor sheltered (and GUEDE was not) were watching something that was outside his control.

The clear evidence of violent action, at least from a certain point onwards, it was clear also manifests itself in the eyes of those who had taken into account and wanted to participate in something much less brutal: at the beginning it must be held that there was an agreed plan to satisfy sexual instincts, but then the programming changes to the murderous intention (which undoubtedly occurred at a later stage in the entrance of the knife used in the first instance for purposes of threat, the emergence of the reaction of the victim) was received, accepted and pursued by all, since all were maintained co-stars of conduct that lasted well beyond

100

the appearance of the weapon, no one ran before or tried to stop the others, or to request assistance, or expressed disagreement with that progression crime. Attending repeated stab wounds, as the initial ones could have connotations of threat, by those who made a commitment to hold the taxpayer does not indicate that he has been able to predict and, at most, accepted the risk they were waged a deadly, but makes clear the participation of that subject to the objective pursued by those who wield the instrument detrimental. The biological surveys and data are frequently voiced witness (the escape unitary more people together, according to the Bedside) unequivocally lay in the sense just described. There is therefore faced with a criminal agreement to be considered as genetic sexual violence, and occurring in the course of further work towards the event constituted by the death of KERCHER; agreements, however, for both crimes carried out, describing actual cases to bankruptcy pursuant to art. 110 of the Criminal-in-Chief at all perpetrators and, as today of interest, specifically the ends of the GUEDE. The duplicity of the criminal cases in place do not, however, involves concurrence of offenses, neither material (assuming, as the offense continued) nor formal precise as the Supreme Court, in accordance with the

rest of the forms of order sought by the PM on the point, is excluded formal competition between the crimes of murder and sexual violence committed at the same time, the latter remaining absorbed in the first *sub species* of aggravating circumstance pursuant to art. 576, first paragraph, no. 5, cp, without even requiring any type of purposeful connection between the two crimes "(Court of Cassation, Sec.I, 29 January-25 March 2008 RV 239365), and similar indications were also expressed regarding the particular crime under Article. 609 - g cp, when there is gang rape (Court of Cassation, Sec.I, 28 January-22 February 2005 RV 230149). It therefore requires an adhesive solution to the structure and discipline of the complex offense, pursuant to art. 84 cp, remaining absorbed the sexual offense, as an aggravating factor, in the most serious crime of murder art. 576 co. 1 n. 5 cp, and it remains to be seen, however, being made worse case, if there may be circumstances to the contrary, likely to be relevant in an eventual judgment of comparison.

The only potential mitigating circumstances, *ictu oculi* are those generic art. 62 - bis, but can not be considered, in the opinion of the writer, that it is worthy GUEDE: and in any case, in the event that you wish to recognize such mitigating factors in favor of biased, would be consistent with justice prevailing consider the aggravating circumstance reported above, which connotes the same reasons of the action of all those responsible for the murder, and in particular those who materially during the conduct of sexual violence.

101

This judge does not consider granting extenuating circumstances, because the elements to be considered in favor of the defendant would still not be suitable to move in the opposite perspective, nor the gravity of the criminal conduct disconcerting, nor the weight of the specific behavior of the *post delictum* GUEDE, especially when taking into account that he, given the unreliability on which we have focused largely, has not only made false declarations, but it has literally invented a seemingly endless series of lies. There would be biased in favor of: incensuratezza the past, in the face of a personal rather problematic, and the fact that he would not be in gripping the knife that struck the girl, the possibility that the KERCHER was, however, provided relief, not being able to explain otherwise the presence of three towels near the body more or less completely soaked in blood. However, the cleanliness of the certificate of Filing (apparent, since only a few days before the fact the GUEDE turned to Milan with stolen goods) can not always be a kind of *bonus* to be presented for payment, especially when we are discussing crimes that reveal absolute lack of inhibitions and tendency to oppression of the weakest, the personal stories of RUDI are then read in parallel with the many opportunities given to him, unselfishly, several families willing to help, which already offered the possibility of redemption and construction of a normal and active life, respect for others, but without finding nell'imputato seriousness of purpose, and the fact that he was not the handle the knife is not enough to relegate him to the second floor on the scene of the crime, since it was he who offend same sexual freedom of the young. It is the particular of the towels, which is of uncertain reading if you do not stick (and you can not, as shown) to the statements of the accused, if served, however, to improvise some emergency intervention in respect of MEREDITH, both as loose as useless, it is possible to take those towels was just him, or that they did all aggressors, once they realized they had crossed the line. But it is possible that this late turnaround, when already the amplitude of the potential prejudice to the victim's neck wound made manifest failure of the attempt, serves to overcome the extreme gravity of the charge, with a 20 year old girl's private good of life

in circumstances so bleak (and those were because of who he had determined to commit crimes with such superficiality and lightness), and to which were enormous suffering caused thereby only to the annoyance of having been rejected claims ignoble, as MEREDITH had the right to do.

102

Coming, then, and finally to the sanctioning treatment, due dell'aggravante challenged it must be sent to life imprisonment, without the recurrence of additional charges that may result in the daytime isolation, resulting replacement with the penalty of 30 years imprisonment, given the option for summary judgment. Achieve by law for costs of the proceedings and to pay the costs of continued detention in custody, as well as the accessory penalties provided for in Articles. 29 and 32 cp, because of the extent of the penalty imposed, and art. 609 - h cp, given the acknowledged responsibility for the crime of sexual violence, even when absorbed in the most serious challenge. There is no need to adjudicate here on assets in the judicial seizure, by virtue of the continuation of the trial of co-defendants. As a result of the plaintiffs, Ms. Tattanelli Aldalia has right to be paid the cost thereof, as well as to see its claim in the main point of damages (to be quantified separately, as requested by the sponsor, not being yet ascertained the actual extent of the financial loss suffered by it, if only in respect of the costs necessary to cope with the deterioration of the property, which was an immediate consequence of the murderous. As for the notula, seems appropriate move back to the indications of the defender, with slight rounding down. Similarly, but on a higher tragically, must assume determinations for the next joint of Meredith Kercher. The costs of establishing, for their defense, may be calculated on a partially shared, then increasing the amount by which the sponsor has concluded for most people, as a percentage of the number of persons receiving care, it is estimated in accordance with the indication justice expenditures in the amount of 18,000.00 as to the location of ARLINE STEPHANIE LARA KERCHER (net of lump-sum reimbursement indicated, to be considered as an accessory, and suitably reducing the amount outstanding) and 21,000.00 as at other locations, in which the defender has played since previous era formal activity, resulting in increased entry of examination and study. The latter amount must be added the amount of 3,000.00 for each of the parties further assists, in addition to the first, to a limited extent under the 20% limit.

With regard to the main question, of course, there is no question of pecuniary damages: and the defenders of the civil parties have expressly concluded that a liquidation is prepared according to principles of fairness, *pursuant to* art. 113 Code of Civil Procedure. In this perspective, the discretion of the judges is very broad, as taught by the Supreme Court, according to which "the equitable assessment of non-pecuniary damage is left to the discretion of the trial court and is not

103

sindacabile in the legitimacy, if it has satisfied the requirement of reasonable correlation between the severity of the damage and the actual amount of compensation, correlation motivated through the concrete elements that can contribute to the process of formation of free conviction "(Court of Cassation, Sec. V, 27 October to 24 November 2006 RV 235024). It is also claimed that "the victim of the crime which calls for a criminal investigation of the constitutive element of his right to compensation or refund is not exempt from the obligation to prove detrimental to the scope of the act, the kind and extent of the injury suffered, the amenability of the injury done to the offense and to provide the necessary elements for the assessment of damage. In relation to the non-

pecuniary damage, however, the assessment of the trial judge can not be analytical but is forgiven, in equity, at his discretion and can not be discussed in the legitimacy if it contains the indication of adequate, although summary, reasons for the logical process adopted "(Court of Cassation, Sec. V, 31 January to 2 March 2007 RV 236262). In a situation such as the present, there has been confronted with cases of physical injury or material damage only traditionally understood in the sense of injury mental and physical health of the person who invokes him, the damage for which compensation was neither in line with the state of suffering that naturally follows the loss of a close relative. The family was shocked KERCHER interests - legally worthy of protection, and headed all its components - the inviolability of the reciprocal sphere of affection and mutual solidarity and it is not necessary to believe that interest affected dramatically, provide evidence of a relationship in practice, including each of the plaintiffs and the deceased MEREDITH, characterized by affection, foster care and attendance, because those who invoke his demand for justice is a parent, brother or sister of the murdered girl. A girl of just 20 years, which affects both the drama of the suffering inflicted on those who lost a daughter or sister, both on the likely relevance of the confidence of affection between brothers also, unlike what might happen when the destinies of one to separate from those of the other, at older ages.

The criteria for the equitable settlement *under* Articles. 1226 and 2056 cc, moreover, are based on matters of substantive justice, not mathematicians, and can not fail to take into account, in a situation such as this, the fact that a girl was killed in a brutal manner after saying goodbye to those same family, going to live an overseas study experience that everyone, her first, figured it would be a source of satisfaction, never tragedies. It therefore considers deserving of quantification particularly high, in the terms referred to in the device, by diversifying its holdings of

104

parents from those of the brothers because of that common feeling that wants even more unbridgeable for a father or a mother the void left by the loss of a child. The objective complexity of the process makes it ultimately required the expansion of the deadline for filing the grounds of this judgment, to a maximum of ninety days allowed by the Code of Procedure.

FOR THESE REASONS

The Judge for the Preliminary Hearing, having regard to Articles. 442, 533 and 535 cpp

DECLARE

GUEDE RUDI HERMANN guilty of the crimes ascribed to him the heads A) and C), considering this violation absorbed in the crime of aggravated murder, and - with the expected reduction for the choice of the rite - the

CONDEMNATION

punishable by **30 years' imprisonment**, and to pay court costs and to pay the costs of continued detention in custody suffered;

having regard to Articles. 29 and 32, 609 - h cp

105

DECLARE

GUEDE RUDI HERMANN disqualified in perpetuity from holding public office and of any services related to the protection and guardianship, as well as Legal disqualification while serving the sentence; having regard to Articles. 538, 539, 541 Code of Criminal Procedure

CONDEMNATION

GUEDE RUDI HERMANN - to recover damages suffered by the plaintiff constituted Tattanelli Aldalia, as in separate proceedings, as well as payment of the related costs, which liquidates 2,800.00 in

costs and expenses documented, as well as overheads, tax and accessories - to recover damages suffered by the plaintiffs KERCHER JOHN LESLIE, ARLINE KERCHER CAROL MARY, JOHN ASHLEY KERCHER, KERCHER LYLE, that liquid in equity in the amount of 2,000,000.00 each for KERCHER JOHN LESLIE and MARY CAROL ARLINE KERCHER , and in the amount of 1,500,000.00 each for KERCHER JOHN ASHLEY and KERCHER LYLE, as well as payment of the related costs, which in total liquid 30,000.00 for fees, as well as overheads, tax and accessories, - to pay damages suffered by the plaintiff constituted KERCHER ARLINE STEPHANIE LARA, that liquid in equity in the amount of 1,500,000.00, as well as payment of the related costs, which in liquid 18,000.00 for fees, as well as overheads, tax and accessories ;

having regard to Articles. 442, 530 paragraph 2 cpp

106

DISCHARGES

GUEDE RUDI HERMANN from charging to him ascribed to the head D), for not having committed the crime;

having regard to Articles. 442, 544 paragraph 3 cpp

SHOWS

in ninety days the deadline for the filing of motivation.

Perugia, 28.10.2008 *THE JUDGE dr.Paolo Micheli*